# BEFORE THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA

### **FILING DETAILS**

Case No: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ

Party Filing: Co-Prosecutors

(PTC 24)

Filed to: Pre-Trial Chamber

Original language: English

Date of document: 28 September 2009

### **CLASSIFICATION**

Classification of the document

suggested by the filing party: PUBLIC

Classification by OCIJ:

**Classification Status:** 

**Review of Interim Classification:** 

**Records Officer Name:** 

Signature:

อตะกระยัง
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ឧច្ចល (Date of receipt/Date de reception):
28/09/2009
INN (Time/Houre): 13 5 CD
មន្ត្រីទទូលបទ្ទកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent charge
du dossier: SANN RADA

### CO-PROSECUTORS' OBSERVATIONS ON KHIEU SAMPHAN'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER'S ORDER DATED 20 AUGUST 2009 ABOUT ORAL HEARING

#### Filed by: Distribution to:

Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

**Pre-Trial Chamber:** 

Ms. CHEA Leang

Judge PRAK Kimsan, Presiding Judge Rowan DOWNING QC

Mr. William SMITH

Judge NEY Thol

Mr. YET Chakriya

Judge Katinka LAHUIS

Mr. SENG Bunkheang

Mr. Vincent de WILDE

Mr. Tarik ABDULHAK

Judge HUOT Vuthy

Mr. Anees AHMED

**Defence Counsel:** 

**NUON Chea** 

KHIEU Samphan Mr. SA Sovan

Mr. SON Arun

Mr. Jacques VERGES

Mr. Michel PESTMAN

Mr. Victor KOPPE

ឯកសារបាន៩តចម្កូនគ្រឹមគ្រួតតាមច្បាច់ដើម CERTIFIED COPY/COPIE CERTIFIÉE CONFORME

**IENG Thirith** 

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ នៃការបញ្ជាក់ (Certified Date /Date de certification):

Mr. PHAT Pouv Seang Ms. Diana ELLIS

មន្ត្រីទទួលបង្ហាស់ណុំរឿង /Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier: CA Ju

### **OBSERVATIONS**

- 1. On 22 September 2009, the Pre-Trial Chamber directed ("Directions")<sup>1</sup> the Co-Prosecutors to respond to a request for reconsideration by Appellant KHIEU Samphan ("Request")<sup>2</sup> of a decision of the Pre-Trial Chamber ("Decision")<sup>3</sup> that denied an application of oral hearing of this Appeal.<sup>4</sup> The Co-Prosecutors file these observations in compliance with the Directions.
- 2. In its Decision, the Pre-Trial Chamber, amongst other things, had observed as follows:
  - "The Pre-Trial Chamber notes that, as a general rule, it would consider this particular category of appeals against the Co-Investigating Judges' Order refusing requests for investigative actions on the basis of written submissions alone. This approach derives from the confidential nature of the investigation as provided for in Internal Rule 56. [...] The Pre-Trial Chamber, therefore, rejects the requests for a hearing."
- 3. The Co-Prosecutors reiterate that, in the circumstances of the current Appeal, no oral hearing is required.<sup>6</sup> The issues raised are "simple and largely technical" and, as such, the Appeal can be decided on written submissions alone.<sup>7</sup> The Pre-Trial Chamber, therefore, rightly dismissed the Appellant's request for an oral public hearing.
- 4. The Co-Prosecutors, however, observe that the scheme of the Internal Rules does not necessarily imply that every appeal raising confidential matters should be decided on written submissions alone. A confidential oral hearing may be ordered if the Pre-Trial Chamber considers that a public hearing may prejudice the judicial investigation or endanger the safety of victims or witnesses. It does not, therefore, necessarily follow that every confidential appeal should be decided on written submissions alone.

Case of KHIEU Samphan, Decision on the Admissibility of the Appeal Lodged by Khieu Samphan and Directions to the Co-Prosecutors, D164/4/7, 22 September 2009, para. 15 [hereinafter Directions].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Case of KHIEU Samphan, Request to Reconsider the Decision on Request for an Oral Hearing on the Appeals PTC 24 and 25, D164/4/6, 24 August 2009 [hereinafter Request].

Case of KHIEU Samphan, Decision on Request for an Oral Hearing on the Appeals PTC 24 and 25, D164/4/3, 20 August 2009, p. 3 [hereinafter Decision].

Case of KHIEU Samphan, Joint Defence Appeal from the OCIJ Order on the Request for Investigative Action to Seek Exculpatory Evidence in the SMD of 19 June 2009, D164/4/1, 24 July 2009 [hereinafter Appeal].

Decision, para. 5.

Case of KHIEU Samphan, Co-Prosecutors' Combined Response to the Appeal by Ieng Thirith, Nuon Chea, Khieu Samphan and Ieng Sary Agaisnt the Co-Investigating Judges' Order Denying a Joint Defence request for Investigative Action to Seek Exculpatory Evidence in the Shared Material Drive, D164/4/2, 10 August 2009, paras. 12-16 [hereinafter Appeal Response].

Decision, para. 3.

# REQUEST

5. The Co-Prosecutors, accordingly, submit that the Pre-Trial Chamber deny the Request for an oral hearing in the current Appeal. It may, however, consider holding, as a matter of law, that confidential oral hearings may be held in future appeals arising out of requests for investigative actions should the situation so demand.

## Respectfully submitted,

Date	Name	Place	Signature
28 Sept 2009	CHEA Leang Co-Prosecutor	Phnom Penh	Liferalia
	William SMITH Co-Prosecutor	Phnom Penh	*CF. C.