

A371/2/6

**BEFORE THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER  
EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA**

**FILING DETAILS**

**Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ(PTC 64) **Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary

**Filed to:** The Pre-Trial Chamber

**Original language:** ENGLISH

**Date of document:** 12 May 2010

**CLASSIFICATION**

**Classification of the document suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC

**Classification by OCIJ or Chamber:**

**Classification Status:** សាធារណៈ / Public

**Review of Interim Classification:**

**Records Officer Name:**

**Signature:**

<b>ឯកសារដើម</b>	
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de réception):	
..... 12 / 05 / 2010 .....	
ម៉ោង (Time/Heure):	
..... 14:30 .....	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé	
du dossier: Uch ARUN	

---

**IENG SARY'S NOTICE OF INTENTION TO BE HEARD AT THE HEARING  
CONCERNING HIS APPEAL AGAINST THE OCIJ'S DENIAL OF HIS DEFENCE  
TEAM'S REQUEST TO CONDUCT AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDINGS AT THE ECCC  
DETENTION FACILITY**

---

Filed by:

**The Co-Lawyers:**

ANG Udom  
Michael G. KARNAVAS

Distribution to:

**The Pre-Trial Chamber Judges:**

PRAK Kimsan  
NEY Thol  
HUOT Vuthy  
Catherine MARCHI-UHEL  
Rowan DOWNING

**Co-Prosecutors:**

CHEA Leang  
Andrew CAYLEY


**All Defence Teams**



<b>ឯកសារបានចម្លងតាមច្បាប់ដើម</b>	
CERTIFIED COPY/COPIE CERTIFIÉE CONFORME	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ការបញ្ជាក់ (Certified Date/Date de certification):	
..... 12 / 05 / 2010 .....	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé	
du dossier: Ratanak	

Mr. IENG Sary, through his Co-Lawyers (“the Defence”), hereby notifies the Pre-Trial Chamber, pursuant to the Pre-Trial Chamber’s directions contained in the Scheduling Order,<sup>1</sup> that it intends to submit an oral Reply to the Co-Prosecutors’ Observations on IENG Sary’s Appeal on Using Audio-Video Recording in the Detention Unit, filed 6 May 2010.<sup>2</sup> The Defence further sees no reason why this hearing should take place *in camera*,<sup>3</sup> and requests that the hearing be held publically, as no confidential matters need be discussed. Although the Co-Prosecutors have expressed the opinion that no oral hearing is necessary,<sup>4</sup> they have not expressed a wish that, should such a hearing be held, that it be held *in camera*.

Respectfully submitted,



ANG Udom



Michael G. KARNAVAS

Co-Lawyers for Mr. IENG Sary

Signed in Phnom Penh, Kingdom of Cambodia on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010

<sup>1</sup> *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Scheduling Order, 11 May 2010, D371/2/3, ERN: 00515072-00515073.

<sup>2</sup> *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Co-Prosecutors’ Observations on IENG Sary’s Appeal on Using Audio-Video Recording in the Detention Unit (“OCP Response”), 6 May 2010, D371/2/2, ERN: 00511628-00511631.

<sup>3</sup> As stated in the Scheduling Order. *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Scheduling Order, 11 May 2010, D371/2/3, ERN: 00515072-00515073, para. 4.

<sup>4</sup> OCP Response, paras. 10-13.