

អត្ថដ៏សុំ៩ម្រៈទិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះរាស់ឈាចគ្រេតម្កុ ស សតិ សាសលា ព្រះមហាតុក្រុ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អនិត្តម៉ូត្តវិតិះមារបន្តតិច

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY HEARING ON FITNESS TO STAND TRIAL PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

30 August 2011, 0900H

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

THOU Mony

YOU Ottara (Reserve) Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

SE Kolvuthy

Matteo CRIPPA

DUCH Phary

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

SENG Bunkheang Tarik ABDULHAK PAK Chanlino Sarah ANDREWS

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

ឯកសារដើម

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

The Accused: NUON Chea

IENG Thirith

For the Accused: SON Arun

Michiel PESTMAN PHAT Pouv Seang Diana ELLIS

ANG Udom Jasper PAUW

For Civil Parties: PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

SAM Sokong

Philippine SUTZ

INDEX

WITNESS: Pr. JOHN P. CAMPBELL

Questioning by Ms. Ellis	.page ′
Questioning by Mr. Seng Bunkheang	page 69
Questioning by Mr. Abdulhak	page 76
Questioning by Mr. Lor Chunthy	page 98
Questioning by Ms. Sutzpa	age 10 ⁻
Questioning by Ms. Simonneau-Fortpa	age 109
Questioning by Ms. Ellispa	age 11′
Questioning by Judge Cartwrightpa	age 124
Questioning by Judge Lavergnepa	age 137

00738690

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ABDULHAK	English
MR. CAMPBELL	English
JUDGE CARTWRIGHT	English
MS. ELLIS	English
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
MR. LOR CHUNTHY	Khmer
MR. NUON CHEA	Khmer
MR. PESTMAN	English
MR. PHAT POUV SEANG	Khmer
THE PRESIDENT (Nil Nonn, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. SENG BUNKHEANG	Khmer
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MS. SUTZ	French

E1/9.1

00738691 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Judges enter the courtroom)
- 3 [09.03.00]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 6 The session today is still on the issue concerning the reports of
- 7 Professor Campbell regarding the accused person, Ieng Thirith.
- 8 Yesterday we left off with the completion of the joint session
- 9 and the session that the Judges of the Bench put questions to the
- 10 expert. It is now the opportunity for the defence team for Ieng
- 11 Thirith to put questions to the expert.
- 12 [09:04:29]
- 13 MR. PHAT POUV SEANG:
- 14 Mr. President, Your Honours, I am Phat Pouv Seang, the counsel
- 15 representing Ieng Thirith.
- 16 I may seek your permission to allow my colleague to put questions
- 17 to the expert, please.
- 18 MS. ELLIS:
- 19 May it please you, Mr. President, Your Honours, may I indicate
- 20 first of all that we do not intend inviting the Court to go into
- 21 closed session at any stage. We have taken the view that this is
- 22 a matter of great public interest and therefore it should be
- 23 canvassed as best it can in public.
- 24 QUESTIONING BY DEFENCE COUNSEL:
- 25 BY MS. ELLIS:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Professor Campbell, there is no doubt that Ieng Thirith
- 2 suffers from cognitive impairment, is there?
- 3 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 4 A. I have found impairment each time I have examined her and
- 5 that is consistent with the finding of other people who have
- 6 assessed her.
- 7 Q. And specifically, the expert report of Dr. Brinded and
- 8 Professor Ka came to the same conclusion.
- 9 A. Their findings, two years before I saw Ieng Thirith, are
- 10 consistent with my findings.
- 11 [09:06:20]
- 12 Q. And in order to exclude the possibility that there was a
- 13 physical explanation for the cognitive impairment the CT scans
- 14 were undertaken and they revealed no bleeds and no tumours?
- 15 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 16 Mr. President, I'm compelled to object to my friend's questioning
- 17 simply because I think the form of questioning does lead the
- 18 witness in a particular direction.
- 19 I think yesterday questions were by and large similar but we
- 20 didn't object simply because we felt the areas that were being
- 21 explored were general and it was appropriate to allow all the
- 22 parties to explore issues fully. I think today the Court will be
- 23 more assisted in ascertaining the truth and hearing from
- 24 Professor Campbell if counsel put open questions to him as
- 25 opposed to putting forward suggestions as to what was contained

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 in the reports, and if we can proceed on that basis that will be
- 2 the prosecution's submission.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Counsel Diana Ellis, you may now respond to the objection by the
- 5 prosecution.
- 6 [09:07:59]
- 7 MS. ELLIS:
- 8 It is our submission that we are entitled to pose questions to
- 9 Professor Campbell. The question that I put was a confirmation
- 10 of the methodology and the way in which he reached his
- 11 conclusion, that there was likely to be a diagnosis that it was
- 12 Alzheimer's that Ieng Thirith was suffering from. I am simply,
- 13 in a summary form, I hope for ease of understanding, dealing with
- 14 the matters that were canvassed in a number of ways yesterday.
- 15 And with great respect to the Office of the Prosecutor, I do not
- 16 understand the basis of their objection. This is an expert
- 17 witness, I am not leading him, he is perfectly capable of
- 18 answering whether I have understood correctly the process by
- 19 which he has concluded it is likely the disease is Alzheimer's.
- 20 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 21 If I may respond briefly, Your Honour.
- 22 And just to -- in response to our friend, the difference is
- 23 simply as follows; if you ask a witness "There is no doubt is
- 24 there" that a certain fact is true, that is a leading question;
- 25 no two ways about it.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 We obviously want to hear from the expert and as counsel has
- 2 indicated he's already expressed opinions on a number of issues
- 3 and of course all of the questions being put to him, all of the
- 4 areas being examined are appropriate but he should be simply
- 5 asked an open-ended question as to his conclusion as opposed to
- 6 being suggested what his conclusion was.
- 7 (Judges deliberate)
- 8 [09:10:48]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Thank you, the Co-Prosecutor, for your observation.
- 11 The Chamber would like to remind and advise the counsel for Ieng
- 12 Thirith to refrain from putting leading questions.
- 13 You may now proceed.
- 14 MS. ELLIS:
- 15 Q. Professor Campbell, as a result of undertaking the scans
- 16 did you find any evidence that there was a physical cause for the
- 17 cognitive impairment?
- 18 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 19 A. The scan showed a generalized cerebral atrophy, that's a
- 20 shrinkage of the brain which would be consistent with Alzheimer's
- 21 or age-related. The correlation between the changes on the CT
- 22 scan and cognitive function are not good, would be consistent
- 23 with either.
- 24 . Q. Did you find any evidence of tumour? Did you find any
- 25 evidence of bleeds within the brain?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Did you undertake or were you aware that blood tests had
- 3 been undertaken in order to see whether there were any
- 4 deficiencies of vitamins or hormones?
- 5 [09:12:14]
- 6 A. I had reviewed all the blood tests on my first visit and
- 7 reviewed them again this time, there is no evidence of any
- 8 biochemical abnormality likely to cause cognitive impairment.
- 9 Q. And is the significance of these tests, the fact that
- 10 if any deficiencies are noted it is then possible to remedy them
- 11 by medication, in some appropriate fashion?
- 12 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 13 Q. You told us yesterday that you then advised a reduction
- 14 in the psychotropic medicine that Ieng Thirith was at the time
- 15 taking and that was in order to allow you to better assess
- 16 whether those drugs had any effect on her cognitive functioning;
- 17 is that right?
- 18 A. That is correct. It is probable that the cognitive
- 19 impairment is due to a number of different factors of which the
- 20 medications she was on may be one.
- 21 Q. But having reduced the medication to the point that she
- 22 now is no longer taking two of those three drugs you've seen no
- 23 difference at all in her cognitive functioning; is that right?
- 24 A. That is correct. On my assessment on this occasion she
- 25 was a little more alert than on the last occasion but that may

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 well be just a day-to-day fluctuation and I would not necessarily
- 2 attribute that to the change in medication.
- 3 [09:13:59]
- 4 Q. And can you explain, please, what it is that caused you
- 5 then to decide that it was more likely that she was suffering
- 6 from Alzheimer 's disease?
- 7 A. That has always been the most likely underlying
- 8 problem, it is consistent with the length of time of her
- 9 deterioration and the impairment that she now has. We have
- 10 removed one of the possible contributing factors and the
- 11 cognitive impairment remains.
- 12 Q. Is Alzheimer 's disease a type of dementia?
- 13 A. It is the most common cause of dementia.
- 14 Q. Is dementia a disease which affects both intelligence and
- 15 mental capacity?
- 16 A. It does.
- 17 Q. Does dementia typically lead to memory loss?
- 18 A. Memory loss is a key feature of dementia.
- 19 Q. Is another feature a reduction in attention span?
- 20 [09:15:25]
- 21 A. It is.
- 22 Q. Is another feature an inability to undertake everyday
- 23 normal tasks?
- 24 A. That is so.
- 25 Q. Does it lead to difficulties in communication?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. It can affect speech but the more usual problem in
- 2 communication is disruption of the thought processes prior to
- 3 communication.
- 4 Q. So does it follow that if the thought process are
- 5 disrupted it then becomes difficult to formulate language in the
- 6 same way?
- 7 A. Yes, that is so.
- 8 Q. Does dementia also typically lead to confusion and
- 9 frustration?
- 10 A. It very frequently does so because the person is unable
- 11 to comprehend what is happening around them and misinterprets
- 12 what is happening.
- 13 Q. And is it often the case that there are personality and
- 14 behaviour changes in people suffering from dementia?
- 15 A. There are and often prior character traits may become
- 16 more evident in a person with dementia.
- 17 [09:16:56]
- 18 Q. And yesterday I think you suggested that in some cases
- 19 some of the people you see develop behavioural or psychological
- 20 problems; is that something again that is known to typically
- 21 occur in patients suffering from this disease?
- 22 A. Yes, behavioural problems do occur and also other
- 23 psychiatric illnesses, in addition, for example, depression.
- Q. From what you told us yesterday it is a disease which
- 25 more typically affects those over 65.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. The prevalence of the disorder increases quite steeply with
- 2 increasing age.
- 3 Q. Is it correct that there are several types of dementia, of
- 4 which Alzheimer's is one?
- 5 A. That is correct. And not uncommonly a person with
- 6 dementia has a mix of two different pathologies.
- 7 Q. Is there commonly also a vascular dementia that may be
- 8 mixed with the Alzheimer's?
- 9 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 10 Q. Does the vascular dementia arise as a result of blockages
- 11 to the blood vessels?
- 12 [09:18:36]
- 13 A. It can. And it's also associated with high blood
- 14 pressure and the changes in the small vessels in the brain
- 15 associated with high blood pressure.
- 16 Q. Whatever type of dementia, is it a common feature of
- 17 the disease that it brings about changes in the brain structure
- 18 causing the death of nerve cells?
- 19 A. That is correct, there is damage to the nerve cells in
- 20 Alzheimer's which affects their function and ultimately leads to
- 21 the death of the neuro or nerve cell.
- 22 Q. Professor Campbell, what I'd now like to ask you is
- 23 specifically about the symptoms. Would it be right to say that
- 24 when you're examining patients you are looking to identify a
- 25 range of symptoms commonly found in patients suffering from

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 dementia?
- 2 A. That is correct. Although often in people with
- 3 dementia the person may not be aware of the symptoms him or
- 4 herself and information from other people who may have observed
- 5 problems is very important.
- 6 Q. And just so that it is clear, that the reason why I
- 7 seek to ask you about the symptoms is in order to then consider
- 8 whether Ieng Thirith herself exhibits some or all of these
- 9 specific symptoms; do you understand?
- 10 You've mentioned already the significance in this illness of
- 11 the memory loss, does it start generally with a lack of recall of
- 12 more recent events and then become progressively worse so that
- 13 the long-term memory becomes affected too?
- 14 [09:21:02]
- 15 A. Yes, that is so.
- 16 Q. Is it the case that the loss of memory may lead to what is
- 17 called confabulation?
- 18 A. That occurs in certain types of dementia, less commonly in
- 19 Alzheimer's than others. The person may recall events that did
- 20 not in actual fact occur.
- 21 Q. Perhaps you could assist in case that term is unclear,
- 22 as to what is meant by confabulation.
- 23 A. Well, simply it means making up memories to fill the
- 24 gaps.
- 25 Q. Is another symptom that the mobility of the individual

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 may deteriorate?
- 2 A. Yes, that can occur later on in Alzheimer 's disease,
- 3 it occurs earlier in certain types of Alzheimer 's disease --
- 4 sorry, in certain other types of dementia. For example, Lewy
- 5 body dementia.
- 6 Q. Do sufferers frequently fail to recognize objects?
- 7 A. Yes, they may.
- 8 Q. And have a reduced ability to carry out everyday
- 9 actions?
- 10 A. Yes, that is so. Later on they may need quidance with
- 11 their daily activities.
- 12 Q. Does it lead frequently to disorientation in time and
- 13 place?
- 14 [09:23:01]
- 15 A. Yes, that is so.
- 16 Q. Does it have an affect on the judgement of the patient?
- 17 A. Yes, that is often an important problem early on in
- 18 dementia and again with certain types of dementia.
- 19 Q. Does it lead to difficulties in concentration and often
- 20 cause a loss of a train of thought?
- 21 A. Yes, that is so.
- 22 Q. And does it lead patients to frequently focus on pain
- 23 rather than on abstract concepts?
- 24 A. I'm not sure that dementia is such -- causes a problem
- 25 like that. I mean they may have pain symptoms which they are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 unable to explain and that my cause agitation.
- 2 Q. And these symptoms that you've agreed are recognized in
- 3 dementia patients, do they generally get progressively more
- 4 severe over time?
- 5 [09:24:27]
- 6 A. Progression is a characteristic feature of a dementing
- 7 illness.
- 8 Q. You've said that you're more likely to get dementia as
- 9 you get older and you've mentioned high blood pressure as being
- 10 another indicator; would that be right?
- 11 A. It's more a risk factor, people with hypertension are
- 12 at greater risk.
- 13 Q. And what is the position if people have hypertension
- 14 over many years does the risk increase the longer you have the
- 15 condition?
- 16 A. Yes, Alzheimer's is associated with early high pressure
- 17 but paradoxically as the Alzheimer's progresses the blood
- 18 pressure often declines.
- 19 Q. Is an irregular heart beat another feature that may
- 20 make dementia more likely?
- 21 A. No it's not. The research in cardiac rhythm
- 22 disturbance, for example, atrial fibrillation which increased the
- 23 risk of stroke but irregular heart beat from other causes, not
- 24 necessarily, although the risk factors for heart disease are also
- 25 risk factors for Alzheimer 's disease.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. What would be the position with somebody who suffered
- 2 from tachycardia, is that merely an irregular heart beat?
- 3 A. No, I would not expect that to be associated with
- 4 dementia.
- 5 [09:26:15]
- 6 Q. I'm moving on now, Professor Campbell, and I'd like to
- 7 ask you about the general medical history, as you will know it,
- 8 of Ieng Thirith. Firstly dealing with what is known prior to her
- 9 detention on the 14th of November of 2007.
- 10 You've seen the report provided by Professor Ka and Professor
- 11 Brinded and in that -- you're nodding, I think probably for the
- 12 record it's necessary to say yes or no, please.
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. And in that report there are references to a number of the
- 15 medical conditions that she has suffered from over the years.
- 16 It's a matter of record on the case file that the Bumrungrad
- 17 International Hospital of Bangkok provided records which commence
- 18 in December 2004. Have you seen any medical history prior to
- 19 that date?
- 20 A. No, I have not seen any medical history prior to that
- 21 date.
- 22 Q. Did you understand from the report of November 2009 of
- 23 Professor Ka and Dr. Brinded that Ieng Thirith had suffered from
- 24 a number of physical disorders, as noted from 2004 to 2007?
- 25 A. Yes and I reassessed her physical conditions.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. One of the conditions was anaemia; does that have any
- 2 relevance to the question of her cognitive impairment?
- 3 A. No, her blood film has indicated a very mild anaemia on
- 4 occasions but not one that would be associated with any cognitive
- 5 impairment.
- 6 [09:29:05]
- 7 Q. She has also been diagnosed as suffering from chronic
- 8 bilateral renal parenchymal disease. That is a disease of the
- 9 kidneys. Does that in any way have any affect on her cognitive
- 10 impairment?
- 11 A. No, her renal impairment is mild and I would not expect
- 12 it to be associated with any problems with cognition.
- 13 Q. Is there any known cause of that renal problem; is it
- 14 related in any way to hypertension?
- 15 A. It is most likely hypertension and age related. She
- 16 also has a history of urinary tract infections. I doubt that
- 17 they have contributed to the deterioration in renal function but
- 18 may cause an acute -- more acute deterioration in cognition at
- 19 the time.
- 20 Q. She's had a diagnosis of arthritis of the spine. Would
- 21 it be right to say that that does not directly impact on her
- 22 cognitive impairment?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. She has also been diagnosed as suffering from
- 25 cardiomegaly, is that an enlarged heart?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes. And that will be the result of her hypertension
- 2 but her cardiac function has been well preserved.
- 3 [09:30:55]
- 4 Q. And you say that the noted rhythm disturbances, the
- 5 tachycardia, described as a sinus tachycardia, that doesn't, in
- 6 your opinion, contribute in any significant way to her condition?
- 7 A. No, that is so. A sinus tachycardia is simply the
- 8 heart, through its normal conduction, beating more quickly and
- 9 will occur in any situation, such as when she is anxious or when
- 10 she is more active.
- 11 Q. But throughout the period from 2004 to 2007 there's
- 12 clear evidence on the records, isn't there, of a continuing
- 13 problem of hypertension?
- 14 A. She has had a long-standing treatment of her
- 15 hypertension, yes.
- 16 Q. Does high cholesterol have any impact on a demented
- 17 condition?
- 18 A. High cholesterol is associated with increased risk of a
- 19 vascular dementia and it's also associated with an increased risk
- 20 of Alzheimer 's disease.
- 21 Q. Did you have a note of anywhere of her cholesterol
- 22 level?
- 23 A. I've seen her cholesterol levels, I can't recall the
- 24 actual figures but they have never been particularly high, the
- 25 ones I've seen. Also in Alzheimer 's disease later on the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 cholesterol levels can decrease.
- 2 [09:32:33]
- 3 Q. The only other general condition that I wish to refer
- 4 to is, again, something that was mentioned yesterday, she had a
- 5 hip replacement operation on the 7th of January 2006.
- 6 A. That was as a result of her fracture of the hip.
- 7 Q. And that fracture was, according to the records, as a
- 8 result of a fall.
- 9 A. Yes, she would have had a fall and it was also felt
- 10 that she osteoporosis, a thinning of the bone which increases her
- 11 risk of fracture.
- 12 Q. Prior to having the hip replacement operation on the
- 13 7th of January you told us yesterday that you were aware that she
- 14 had had a CT scan, that CT scan was performed on the 6th of
- 15 January 2006.
- 16 Would you agree that a CT scan is not one of those procedures
- 17 that is routinely undertaken in patients?
- 18 A. No, it's not. There need to be clear indicators.
- 19 Q. And from the report that you've seen you can confirm,
- 20 can you not, that the indicator that led to that CT scan was
- 21 confusion of Ieng Thirith?
- 22 [09:34:41]
- 23 A. Yes, my understanding is that she was confused at the
- 24 time of her hip fracture and that would have led to the CT scan
- 25 to ensure that there was no blood clot as a consequence of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 trauma.
- 2 Q. And what that CT scan in fact revealed was that there
- 3 was generalized brain atrophy.
- 4 A. Yes. I've not seen the actual report but the reviews
- 5 of the report -- and I've not seen the films themselves.
- 6 Q. Did you not see -- I beg your pardon. Did you not see
- 7 the report dated the 6th of January which described the findings
- 8 on that occasion?
- 9 A. Yes, I've seen copies of that report and it's mentioned
- 10 in the Brinded/Ka Sunbaunat report.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 It was after the operation on the hip that it was noted that
- 13 Ieng Thirith was in some way psychologically affected, that she
- 14 was dizzy, that she couldn't sleep, and her behaviour gave cause
- 15 for concern. That response, post-operationally, is more likely,
- 16 is it not, to occur in a vulnerable patient?
- 17 A. It is more likely to occur in a vulnerable patient,
- 18 although can occur in those people with no preceding cognitive
- 19 impairment.
- 20 [09:36:45]
- 21 Q. But while there is already a degree of impairment it
- 22 may be more likely that there will be post-operative delirium and
- 23 the other symptoms.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. I'm going to come back in a little more detail to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 scans but I just would like firstly then to deal in general terms
- 2 with the medical records and reports that you have seen prepared
- 3 on Ieng Thirith since she's been within the detention centre and
- 4 immediately prior to her arrival.
- 5 You saw -- I think you told us -- the report of the 13th of
- 6 November 2007 made by the Director of the Bumrungrad
- 7 International Hospital in which there was reference to her
- 8 suffering from psychic mental disorder, high blood pressure and
- 9 chronic kidney failure.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And did you note that that report also said that she must
- 12 take psychic medication regularly?
- 13 A. Yes, those medications have started at that time and have
- 14 been continued. They would be expected if there was underlying
- 15 psychiatric illness but if the problem had been delirium then
- 16 long-term use would not be recommended.
- 17 [09:38:55]
- 18 Q. You can confirm from the material you've seen, can't you,
- 19 that Ieng Thirith, once she was discharged from the hospital in
- 20 Bangkok from the 22nd of February through until mid-August of
- 21 2006 was an out-patient?
- 22 A. Yes, that is so.
- 23 Q. And it's also right that in order that she could be cared
- 24 for in respect of her other physical conditions she was a regular
- 25 attender at that hospital up until about October of 2007, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that you've seen from the material provided to you, haven't you?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So that medication that she was prescribed, including
- 4 the drugs which are described as psychic medication were
- 5 prescribed over a lengthy period of time and right up until her
- 6 admission to the detention centre.
- 7 A. Yes, as far as I'm aware she has been on those drugs
- 8 since that time.
- 9 Q. And as you have agreed, it appears to have been the
- 10 view of the Bumrungrad Hospital that that medication should in
- 11 fact continue to be used regularly.
- 12 A. That was their recommendation at the time.
- 13 Q. And that date was the 13th of November 2007 wasn't it?
- 14 A. I would need to take your word on that without going
- 15 back to check.
- 16 [09:41:09]
- 17 Q. Well I have all the document numbers if there is any
- 18 need or any request for me to refer to the numbers.
- 19 From the records, again, that we understand you've seen as you've
- 20 told us, there was an admission to Calmette Hospital on the $22\mathrm{nd}$
- 21 of February 2008 when Ieng Thirith was coughing up blood in fact,
- 22 and at that time, it's right isn't it, that mental trouble was
- 23 also diagnosed?
- 24 A. It is indicated in her notes.
- 25 Q. Her notes also indicate that she was still, in this period,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 suffering from anaemia, don't they?
- 2 A. Yes, that is so, that has been in her notes throughout.
- 3 Q. And then the Calmette Hospital doctors were reporting
- 4 regularly whilst Ieng Thirith was in the detention centre and
- 5 you've seen their reports, haven't you, and they commence on the
- 6 7th of March of 2009 through until mid-August of this year.
- 7 You've seen those reports also haven't you?
- 8 A. I've had all those reports, yes.
- 9 Q. And I'm not going to go through those reports in any detail
- 10 but would you please confirm that repeatedly she is, in terms of
- 11 her mental state, described as suffering from mental troubles,
- 12 nervous episodes and psychic disorder, hypertension and insomnia?
- 13 [9:43:27]
- 14 A. That is so.
- 15 Q. That is the theme that runs through those reports prepared
- on a very frequent and regular basis isn't it?
- 17 A. It is.
- 18 Q. And that is in spite of the continued use of medication
- 19 designed to calm her and to control any psychological
- 20 disturbances?
- 21 A. I think that's a very important point; that those symptoms
- 22 continued despite the use of the medication. And again,
- 23 paradoxically sometimes these medications can add to that problem
- 24 rather than improving it.
- 25 Q. Could I come on to look at the medications that Ieng

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Thirith has been taking and the information I take from the
- 2 document provided from the General Director of Calmette Hospital
- 3 on the 27th of July of this year.
- 4 Again, Professor Campbell, that is information that you have seen
- 5 isn't it?
- 6 A. That is so.
- 7 [09:45:04]
- 8 Q. She was in fact taking some 12 different types of
- 9 medication; is that right?
- 10 A. She's on a large number of medications.
- 11 Q. I hope you trust my arithmetic. She was taking medication
- 12 for gastric problems; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 14 Q. A diuretic to deal with her kidney function?
- 15 A. And cardiac problems. M'hm.
- 16 Q. She was taking paracetamol, I think you've indicated
- 17 you've now suggested an increase in the dose.
- 18 A. Yes. I didn't feel she was on an effective dose.
- 19 Q. She was taking a drug for stress and anxiety.
- 20 A. She was taking the three drugs for stress and anxiety.
- 21 Q. Is Euphytose one of those?
- 22 A. Euphytose , I think is a natural remedy, not -- I've slight
- 23 difficulty with the names as I've used the proper names rather
- 24 than the commercial names.
- Q. Whatever it's origin those that take it or given it, it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 is for stress and anxiety isn't it?
- 2 [09:46:54]
- 3 A. Yes, that's so.
- 4 Q. She was taking Lipitor which is for high cholesterol is
- 5 it not?
- 6 A. Yes it is.
- 7 Q. She was also taking an iron deficiency drug for her
- 8 anaemia and a drug for her high blood pressure?
- 9 A. Yes, she's been on drugs for blood pressure.
- 10 Q. Drugs for her bone preservation?
- 11 A. She's been on calcium and Vitamin D.
- 12 Q. And also Zyloric for uric acid build-up; that's
- 13 actually with kidney function?
- 14 A. Yes, uric acid can be -- build-up, can be associated
- 15 with that.
- 16 Q. And then she was taking the clonazepam. Is that a drug
- 17 that is prescribed for anxiety and sleep difficulties?
- 18 A. It is a benzodiazepine drug which is sedating and used for
- 19 anxiety as well as some specific neurological disorders.
- 20 Q. The quetiapine which she was at that time being prescribed
- 21 is a drug, is it not, for bipolar disorder, depression and
- 22 schizophrenia?
- 23 [09.48.25]
- 24 A. Not so much depression. It's used for schizophrenia and
- 25 bipolar disorders and major behavioural disturbances. It is a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 major psychotropic drug.
- 2 Q. That's what I was going to ask you. It is a -- quite a
- 3 powerful drug. Is it not?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. And from you've told us the drug reduction of the
- 6 benzodiazepines has now concluded and the quetiapine reduction is
- 7 starting. Is that right?
- 8 A. That is so and the plan was to reduce that over a 4-week
- 9 period.
- 10 Q. And am I right that when you proposed the reduction in the
- 11 quetiapine, you initially met with some resistance from the
- 12 doctors at Calmette Hospital who were treating Ieng Thirith
- 13 because they were very concerned that it was, in fact, an
- 14 important drug to keep her more stable. That's right isn't it?
- 15 A. That is correct. Would you like me to enlarge on the
- 16 reasons for the concern and the reasons for proceeding?
- 17 Q. If you feel it would be helpful, Professor Campbell. I
- 18 wouldn't want to prevent you enlarging on anything.
- 19 A. Thank you. I think when a person has been stable on a drug
- 20 for a long time, as she has on this drug, there is always a
- 21 concern about change and about rocking the boat if things are
- 22 reasonably stable.
- 23 [09.50.24]
- 24 I think the difficulties though are that if the drug has been
- 25 started for a particular short-term problem; for example, to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 manage agitation at the time of delirium, then it is important to
- 2 review that use and to try the person off the drug. I think
- 3 often the effects of these drugs if they're on them for a long
- 4 time, they may not be evident and, therefore, I felt that a
- 5 cautious reduction under supervision was in her interests.
- 6 Q. You very helpfully explain the considerations that you had
- 7 in advising that reduction, but the concerns which have been
- 8 overcome, as it turns out, of the Calmette doctors was that they
- 9 were anxious not to destabilize her because they believed it
- 10 controlled her behaviour helpfully. That's right isn't it?
- 11 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 12 Again, Mr. President, if I may be heard, again, we're back to the
- 13 same format of questioning, I'm afraid. We didn't want to object
- 14 initially because we don't want to again interrupt, but certainly
- 15 propositions being put to the expert about the opinions of third
- 16 parties in the form of a conclusion and then him being asked to
- 17 confirm are clearly not appropriate.
- 18 [09.51.57]
- 19 Some of those conclusions may well be recorded in documents and
- 20 Professor Campbell can be asked about those documents. But if
- 21 questions can be put in an open fashion, I think that will assist
- 22 everyone.
- 23 MS. ELLIS:
- 24 Mr. President, I certainly did not understand that where there is
- 25 a document that makes very clear the position of another doctor

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 and that document is being considered by Professor Campbell that
- 2 it is inappropriate to put to him the content. I, again, do not
- 3 understand the nature of the objection being made by my learned
- 4 friend.
- 5 It saves an awful lot of time rather than producing a document
- 6 for Professor Campbell to look at. He has seen this. He's
- 7 entered into discussions with the other doctors. He is perfectly
- 8 capable of saying that he doesn't agree that that was the concern
- 9 and he has confirmed what he knows to be the case. So the
- 10 objection I don't understand and the form of my questioning being
- 11 challenged is inappropriate. I don't understand either.
- 12 (Deliberation between judges)
- 13 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 14 Thank you, President.
- 15 Ms. Ellis, the President has asked me to indicate that your
- 16 questioning is getting dangerously close again to putting words
- 17 into the mouth of the expert. It would be preferable if you
- 18 would put the portion of the document to which you are referring.
- 19 Make sure he's read it, of course, and ask him his views on those
- 20 comments if that's possible for you at this point.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 MS. ELLIS:
- 23 I have the document in front of me and I will do it in that way
- 24 if the Court directs me.
- 25 [09.55.06]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Professor Campbell, have you seen the document of the 29th
- 2 of June of this year from the General Director of Calmette
- 3 Hospital? It is a document that informs the Court administration
- 4 of the views of the doctors at Calmette Hospital as to the
- 5 reduction of clonazepam and quetiapine?
- 6 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 7 A. Yes, I have seen that document which was prior to our
- 8 teleconference.
- 9 Q. Thank you. And was it -- do you want to have another look
- 10 at the document to remind yourself of the contents or are you
- 11 content for me to read it to remind you of the specific paragraph
- 12 I'm asking about?
- 13 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 14 Ms. Ellis, would you be able to quote the reference number for
- 15 the record, please?
- 16 MS. ELLIS:
- 17 The document I have has no reference number on it, but I will
- 18 provide it to the Trial Chamber when we have our short break, if
- 19 I might do that.
- 20 MS ELLIS:
- 21 Q. What is stated in the document signed by Dr. Chheang Ra,
- 22 the relevant passage is "quetiapine should not be reduced and
- 23 stopped permanently as her state of health has improved and been
- 24 stable so far due to her having been taking this medicine from
- 25 before 2007."

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [09.57.22]
- 2 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 3 A. Yes, I'm aware of that paragraph and I think it is very
- 4 difficult to attribute the stability of her condition or any
- 5 improvement, if there was improvement, to the drugs given that
- 6 there had been no change in the drugs during that time.
- 7 Q. Could I now, please, move on with you to consider the CT
- 8 scans conducted on Ieng Thirith and I'm only asking you about the
- 9 brain scans?
- 10 The first scan that is known about is that scan of the 6th of
- 11 January 2006. From what you've said, you have not had an
- 12 opportunity to see the original scan, but only the report as set
- 13 out in the expert report of Professor Ka and Dr. Brinded. Is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A. That is right.
- 16 [09.59.53]
- 17 MS. ELLIS:
- 18 If the Court just gives me a moment.
- 19 (Short pause)
- 20 MS. ELLIS:
- 21 Q. You saw, I believe you told us yesterday, a set of
- 22 questions that we had prepared at the request of the Court for
- 23 you to consider in the preparation of your report. Do you
- 24 remember that?
- 25 A. Yes, I have and I've reviewed those.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. I want to ask you then if you can tell us whether there is
- 2 any significance to certain factors shown up on the scan report,
- 3 "multiple, hyper-dense foci in the peri-ventricular deep and
- 4 sub-cortical white matter of both frontal lobes." Is that
- 5 significant in any way?
- 6 A. What those changes are consistent with is the sort of brain
- 7 changes one sees in a person with long-standing hypertension.
- 8 The difficulty though is that those have been not really a
- 9 significant feature of the subsequent scans and those changes
- 10 would be permanent. That's why it is difficult to comment
- 11 without seeing the actual scan itself.
- 12 Q. Is there any significance to the reference to the frontal
- 13 lobes?
- 14 A. It's the frontal lobes that are characteristically affected
- 15 in this condition.
- 16 Q. If the frontal lobes are affected does that have any effect
- on the cognitive functioning of the patient?
- 18 [10.01.52]
- 19 A. Frontal lobe change is very important. The frontal lobes
- 20 are critical in ones judgement, initiation of activity, planning
- 21 and also self-restraint.
- 22 Q. And you say that any changes in that part of the brain are
- 23 permanent so that the drug that you're suggesting Ieng Thirith
- 24 should be prescribed will have no effect on that?
- 25 A. The drug I'm suggesting, its effect has been primarily on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Alzheimer's disease not in vascular dementia and the changes that
- 2 are suggested here are consistent with vascular changes. As I've
- 3 said, they have not been so evident in subsequent scans.
- 4 Q. And why did you say that was?
- 5 A. It is difficult to explain without seeing the changes,
- 6 themselves, on the original scan.
- 7 Q. Another feature noted -- could I just indicate that this
- 8 document is on the case file. The ERN number if it's wanted is
- 9 00157503. The ventricular system and the CFS spaces were
- 10 slightly and moderately dilated. Does that tell you anything in
- 11 respect of the functioning cognitively of Ieng Thirith?
- 12 A. As I said before, this is the real difficulty with CT scans
- 13 such as this. The changes would be consistent with Alzheimer's
- 14 disease, but also can be seen in older people with well-preserved
- 15 cognitive function.
- 16 [10.03.57]
- 17 Q. The conclusion of the doctor that prepared that report, I
- 18 quote, was "there's generalized brain atrophy with multiple
- 19 hyper-dense foci in the basis pontis and bilateral frontal white
- 20 matter suggested of small-vessel disease and/or other
- 21 non-specific age-related white matter change."
- 22 A. Yes, I've seen that report.
- 23 Q. Generalized atrophy, is that brain shrinkage?
- 24 A. It is.
- 25 Q. Does the brain, if it shrunk, ever swell up again?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. No, it doesn't.
- 2 Q. Quite clearly from what you've said, it's very difficult
- 3 for you to comment without seeing the original scan, but would it
- 4 be your opinion -- and tell me if it's not -- that the findings
- 5 are consistent with early dementia?
- 6 A. Those reported findings would be consistent with early
- 7 dementia.
- 8 Q. Moving on then to the next of the scans that are recorded
- 9 in the documents, there was a scan on the 13th of November 2007
- 10 and that was, it is said, done without injection. Did you see a
- 11 report of that scan and did you see the original scan?
- 12 [10.06.15]
- 13 A. Yes, I've seen the scans and the reports.
- 14 O. Did that ---
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Ms. Ellis, could you please hold on a moment. Counsel Son Arun
- 17 is on his feet.
- 18 You may proceed.
- 19 MR. SON ARUN:
- 20 Forgive me for interrupting the Court proceeding, but my client,
- 21 Nuon Chea, has severe headache that he cannot remain sitting in
- 22 this courtroom. May he be excused and return to the detention
- 23 facility, if you may?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Upon having (inaudible) of the request and since Nuon Chea

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 indicated that he has have concern and that he be excused from
- 2 the proceedings and, of course, this hearing is for Ieng Thirith;
- 3 not for Nuon Chea, however, the application that Nuon Chea be
- 4 returned to the detention facility is not possible because there
- 5 will be a follow-up session that Nuon Chea have to be present.
- 6 At this moment, Nuon Chea is excused, indeed, but he can only be
- 7 returned to the holding cell; not the detention facility and he
- 8 will be on stand-by to participate in the session dedicated for
- 9 individualized session.
- 10 Security personnel are now instructed to take Nuon Chea to the
- 11 holding cell.
- 12 Counsel Diana Ellis, you may now proceed.
- 13 MS. ELLIS:
- 14 Q. The scan of the 13th of November 2007 is described as being
- 15 done without an injection. Could you explain what is the
- 16 difference when a scan is undertaken where there has been an
- injection and where there hasn't?
- 18 [10.09.18]
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 20 A. With a CT scan done with an injection of contrast media,
- 21 this is useful for showing up a situation where; for example, the
- 22 person may have an underlying tumour. It is used with care
- 23 because it can cause problems in people with renal impairment.
- 24 Q. What did you note when you reviewed that scan of the 13th
- of November 2007?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. That scan, again, showed generalized cerebral atrophy;
- 2 brain shrinkage.
- 3 Q. The next occasion on which a CT scan was performed was on
- 4 the 13th of October of 2009. Did you, in fact, see that scan? I
- 5 don't think it's referred to in your report where you set out the
- 6 different scans that you have had an opportunity to consider.
- 7 In your expert report, you refer to at paragraph 6(c) on page 3
- 8 that you saw the medical reports that we've been going through on
- 9 hospital admissions, appointments and investigations and you
- 10 refer -- I beg your pardon, you in fact refer to the 13th of
- 11 October 2007 which would appear to be an error and that was
- 12 November 2007. So would you agree you didn't see one in October
- 13 2007; it was November 2007?
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct. And I've checked that again on this
- 15 occasion.
- 16 Q. Thank you. And then the next scan that you refer to of the
- 17 head is the 22nd of October 2009?
- 18 [10.11.51]
- 19 A. Yes, that is so. That was the most recent scan prior to my
- 20 seeing her on the first occasion and on this occasion, I've
- 21 reviewed her latest scan.
- 22 Q. So it follows from what you're saying that although we have
- 23 been provided with a document E110/4/2.3.9 which is a scan
- 24 undertaken on the 13th of October 2009 that was something you did
- 25 not see yourself or did not know had been (inaudible). Is that

00738722 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Could we just clarify that? There was, you say, a scan on
- 3 the 13th of October 2009?
- 4 Q. Yes, that's the information that we've been provided with
- 5 and the number of the document I've provided to the Court.
- 6 A. Did you have another scan of the 22nd of October because
- 7 that's the date I've noted here?
- 8 Q. Yes, yes.
- 9 A. I suspect that there was only one scan and that the dates
- 10 are wrong there.
- 11 Q. I was going to ask you whether there would be any reason
- 12 why two scans would be undertaken within such a short space of
- 13 time.
- 14 A. No, there would have been no reason. I suspect that it's
- 15 the same scan.
- 16 [10.13.16]
- 17 Q. Is it ever advisable to undertake a CT scan unless it's
- 18 thought to be necessary in the diagnosis of a condition?
- 19 A. No, not at all.
- 20 Q. And why is that?
- 21 A. Because it's unlikely to show up anything of significance,
- 22 it is expensive and there is radiation exposure.
- 23 Q. When you looked at the scan of the 22nd of October 2009,
- 24 did you have an opportunity to compare it with the scan taken two
- 25 years earlier in 2007?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes, I reviewed those scans.
- 2 Q. In reviewing them, what was your conclusion as to what they
- 3 showed?
- 4 A. All the scans that I have seen have shown a generalized
- 5 cerebral atrophy.
- 6 Q. Have they also shown the temporal lobes -- the frontal,
- 7 temporal lobes to look atrophied?
- 8 A. That is part of the generalized cerebral atrophy.
- 9 [10.14.42]
- 10 Q. And the significance of the atrophy of the frontal lobes is
- 11 the lobes are important, as you've told us, in controlling
- 12 behaviour, emotion. Damaged lobes can cause people to
- 13 confabulate; can they?
- 14 A. They can cause those changes, but it's important, as I've
- 15 said earlier, to note that there is not a good correlation
- 16 between the signs and the degree of atrophy on the CT scans.
- 17 Q. Is that because it's possible to have a degree of
- 18 generalized brain atrophy and yet not exhibit any external
- 19 symptoms?
- 20 A. Yes, that is so.
- 21 Q. And is that why you attach the significance that you do to
- 22 the behaviour, the examination and the observations of others?
- 23 A. Yes, the CT scans need to be viewed as part of the overall
- 24 picture. They do not in themselves provide a diagnosis.
- 25 Q. How easy is it to make comparisons between scans perhaps

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 performed on different machines?
- 2 A. Yes, that can be difficult although if there is definite
- 3 progression that should be evident.
- 4 Q. Did you note or were you in a position to note whether
- 5 there had been any progression that was visible from the scans
- 6 between 2007 and 2009?
- 7 [10.16.43]
- 8 A. I think through to -- as I've said, the scans I've seen
- 9 over that time through to the last scan in June, I would not say
- 10 that there had been significant change in the degree of cerebral
- 11 atrophy. I do not feel I could comment accurately on that.
- 12 Q. Are you, therefore, saying that some changes have occurred,
- 13 but you wouldn't put any particular weight on them?
- 14 A. Yes, that is so.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Now, I would like to move on to paragraph 25 of
- 16 your expert report of the 23rd of June of this year.
- 17 That is page 9 of the report, paragraph 25, E62/3/6. I simply
- 18 want to go through with you some of the aspects of your testing
- 19 that haven't already been referred to in court. You've told us
- 20 some of the tests that you undertook. I really just want to deal
- 21 in a little more detail with some of the others.
- 22 Firstly, I look at your report in the form of a letter to the
- 23 Court the 13th of May 2011 where at paragraph 5 on page 2 you say
- 24 that you noted severe (inaudible) on formal mental testing. One
- 25 of the tests involved asking Ieng Thirith to recall objects.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Were these objects that she was shown or were they pictures?
- 2 [10.19.47]
- 3 A. In this particular test, the MMSE, one names three objects,
- 4 asks the person to repeat them so that one can be sure that they
- 5 have heard and understood and then later on in the examination
- 6 after some other tests have been done asks the person to recall
- 7 those three objects.
- 8 Q. So that you having stated three objects, she was able to
- 9 recall two immediately, but could remember none on the delayed
- 10 recall. That was the position; wasn't it? Weren't there over
- 11 the ---
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Sorry?
- 14 A. Yes, that is so.
- 15 Q. I'm not going to go over the numbers. You described that
- 16 yesterday.
- 17 You then did the 3-step folding test. She completed the first
- 18 two steps, but not the third. Just so we can be clear, what is
- 19 the nature of that test? What is she being asked to do exactly?
- 20 A. She's been asked to sequence a series of events -- a series
- 21 of actions and so one asks the person to take a piece of paper in
- 22 the right hand, to fold it in half and then to put it on the
- 23 floor and she was able to take it in her right hand, fold it in
- 24 half, but by that stage had forgotten the third command -- the
- 25 third request.

00738726 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [10.21.26]
- 2 Q. You then say she was able to name a pen and a watch, but
- 3 not able to name the animals shown in the pictures in the
- 4 Montreal assessment. Again, are you showing her pictures?
- 5 A. With the pen and the watch, they're actual objects and this
- 6 is testing for two things; naming ability, language, but also
- 7 visual recognition which can be impaired. With the animals in
- 8 the (inaudible) they are clearly pictures.
- 9 Q. You didn't parade them in. And then you tested her. She
- 10 was able to repeat a sentence, but when writing a sentence she
- 11 lost the sense of what she was writing before completion?
- 12 A. Yes, that is so. She started to write the sentence and
- 13 then lost her train of thought during that and was unable to
- 14 complete it.
- 15 Q. And are we talking about a sentence which is very lengthy,
- 16 a large number of words, or a very simple -- simply constructed
- 17 sentence?
- 18 A. One asks the person just to write a sentence; it can be any
- 19 sentence that they wish. So they make the sentence up.
- 20 Q. So she is unable to actually write? You say that the
- 21 sentence she, herself, has created first of all?
- 22 A. Yes, she had trouble completing that task; completing that
- 23 sentence.
- 24 [12.23.15]
- 25 Q. And then you said that she was unable to reproduce the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 intersecting pentagons and attempted to add-on to the original
- 2 diagram.
- 3 A. This is really a test to construction or function and what
- 4 she demonstrated -- she demonstrated in a number of tests the
- 5 tendency to perseverate; that is, to continue on with an action
- 6 even though it's been completed. Interestingly, on repeating the
- 7 intersecting pentagons, on this occasion, she was able to
- 8 complete that.
- 9 Q. You say she was able to read the instruction, "close your
- 10 eyes" which was written in Khmer, but she could not grasp she was
- 11 being asked to carry out that instruction?
- 12 A. Yes, one asks the person to carry out what is being written
- 13 here and one writes in this situation in Khmer, "Close your eyes"
- 14 and although she could read that, she didn't continue to complete
- 15 the instruction.
- 16 Q. And you've already described the difficulty she had with
- 17 the alternating trail making test and in respect of the clock
- 18 face so I won't go through those further.
- 19 And it was that that led you to consider there was severe
- 20 impairment. Would you agree these are very basic tests?
- 21 A. Yes, as I've indicated, I thought they indicated a moderate
- 22 impairment.
- 23 [10.24.54]
- 24 Q. Can I move on now, please, to the behaviour of Ieng
- 25 Thirith? You have seen, haven't you, the letter written by the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Director of the detention centre on the 16th of March of 2009 in
- 2 which he provides the table showing that on 24 occasions between
- 3 the 7th of November 2008 and the 11th of March of 2009 the
- 4 behaviour of Ieng Thirith had given cause for concern?
- 5 A. I don't think I have seen that table.
- 6 Q. It is set out in a document B37/2.
- 7 MS. ELLIS:
- 8 Does it assist the Court to have the ERN number?
- 9 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 10 Apparently, it doesn't assist to have the ERN number. I think
- 11 we're looking for the document under the B37 ---
- 12 MS. ELLIS:
- 13 It's B37/2.
- 14 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 15 Thank you. Perhaps it could be put up on the screen. Would that
- 16 assist Ms. Ellis?
- 17 [10.26.39]
- 18 MS. ELLIS:
- 19 Definitely, it would assist. Thank you very much.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Court official is now instructed to project the document on to
- 22 the projector.
- 23 (Short pause)
- 24 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 25 I think it's preferable not to -- on reflection, having read the

00738729 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 document, myself, for the first time as well, it's preferable not
- 2 to put this on the screen, Ms. Ellis, because of the very
- 3 specific comments made in it. But perhaps if Professor Campbell
- 4 can simply look at it and you can put appropriate questions,
- 5 please.
- 6 [10.29.20]
- 7 MS. ELLIS:
- 8 Yes. Could we then, please, take it off the screen? Thank you.
- 9 MS. ELLIS:
- 10 Q. Professor Campbell, you -- it's quite quick to look through
- 11 it. You've now seen it. That indicates, doesn't it, in terms of
- 12 the dates, that between the 7th of November 2008 and the 11th of
- 13 March 2009 that is on 24 occasions between those dates there was
- 14 behaviour by Ieng Thirith which was insulting and gave concern to
- 15 the Director who then wrote to the Co-Investigating Judges.
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 17 A. That is indicated in the document.
- 18 Q. Thank you. Did you then see that the Director wrote again
- 19 on the 27th of July of 2009 making further complaints of her
- 20 irregular, inappropriate behaviour between the 15th of March of
- 21 2009 and the 5th of July of 2009 inciting 26 incidents and that
- 22 is B37/5?
- 23 A. No, I've not seen that document either.
- 24 MS. ELLIS:
- 25 Mr. President, could I just again pass the document to Professor

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Campbell without the need to put it on the screen so he can
- 2 confirm the content of it? It is a document that I think we only
- 3 have in French, but as I understand Professor Campbell won't be
- 4 challenged in a way that makes it impossible for him not to
- 5 assist.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Counsel Diana Ellis, how many more questions would you wish to
- 8 put to the expert?
- 9 [10.33.02]
- 10 MS. ELLIS:
- 11 I have a number of questions on the report that he prepared and
- 12 the content of Professor Ka and Dr. Brinded. May I inquire ---
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you for this indication. Since it is an appropriate time
- 15 for adjournment, the Court will take the adjournment for 20
- 16 minutes. We will resume at ten to eleven.
- 17 (Court recesses from 1033H to 1057)
- 18 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 19 THE PRESIDENT: Please be seated. The Court is now back in
- 20 session.
- 21 We now hand over to Ms. Diana Ellis to proceed with her remaining
- 22 questions.
- 23 MS. ELLIS:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 Q. Professor Campbell, have you had an opportunity to look at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that document from the director of the detention centre dated the
- 2 27th of July of 2009?
- 3 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 4 A. I have. It stretches my school boy French but I think I
- 5 have got the general understanding.
- 6 [10:58:10]
- 7 Q. And do you agree again, essentially, it is indicating a
- 8 further 26 occasions between the 15th of March of 2009 and the
- 9 5th of July of that year when there were -- seemed to be
- 10 behaviour which was considered inappropriate and insulting and
- 11 irregular, really encapsulates the concerns?
- 12 A. Yes, that is how it's been viewed.
- 13 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at another letter because it
- 14 is also from the director, and if you haven't had access to the
- 15 previous two you probably haven't seen this. And for the
- 16 assistance of the Court it is B44. If I might hand it up to
- 17 Professor Campbell please.
- 18 THE PRESIDENT: The court officer is now instructed to hand over
- 19 the document to the expert.
- 20 MS. ELLIS:
- 21 Q. Again, Professor Campbell, this is a letter, is it not,
- 22 sent by the director of the detention centre? It's dated the
- 23 19th of May of 2010.
- 24 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. And it is a letter that is directed by way of a report to
- 2 the Co-Investigating Judges, as indicated in the title?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 [11:01:01]
- 5 Q. And of significance for our purposes I simply would ask you
- 6 to confirm this; that it sets out that Ieng Thirith was placed in
- 7 the detention centre on the 14th of November of 2007?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. That for the next year she was observed and did not cause
- 10 any problems?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And it then indicates that as from the month of October
- 13 2008 she became unruly?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. By 2009 it was creating a bad atmosphere in the unit and
- 16 she was raising her voice a lot in 2010?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And without going into the details of the behaviour, would
- 19 you agree that it appears that once she started to misbehave in
- 20 late 2008 she was becoming progressively worse?
- 21 A. I think there are two important issues. I think firstly
- 22 the progression, as you have indicated. I think the other issue
- 23 is that in the earlier reports the antagonism was much more
- 24 focused and directed. In this report it indicates a wider
- 25 pattern of abuse and disinhibition.

00738733 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [11:02:56]
- 2 Q. And does the director also describe an incident where she
- 3 used inappropriate material in the course of trying to take care
- 4 of herself? Again without going into details, it's the last
- 5 sentence of the first paragraph.
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 Q. Would that perhaps indicate that she was again losing the
- 8 ability to really act appropriately and care for herself
- 9 appropriately?
- 10 A. Yes, that is consistent with that.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Again concerning behaviour, you've touched on
- 12 the fact that you had the benefit of considering DVDs of the 24th
- 13 of February of 2009 and also in February 2010. You've already
- 14 mentioned that, and that you also read the transcript of one of
- 15 those hearings.
- 16 Would it be right to say that -- and you referred to this in your
- 17 report -- that they show her to have some memory impairment then?
- 18 A. Yes, the memory impairment was with respect to her husband
- 19 and her husband's name.
- 20 Q. And also she failed to recall the number of children that
- 21 she had?
- 22 A. Yes, that is correct, and on other occasions as well.
- 23 Q. And did you also notice that in speaking she appeared to
- 24 move without obvious reason from one topic to another?
- 25 [11:0515]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes, it appeared that her thoughts did not logically
- 2 progress and that she did go off on tangents.
- 3 Q. In your expert report at paragraph 10 on page 6 -- I'm
- 4 sorry, that's not the reference I wanted to give you so please
- 5 ignore that. You've also spoken, I think, to medical staff and
- 6 they have noticed fluctuations in her memory and more marked in
- 7 recent memory?
- 8 A. Yes, the problems have been with both recent and past
- 9 memory but recent memory.
- 10 Q. And that's at paragraph 11 of your report, not paragraph
- 11 10.
- 12 And from their observations of her, they find that she -- and I
- 13 quote from your report -- "sometimes talks to herself usually
- 14 about the past and her youth"?
- 15 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 16 Q. Would you describe that as normal behaviour?
- 17 A. No, not in this context.
- 18 Q. And she currently speaks of matters not relevant to the
- 19 clinical assessment. Is that in any way indicative of an
- 20 inability to focus on the matter in issue?
- 21 [11:07:18]
- 22 A. Yes, that does reflect a problem with focus and with
- 23 concentration.
- 24 Q. And at paragraph 13, again dealing with the observations of
- 25 those working with her, did they inform you that she now usually

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 needs staff to provide direction?
- 2 A. Yes, I understood from them that she often requires
- 3 direction and can become lost within the detention centre and on
- 4 occasions does not recognize her own room.
- 5 Q. So that one of the symptoms we looked at earlier of
- 6 disorientation in place appears to be exhibited there, does it?
- 7 A. Yes, that's how I interpreted it.
- 8 Q. And that is disorientation within a very enclosed
- 9 environment where she has been for nearly four years?
- 10 A. Yes, it's not a complex environment.
- 11 Q. Did you find that she on occasion was mistaking her
- 12 grandchildren for her own children? Again I've taken this from
- 13 paragraph 13.
- 14 A. Yes, getting a proper account from her of what family she
- 15 had and where they were and how many grandchildren she had.
- 16 Q. You also decided, didn't you, that you would speak with her
- 17 husband, Ieng Sary, in order to get his impression of any changes
- 18 that he might have observed. Is that right?
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 [11:09:42]
- 21 Q. And is it right that you recorded that he had said there
- 22 had been great changes and she keeps forgetting things?
- 23 A. Yes, those are direct quotes from my discussion with him,
- 24 obviously having gone through the translator first.
- 25 Q. And he told you that she forgets her eldest sister and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 parents have died, even though he keeps telling her that they
- 2 have?
- 3 A. Yes, that is so.
- 4 Q. Is this a recognized symptom that arises in people as they
- 5 become demented; that they often fail to recall the death of
- 6 close family members?
- 7 A. Yes, that does occur in moderately severe dementia and they
- 8 can mistake family members. They can fail to recognize
- 9 long-standing family members, such as their husband, for example.
- 10 Q. And her husband was also to tell you that she doesn't
- 11 recall that she was ever a minister or details of the date of the
- 12 -- significant dates for the regime?
- 13 A. Yes, that is what Ieng Sary told me.
- 14 [11:11:16]
- 15 Q. And he told you the change was gradual, did he?
- 16 A. He felt there had been a progression, a deterioration,
- 17 since her admission to the detention centre.
- 18 Q. And he said that she can forget where she's sleeping?
- 19 A. That is so.
- 20 Q. He also said that she in the past had rarely got angry but
- 21 now got angry out of, he thought, frustration?
- 22 A. Yes, that is so.
- 23 Q. That she can lose control of her speech and talks to
- 24 herself?
- 25 A. Yes.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Now, it might be said that of course he is not a
- 2 disinterested party. On the other hand, would it be fair to say
- 3 that those observations made by him in fact you had heard
- 4 independently in many instances, as we've just been through
- 5 earlier, from other carers or people who had close contact with
- 6 her?
- 7 A. Yes, I felt that the observations he made were consistent
- 8 with the other observations that I had heard from other people.
- 9 [11:12:42]
- 10 Q. I asked you yesterday whether the family history had any
- 11 relevance to the issue of what condition Ieng Thirith might in
- 12 fact be suffering from. It's well documented that her sister
- 13 suffered from serious mental health problems. Schizophrenia's
- 14 been mentioned. Do you consider that that factor may give Ieng
- 15 Thirith a genetic disposition to dementia?
- 16 A. Not to dementia, no.
- 17 Q. Hanging in the air is, to something else. What would that
- 18 be?
- 19 A. I'm not an expert on the inheritance of schizophrenia so I
- 20 couldn't comment on that.
- 21 Q. It's also documented that her mother suffered mental
- 22 illness. Might that have any relevance?
- 23 A. No, I don't think that is an important factor. As I said
- 24 yesterday, the inherited factors in dementia are of more
- 25 importance in young people -- younger people -- rather than

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 people of Ieng Thirith's age.
- 2 Q. Now, I'd like to come on to look at the two expert reports
- 3 that the Court has requested over the past few years. You've
- 4 seen and read and considered the report of the 22nd of November
- 5 2009 of Professor Ka and Dr. Brinded. Is that right?
- 6 A. I have.
- 7 Q. And Dr. Brinded is apparently an English speaking doctor, a
- 8 forensic psychiatrist from New Zealand?
- 9 [11:14:58]
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. If we turn to paragraph 6 of that report please -- page 6 I
- 12 think it is. It's page 6. There are not paragraph numbers. And
- 13 the report is B37/9/8 for the record.
- 14 Professor Campbell, what I want to do is, so everyone is clear,
- 15 is look at the impression the two doctors gained of Ieng Thirith
- 16 in October/November 2009 and see where there might be differences
- 17 when you come to examine her nearly two years later.
- 18 In the report -- and do you have it in front of you? Thank you.
- 19 And I'm looking at the paragraph which commences -- the second
- 20 paragraph down -- "In summary". I'm not going to read it I'm
- 21 going to make points from it.
- 22 They say they spoke at length about her arrest and detention with
- 23 her. They say they spoke about the charges. They say they spoke
- 24 at considerable length and they spoke in considerable detail.
- 25 They say she spoke of significant events. They say she spoke of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 her life as a student in Paris, and she spoke of the death of
- 2 students. They say that she used English and French as well as
- 3 the Khmer language. And they say at paragraph 7 that her speech
- 4 flow was normal and that she was orientated in time and place.
- 5 Do you agree that that is all contained in that particular
- 6 section of the report?
- 7 [11:18:00]
- 8 A. Yes, I agree.
- 9 Q. Thank you. They describe that she was aided at one stage
- 10 by the use of a written document. Do you agree that it does not
- 11 anywhere state that she was the author of that document?
- 12 A. No, it does not state that.
- 13 Q. They were able to ascertain from her that she understood
- 14 the role of her lawyers and the charges that she faced. Is that
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes, they indicate that.
- 17 Q. They went on to say that she had an impaired short-term
- 18 memory and complained that she couldn't remember dates and had
- 19 difficulty in answering lengthy questions, and at times she
- 20 confused the chronological sequence of events, and when she was
- 21 asked stressful questions she returned to key events. Is that in
- 22 that report?
- 23 (No interpretation)
- 24 MS. ELLIS:
- 25 Q. Would the fact that she had difficulty answering lengthy

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 questions in November 2009 be an indicator that there are
- 2 problems to do with her cognitive functioning perhaps at an
- 3 earlier stage?
- 4 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 5 A. Yes, that was a conclusion that they drew from the
- 6 interviews.
- 7 Q. And again, is there difficulty in sequencing events in
- 8 chronological order an indication of a cognitive impairment?
- 9 [11:20:33]
- 10 A. It can be. It depends very much on the complexity of the
- 11 sequencing and how important they were to the person. I mean, we
- 12 all have troubles at times but it depends very much on the
- 13 circumstances.
- 14 Q. At page 7 they state that it was obvious from her behaviour
- 15 at times that she had experienced a degree of psychological
- 16 trauma due to her life events, and that is something I think
- 17 you've agreed with, as you've told us?
- 18 A. Yes, that is so. I think this is a very complex situation
- 19 with a number of factors contributing to the problems.
- 20 Q. We've already dealt with their conclusions, which were that
- 21 she was suffering from mild cognitive impairment. That is on
- 22 page 9 of the report. They also identified and refer to a mild
- 23 paranoid thinking. Can mild paranoid thinking again be an
- 24 indicator of dementia?
- 25 A. Yes, it can be. For example, if a person losses something

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 they can feel it's been stolen, and there have been instances of
- 2 that.
- 3 Q. And it's clear from the final passage in the report that
- 4 they understood there would need to be a reassessment at a stage
- 5 closer to the trial proceedings?
- 6 A. That's their conclusion.
- 7 [11:22:53]
- 8 Q. Now we come onto your examination conducted, as you've told
- 9 us, over a significant period of time, and you reported on the
- 10 23rd of June of this year. The picture that you found was very
- 11 different from that painted by the report of Dr. Brinded and
- 12 Professor Ka, wasn't it?
- 13 A. Yes, there were undoubted differences.
- 14 Q. When you wrote to the Court on the 13th of May, on the
- 15 second page, the first point you make is that she had very poor
- 16 concentration with an inability to maintain focus or sustain a
- 17 conversation and topic.
- 18 A. Yes, and that had been quite different from the earlier
- 19 report.
- 20 Q. You can correct me if I'm wrong, but it appears, going
- 21 through your report, that you didn't have any what might be
- 22 called normal conversation with her over more than a minute or
- 23 two?
- 24 A. Yes, that is so. It was obviously difficult going through
- 25 the interpreter, but I also spoke with the interpreter to see if

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 the lack of flow in the conversation and the sentencing was due
- 2 to the interpretation or if that was because of problems that
- 3 Ieng Thirith was having, and he felt that it was with the
- 4 problems that she was having expressing herself.
- 5 Q. And in your report at paragraph 15 you say that Ieng
- 6 Thirith had difficulty understanding the purpose of the
- 7 consultation. Is that right?
- 8 A. Yes, and I returned to that the next day and she could not
- 9 recall the purpose of my visit.
- 10 [11:25:22]
- 11 Q. She told you that she was fearful -- and I quote -- about
- 12 problems with her head and it was difficult for her to see her
- 13 students but she couldn't say why?
- 14 A. That's right. And in the report of two years previously,
- 15 it's evident from the comments made there, that she kept
- 16 referring back to her students.
- 17 Q. Was it your impression that she thought that she was still
- 18 in some way actively engaged with her students?
- 19 A. Well, she spoke of her students as though they were a very
- 20 present issue, and yes, that she was still engaged with them.
- 21 Q. She complained, you say, in the same paragraph, of leg
- 22 pains frequently, and also mentioned her students, but she
- 23 couldn't again say when the pain had started?
- 24 A. No, I've not on any of the occasions been able to get an
- 25 accurate history of the leg pains.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Might it be the case that the constant reference to the leg
- 2 pains is due to an inability to more accurately describe the
- 3 difficulties and discomforts that she experiences?
- 4 A. I think when she has any difficulty understanding the
- 5 questions or the conversations then that is her way of diverting
- 6 away from her inability to respond appropriately.
- 7 [11:27:22]
- 8 Q. We know from what you've told us that she had observations
- 9 by doctors at Calmette to see whether there were any arthritic
- 10 changes, any problems in her knees, in her movement, that might
- 11 have given rise to the degree of pain that she has constantly
- 12 expressed and nothing of significance was found. That's right,
- 13 isn't it?
- 14 A. That is so, and I also did not find any problems really on
- 15 examination of knees and ankles.
- 16 Q. The arthritis that is identified is in fact the arthritis
- 17 of the spine?
- 18 A. She does have wear and tear changes in her spine, that's
- 19 true. And with knee arthritis, large joint arthritis, again,
- 20 there's often not a particularly good correlation between, for
- 21 example, x-ray changes and the degree of discomfort, so that I
- 22 would not dismiss her pain as being due to arthritis involving
- 23 her joints.
- 24 Q. But do you think her constant reference to pain might also
- 25 be due to some aspect of her lack of full cognitive functioning?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes, I think that is a factor.
- 2 Q. At paragraph 16 of your report you say that she showed
- 3 little emotion. I use the word "emotion". You use the word
- 4 "affect". Is it the same?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. Thank you. Emotion is the more familiar word generally,
- 7 That she became agitated at her inability to answer questions.
- 8 Did that suggest to you that she would like to or wanted to
- 9 answer questions but just could not?
- 10 [11:29:28]
- 11 A. Yes, I think she was frustrated by her inability to
- 12 understand what was happening.
- 13 Q. To take up questions that have been posed by the Court, you
- 14 didn't get the impression that this was a woman who was quite
- 15 cunningly trying to pretend that she had a lack of understanding.
- 16 You rather believed that she simply could not deal with the
- 17 matters she was faced with. Is that right?
- 18 A. Yes, I was very conscious of that issue and I did not feel
- 19 that she was deliberately trying to mislead.
- 20 Q. You describe a difficulty in concentrating and in
- 21 maintaining focus and that any conversation went off on tangents
- 22 and didn't remain on the issue that was being discussed?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And bearing in mind that two years earlier there had been a
- 25 reference to her language skills in French and English. She's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 known and documented to have spoke both those languages fluently,
- 2 as well as Khmer. You note that she spoke mainly in Khmer with
- 3 you, only occasional French and English. Is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And I won't ask you about French because you indicate a
- 6 little challenge there, but in respect of English, would you
- 7 agree that she could no longer be described as a fluent English
- 8 speaker?
- 9 [11:31:23]
- 10 A. I would have difficulty commenting on that. She was not
- 11 fluent in English in my discussions with her but then she was
- 12 working mainly in Khmer not in English.
- 13 Q. But every question was asked in English?
- 14 A. It was.
- 15 Q. Again a loss of language skills is a feature as people
- 16 begin to become cognitively impaired, is it not?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. You've already mentioned the matters set out at paragraph
- 19 17. She didn't -- wasn't able to name the building where she was
- 20 located or indeed the purpose of that building. In other words,
- 21 she didn't know that she was being effectively detained in a
- 22 prison?
- 23 A. Yes, she certainly was unable to answer the questions about
- 24 where she was and the purpose of the building and why she was
- 25 there.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [11:32:34]
- 2 Q. It's a common question to ask somebody who may be demented
- 3 if they know the date, isn't it?
- 4 A. It is.
- 5 Q. She gave you the wrong date, didn't she?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Indeed, she gave you a date of the 10th of March?
- 8 A. Which is her birthday, as far as I understand.
- 9 Q. Thank you. That was the question I was going to ask if you
- 10 knew. She gave you the same date of the 10th of March for both
- 11 her wedding and the date of the interview, didn't she?
- 12 A. She did.
- 13 Q. But am I right that when you asked her her birthday she
- 14 didn't know, in fact, the date of her birthday?
- 15 A. No, that's right.
- 16 Q. And she didn't know how old she was?
- 17 A. No, she didn't know her age.
- 18 [11:33:37]
- 19 Q. Paragraph 18: She thought that her parents were also in
- 20 the building and were telling her what to do?
- 21 A. That is so. In subsequent discussions I've been made to
- 22 understand that that may be a Khmer belief that those who are
- 23 dead are still with the person and may be supporting them. So I
- 24 have difficulty actually interpreting those particular comments.
- 25 Q. But of course you had already, as we know from your

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 evidence today, and as you set out in paragraph 14, heard from
- 2 her husband that he said that she forgets that her parents and
- 3 elder sister have died even though he keeps telling her they
- 4 have. Would that not suggest that it is an explanation other
- 5 than the fact that as Khmer she believes her parents are still
- 6 with her?
- 7 A. That is correct. But given the cultural issues, I am a
- 8 little hesitant.
- 9 Q. You wouldn't want to base a diagnosis on it?
- 10 (No interpretation)
- 11 MS. ELLIS:
- 12 Q. She told you that she was married in Cambodia when in fact
- 13 it's well known, as you learnt, I think, that she was married in
- 14 France. Is that right?
- 15 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 [11:35:17]
- 18 Q. And she also said that she had no children that she's given
- 19 birth to naturally she had adopted children?
- 20 A. Yes, that was the response I was getting from her.
- 21 Q. Something which you understand to be incorrect?
- 22 A. That is so.
- 23 Q. And unlike two years ago, she had little memory of where
- 24 she was educated, where she went to school, or any details of
- 25 that nature, save for the fact that she had been a teacher?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then you say at paragraph 21 of your report that when
- 3 you asked her if she held a position in government she started
- 4 looking through her papers and the material in fact was totally
- 5 irrelevant that she was looking at?
- 6 A. Yes, she'd brought a number of papers to that interview but
- 7 they weren't related to the questions, as far as I could
- 8 determine.
- 9 Q. Does it follow that when she went through the irrelevant
- 10 material she was not able to come up with any answer as to what
- 11 role she had been allocated in government?
- 12 A. No. I mean, my interpretation was that this was a
- 13 sensitive area and she was not able to come up with details at
- 14 that time. She was more agitated in discussing that.
- 15 [11:37:02]
- 16 Q. She, at paragraph 22 you say, had no recollection of the
- 17 first hearing, no memory of the charges and said to you there
- 18 were no accusations against her?
- 19 A. That's what she said to me, yes.
- 20 Q. And again that presents a very different picture of her
- 21 understanding when she spoke to the two doctors back in October
- 22 2009. Would you agree?
- 23 A. Yes, I agree.
- 24 Q. She had an inability to remember the names or occupations
- 25 of those doctors from Calmette who were present. Is that right?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And were they doctors that you would have expected her to
- 3 have a familiarity with due to their treatment of her over a
- 4 significant period?
- 5 A. Those were doctors who had regular contact with her.
- 6 Q. And from what you've said, neither could she recall why you
- 7 had seen her the previous day when you went back for a second
- 8 visit less than 24 hours later?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 [11.38.38]
- 11 Q. Did you, in fact, want her to sign any documents?
- 12 A. No, no.
- 13 Q. So that question came out of nothing that you had said or
- 14 done; "Do you want me to sign anything?"
- 15 A. No. I assumed it had come out because I was seeing her in
- 16 an official capacity and she interpreted it in that way.
- 17 Q. Now, you would agree, wouldn't you, that there is a
- 18 significant change in the abilities and the capacities and
- 19 performance of Ieng Thirith between the period of October 2009
- 20 and May 2011?
- 21 A. Yes, certainly my findings were different from those found
- 22 18 months to 2 years previously.
- 23 Q. Would that be something that would be consistent with a
- 24 person who suffers from dementia, Alzheimer 's disease, that
- 25 being a progressive disease?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. It would be consistent with that but also needs to be taken
- 2 in conjunction with the circumstances that she was in over that
- 3 18-month to 2-year period where those circumstances, or lack of
- 4 outside stimulation, the pressures that she was under,
- 5 incarceration, will also have added to the cognitive function,
- 6 her mood, as well.
- 7 [11.40.29]
- 8 Q. Is the position this then?
- 9 That she was mildly cognitively impaired in October 2009 but for
- 10 a number of reasons, including the fact that Alzheimer's is a
- 11 disease that progresses, it is not surprising if she has become
- 12 moderately, severely cognitively impaired nearly two years later?
- 13 A. That's right. I fear her impairment is greater now than it
- 14 was two years ago and is a moderate impairment.
- 15 Q. You set out at paragraph 28 in your same report that your
- 16 assessment is that it is a "global cognitive impairment".
- 17 What did you mean by the use of the word "global"?
- 18 A. A dementing(sic) illness is a global impairment and it
- 19 affects most brain functions. Disorders in a certain part of the
- 20 brain can cause focal problems, for example, construction with
- 21 language, but in this situation it has a more diffuse effect on
- 22 the brain as a whole.
- 23 Q. And you identified, particularly, evident was the
- 24 impairment in the domains of memory, speech, construction and
- 25 frontal lobe function, which was consistent with a dementing(sic)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 disorder?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 [11.42.37]
- 4 Q. And at paragraph 34, you said:
- 5 "The moderately severe dementia does impair her ability to
- 6 comprehend questions, follow instructions, recall events,
- 7 concentrate, and maintain a line of thought."
- 8 A. Yes, that is what I found in my examination of her.
- 9 Q. And following the reduction that you've already described
- 10 that took place in the medication when you did your follow-up
- 11 assessment on the 25th of August -- and I think, again, there's
- 12 just a date correction that is needed. It's the 25th of August,
- page 2 of your follow-up report, paragraph 5(e), not the morning
- 14 of the 25th of May?
- 15 A. Yes, my apologies, that's correct.
- 16 Q. So that you had seen Ieng Thirith on the 24th of August, as
- 17 you've told us, and on the morning of the 25th of August you go
- 18 back again for the reasons you've indicated with Professor Chak
- 19 Thida to interview her and on that occasion you've described, for
- 20 the reasons that we needn't repeat, why you took a back seat.
- 21 Your conclusion at paragraph 6 is that there was no improvement
- 22 in her cognitive function in spite of the stopping of the
- 23 clonazepam and the bromazepam, the benzodiazepines, and that
- 24 those who had continued to have supervisory responsibility for
- 25 her hadn't, I think, noticed any improvements in her behaviour or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 memory either; would that be right?
- 2 [11.45.05]
- 3 A. That is right.
- 4 Q. She still remained moderately impaired with past details
- 5 being vague and often inaccurate, poor concentration, and all
- 6 those matters that you have fully canvassed, remained; they were
- 7 canvassed fully in your main report of 23rd June?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You understand that you are here to assess and consider
- 10 her fitness to stand trial, and you've told us that you are
- 11 familiar with the non-exhaustive list of capacities as set out in
- 12 the case of Strugar?
- 13 A. Yes, as detailed in my order.
- 14 Q. Would you agree that in her present state she is not in a
- 15 position to understand the nature of the charges of Genocide,
- 16 Crimes against Humanity and War Crimes and concepts,
- 17 meaningfully, of guilt and innocence?
- 18 A. And that she would have difficulty understanding.
- 19 Q. Would you agree that from your assessment it could not be
- 20 said that she would be able to follow the trial proceedings and
- 21 rationally understand what was taking place in the courtroom?
- 22 A. That was my conclusion from my interviews with her.
- 23 Q. Would you agree that she is not in a position to read or
- 24 have read to her witness statements describing events of 35 years
- 25 and understand the content of those statements?

00738753 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [11.47.37]
- 2 A. I think her impairment is such that she would have great
- 3 difficulty.
- 4 Q. And she would have great difficulty due to her impairment
- 5 in providing an account of what, in fact, she says she did do --
- 6 or did in 1975 to '79?
- 7 A. That is so.
- 8 Q. In a court where a witness comes and gives evidence against
- 9 an accused, the accused, of course, has the right to challenge
- 10 that evidence by putting the counter position, the facts as they
- 11 say they are.
- 12 The reality is this is something she simply could not do, could
- 13 she?
- 14 A. It would require her to follow and understand what has --
- 15 what was being said and then to remember the events in order to
- 16 make comment, and I think she would have real difficulty with
- 17 that.
- 18 Q. Would you agree that her difficulties, for all the reasons
- 19 mentioned, would be insurmountable when it comes to providing
- 20 instructions to her lawyers in order to assist in the preparation
- 21 of her case?
- 22 [11.49.05]
- 23 A. As she is at present, I would.
- 24 Q. The reality is that it would not be possible for her to
- 25 testify in her own defence and answer questions in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 cross-examinations in any meaningful way, would it?
- 2 A. As I've said, as she is now. And as I've suggested there
- 3 may be means of improving the situation, although I think the
- 4 likelihood of improvement is low.
- 5 Q. I'll come on to the suggestions for improvement, but if we
- 6 could just complete the ---
- 7 THE PRESIDENT:
- 8 Counsel, at least could you please hold on such -- so we can try
- 9 -- you may proceed.
- 10 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 11 Ms. Ellis, you've been going very carefully through Professor
- 12 Campbell's report and putting statements from the report to him,
- 13 but when it comes to asking his conclusions which relate to the
- 14 decision the Court must make, would you please put more open
- 15 questions to him so that it's his opinion not yours that we hear.
- 16 And I think, once again, you're straying into that -- I know it's
- 17 tempting -- but please don't do it. Thank you.
- 18 [11.50.38]
- 19 MS. ELLIS:
- 20 Q. Could she testify in her own defence and stand up to
- 21 cross-examination?
- 22 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 23 A. As Ieng Thirith is at present, I think she would have great
- 24 difficulty doing that.
- 25 Q. In her current state, do you consider that she understands

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 the nature of the proceedings and the consequences of the
- 2 proceedings as they may be?
- 3 A. When I asked her about that she was unable to discuss the
- 4 matters, and I felt that was from her lack of understanding.
- 5 Q. As you were coming on to say a few moments ago, having
- 6 discovered that the reduction in the benzodiazepines has not
- 7 shown any marked improvement, your suggestion is that a different
- 8 type of medication should be tried. Is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, I think the quetiapine should be reduced as far as
- 10 it's safe, and then if there is no significant improvement -- and
- 11 I think it isn't likely that there will be -- then a trial of the
- 12 donepezil, which I mentioned, should be attempted.
- 13 Q. Could I ask for clarification from you as to what might be
- 14 the result of that drug acting effectively?
- 15 A. The hope would be that it did, in course, lead to some
- 16 improvement in memory. It does in some people have a significant
- 17 benefit but, as I've indicated, in the majority it does not.
- 18 [11.52.45]
- 19 Q. You say "in some people" it has a benefit. Is it a figure
- of more or less than 30 percent who benefit?
- 21 A. It's around a third of people who benefit.
- 22 Q. So about 30 percent?
- 23 A. About 30 percent.
- 24 Q. Did I understand correctly from what you said yesterday
- 25 that the benefits, if there are any, are, in fact, short-term?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. The drug has a symptomatic effect on those who benefit from
- 2 it. It does not alter the progression of the underlying problem.
- 3 Q. The drug has a number of side-effects you said yesterday.
- 4 Are those side-effects such that they may make it impossible for
- 5 the patient to continue with the drug use?
- 6 A. Yes, sometimes people discontinue the medication because of
- 7 the side-effects.
- 8 Q. Were Ieng Thirith to cope with any side-effects and to show
- 9 some improvement as a result of taking the proposed medication,
- 10 over what period of time would there be observable benefits
- 11 before deterioration sets in?
- 12 [11.54.45]
- 13 A. In those who benefit, the normal course is to use a
- 14 5-milligram dose for a month to see if there's improvement. If
- 15 there is no improvement to increase to a 10-milligram dose, with
- 16 a total trial period of around 3 months.
- 17 Q. I understood you to say that it doesn't affect the
- 18 underlying illness, the Alzheimer's, therefore, does that in
- 19 time, again, make the patient cognitively impaired to a
- 20 significant degree?
- 21 A. Yes. The disorder progresses and often with patients one
- 22 reaches a stage where you feel the medication, having been of
- 23 initial benefit, is no longer of benefit, and then discontinue
- 24 it.
- 25 Q. It's the timescale that I'm interested in. Some medication

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 works very well for six months and that's helpful but,
- 2 thereafter, the original problem re-emerges.
- 3 Can you give us a timescale in terms of the proposed drug in this
- 4 case?
- 5 A. That's very difficult because it is very much an individual
- 6 response that one sees, and sometimes people can be maintained
- 7 for a longer period on the medication; a year or two or more.
- 8 Q. I asked you this yesterday and I don't think we had an
- 9 answer.
- 10 [11.56.22]
- 11 You responded to the Court by saying that you had found Nuon Chea
- 12 fit, in your assessment -- it's a matter for the Court -- but in
- 13 your assessment, to stand trial.
- 14 At the present time, what is your assessment in that respect of
- 15 Ieng Thirith?
- 16 A. My assessment at this stage is that she would have
- 17 difficulty instructing her counsel and participating fully in the
- 18 trial.
- 19 Q. There's only one final, short matter I'd like to ask you
- 20 about.
- 21 You have mentioned that should she be -- become less cognitively
- 22 challenged and should the Court decide, in due course, that she
- 23 could meaningfully participate in the trial, she could use the
- 24 facilities within the holding cell.
- 25 Now, the facility central to that is that there is means by a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 screen for her to see and listen to the events that are taking
- 2 place in the courtroom.
- 3 Have you any evidence from any of your discussions with those
- 4 that care for her or from any of your observations of her that
- 5 she is capable of following what goes on on a screen, which is a
- 6 less immediate medium by which to participate?
- 7 A. Well, not at the present stage, but if she were to improve
- 8 sufficiently to be able to participate in her trial, I would have
- 9 expected her to improve to the extent that she would be able to
- 10 understand the proceedings as conveyed to the holding cells.
- 11 Q. So it wouldn't be an option under the present impediment
- 12 that she suffers, but if there's an improvement then that
- 13 improvement would be reflected in her abilities concerning the
- 14 holding cell, and observing from there?
- 15 [11.58.03]
- 16 Is it more difficult for elderly people to follow what goes on on
- 17 the television or on the radio as they become more cognitively
- 18 impaired than in direct conversation?
- 19 A. It may, and it may be misinterpreted, but to the -- if
- 20 there was someone with her, then I don't think that would be a
- 21 problem.
- 22 Q. But that would perhaps be something to consider fully at a
- 23 later stage. Would you agree?
- 24 A. Yes, I agree.
- 25 MS. ELLIS:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Mr. President, Your Honours, I have no other questions, thank
- 2 you.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Thank you, counsel, for -- and Professor Campbell; in particular,
- 5 Professor Campbell for your efforts and assistance to --
- 6 responding to questions for the whole morning already.
- 7 And it is now an appropriate time to take the adjournment for
- 8 lunch.
- 9 The Court will take the adjournment and resume the session by
- 10 one-thirty.
- 11 Security personnel are now instructed to take Ieng Thirith to the
- 12 holding cell and return her to the courtroom by that time.
- 13 (Court recesses from 1200H to 1331H)
- 14 (Charged person exits courtroom)
- 15 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 18 [13.31.34]
- 19 This morning, the Court proceedings were left off with the
- 20 questioning by the defence team of Ieng Thirith to the expert,
- 21 Mr. John Campbell. Now the Chamber would like to hand over to
- 22 the Co-Prosecutors to be able to put questions to the expert.
- 23 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 QUESTIONING BY THE CO-PROSECUTORS:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 BY MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 2 Q. Good afternoon, Professor Campbell. You have already
- 3 reviewed the reports by Professor Brinded and Professor Ka
- 4 Sunbaunat, and you have already been familiar with the
- 5 methodology in assessing the accused person.
- 6 [13.32.45]
- 7 But we would like to hear from you, what is your position
- 8 concerning the methodology applied by the two professors?
- 9 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 10 A. Their methodology was very similar to mine.
- 11 Q. Would you wish to make any further recommendations or
- 12 elaboration on the methodology that has already been applied by
- 13 the two professors?
- 14 A. They interviewed Ieng Thirith and they reviewed the records
- 15 that she had and then assessed her competence according to their
- 16 direction, or direction that they'd received.
- 17 Q. Turning to the treatment Ieng Thirith received at
- 18 Bumrungrad Hospital in Bangkok, it appears that following her hip
- 19 operation in January 2006 she suffered hallucinations, dizziness
- 20 and sleeplessness. She was treated for these symptoms and the
- 21 medical reports from February, May and August 2006 contain the
- 22 diagnosis, psychosis in remission. Dr. Brinded and Professor Ka
- 23 Sunbaunat concluded in their report that Ieng Thirith had
- 24 suffered post-operative delirium, which did not appear to have
- 25 long-term effects.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [13.35.23]
- 2 Do you agree with this finding, or do you not?
- 3 A. I agree that that was the most likely diagnosis and the
- 4 cause of the problem after her hip fracture.
- 5 Q. Is post-operative delirium a temporary condition or is
- 6 dementia which you have diagnosed in any way the result of or
- 7 related to it?
- 8 [13.36.08]
- 9 A. Delirium is normally a self-limiting process, a response to
- 10 a particular stress, physical stress. If it is prolonged, it can
- 11 be associated with long-term cognitive impairment, although it's
- 12 more likely that the cognitive impairment preceded the episode of
- 13 delirium.
- 14 Q. Is it temporary condition?
- 15 A. Delirium itself is a temporary condition, yes.
- 16 Q. Would it be fair to conclude that you found a dramatic
- 17 change since the situation as assessed by Brinded and Dr. Ka
- 18 Sunbaunat in 2009?
- 19 [13.37.22]
- 20 A. There had certainly been changes, as I document, over that
- 21 two-year period.
- 22 Q. Would it have been appropriate to canvass with both of
- 23 those experts what may have led to such a significant change?
- 24 A. That is why I asked for a review by Professor Ka Sunbaunat.
- 25 Q. You state at paragraph 40 of your report, Document E62/3/6,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that Ieng Thirith suffers from a moderately severe dementing
- 2 illness, most probably Alzheimer's disease. At paragraph 32, you
- 3 stated that the history, examination, CT head scans and blood
- 4 tests suggested this is primarily a dementia due to Alzheimer's
- 5 disease.
- 6 [13.39.12]
- 7 However, your report does not indicate what specific factors led
- 8 you to conclude this was Alzheimer's disease. Could you tell the
- 9 Court what those specific factors were?
- 10 (Short pause)
- 11 MS. ELLIS:
- 12 Mr. President, Your Honours. if I could assist, what I've been
- 13 told is that she has been saying she wants to go home, but no
- 14 doubt there will be information forthcoming in a minute, if you
- 15 would like to receive it.
- 16 (Short pause)
- 17 [13.42.05]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The security personnel are now instructed to take Ieng Thirith to
- 20 $\,$ the holding cell and ask Mr. Phat Pouv Seang to return to the
- 21 courtroom.
- 22 (Short pause)
- 23 [13.42.40]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The Court is now back in session. Co-Prosecutor, you may now

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 proceed with your questioning.
- 2 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to repeat the question so
- 4 that Professor Campbell can catch it.
- 5 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 6 Q. You state at paragraph 40 of your report, E62/3/6, that
- 7 Ieng Thirith suffers from a moderately severe dementing illness,
- 8 most probably Alzheimer's disease. At paragraph 32, you stated
- 9 that the history, examination, series of head scans and blood
- 10 tests suggest that this is primarily a dementia due to
- 11 Alzheimer's disease.
- 12 [13.43.55]
- 13 However, your report does not indicate what specific factors led
- 14 you to conclude this was Alzheimer's disease. Could you tell the
- 15 Court what those specific factors were?
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 17 A. Alzheimer's disease is a clinical diagnosis. There are no
- 18 laboratory or radiological tests which demonstrate unequivocally
- 19 that the person does have Alzheimer's disease. It's a two-stage
- 20 clinical diagnosis.
- 21 The first stage is to ensure that the history and the physical
- 22 findings are consistent with Alzheimer's, that is, there has been
- 23 a progressive, global impairment of brain function over a time
- 24 period of at least a year or two years or three years, or longer.
- 25 The second stage is to ensure that there are no other conditions

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 which may be mimicking Alzheimer's, such as a biochemical
- 2 abnormality, an abnormality of endocrine function or some other
- 3 problem within the central nervous system that may be causing
- 4 these problems.
- 5 [13.45.19]
- 6 So that my process was to examine the history as far as I could
- 7 from the medical records and, from those acquainted with her, to
- 8 complete a physical examination, including some testing of
- 9 cognitive function, and to review the radiology and the
- 10 laboratory tests to ensure that there was no other condition.
- 11 Now, as I've indicated, I felt there may be some other conditions
- 12 which may be contributing and that they needed to be addressed
- 13 before coming to a final conclusion.
- 14 Q. You state at paragraph 7 of the same report that the CT
- 15 head scan of June 2001 shows cerebral atrophy consistent with age
- 16 of Alzheimer's, and at paragraph 8 that it is probable that
- 17 Alzheimer's disease is one of the conditions contributing to the
- 18 accused's cognitive impairment.
- 19 [13.46.45]
- 20 Can you elaborate on those conclusions? For example, how does
- 21 Alzheimer's lead to cerebral atrophy and how is this sufficient,
- 22 then, atrophy as a result of age and is it possible to
- 23 distinguish?
- 24 A. No. As I said, it's a clinical diagnosis and there is
- 25 overlap between age-related change and change related to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Alzheimer's disease, so one would not make a definite diagnosis
- of Alzheimer's disease purely on the radiology.
- 3 Q. Is it possible to distinguish this disease, please?
- 4 A. Possible to distinguish this disease through radiology or
- 5 through laboratory tests, do you mean?
- 6 [13.48.04]
- 7 Q. Of course, through your assessment.
- 8 A. No, as I say, it's a clinical diagnosis by determining a
- 9 history and findings consistent with it and no other cause for
- 10 the impairment. And as I've indicated, it may not be the sole
- 11 cause of cognitive impairment.
- 12 Q. Is it correct to say that Alzheimer's disease is a
- 13 progressive condition with several different stages, for example,
- 14 1 to 7?
- 15 A. It's a progressive disorder, and the terms "mild, moderate
- 16 and severe" have been used here, but there is no clear
- 17 distinguishing features that make one mild and another moderate.
- 18 Q. Early on, the defence counsel indicated with relation to
- 19 the statement of the accused person that play an important part
- 20 in your assessment.
- 21 [13.50.03]
- 22 Did you know that Ieng Thirith was informed of the purpose of
- $\,$ 23 $\,$ this assessment and that Ieng Sary give some statement in
- 24 particular in your report, and do you think that he is faithful
- 25 in providing his statement concerning the health concern of Ieng

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Thirith?
- 2 A. Can I just clarify that question?
- 3 Do I feel that Ieng Sary was faithful in his reporting of his
- 4 wife's condition?
- 5 Q. I would like to rephrase this.
- 6 [13.50.55]
- 7 You received a statement from Ieng Sary concerning his statement
- 8 -- concerning Ieng Thirith because he made some statement
- 9 concerning the health issue of Ieng Thirith. My question is,
- 10 indeed, whether you believe that such a statement is made
- 11 genuinely.
- 12 A. Yes. I interviewed Ieng Sary myself, so I was aware of his
- 13 demeanour throughout the discussion. And as I said in my report,
- 14 although I recognize that he is not a disinterested party, I felt
- 15 that what he told me was consistent with what I'd heard already
- 16 and I felt that he was being accurate in his statements.
- 17 Q. I thank you very much. I would like to hand over to my
- 18 colleague to put further questions.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 International Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 21 QUESTIONING BY THE CO-PROSECUTORS
- 22 BY MR. ABDULHAK:
- 23 Q. Good afternoon, Professor Campbell.
- 24 [13.52.07]
- 25 It's been a long day, and I'm sure you're quite tired, so we'll

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 only ask a few more questions simply by way of clarifying some of
- 2 the evidence you gave this morning and perhaps to focus a little
- 3 bit more on what lies ahead.
- 4 If we may just have on the screen the report by Dr. Brinded and
- 5 Professor Ka. That's Document B37/9/8. And if we could go to
- 6 page 5 of that document.
- 7 MS. ELLIS:
- 8 Mr. President, could I interrupt?
- 9 [13.53.04]
- 10 As I understand it, the reports are essentially confidential
- 11 documents. This is a public hearing, and the parties are clearly
- 12 making reference to passages within those documents to assist in
- 13 the approach to questioning. By putting a document on the
- 14 screen, it, of course, introduces other material which is not in
- 15 the public domain.
- 16 And therefore, I wonder as all those who need that document do
- 17 have it, including Professor Campbell, it wouldn't be more
- 18 appropriate to simply refer him to the passage rather than to
- 19 make available confidential material. The whole document is not
- 20 on the case file publicly.
- 21 [13.53.55]
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 24 If I may respond, Mr. President, very briefly.
- 25 I'm a little bit baffled. We spent the entire morning going

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 through Professor Campbell's reports and those of Dr. Ka and
- 2 Professor -- apologies, Dr. Brinded and Professor Ka in great
- 3 detail. In fact, extensive passages were read to Professor
- 4 Campbell. And the passages I seek to refer to are those passages
- 5 that have been discussed.
- 6 [13.54.28]
- 7 There's no additional confidential information that would likely
- 8 be shared, and I think it would assist everyone to be looking at
- 9 the same document, but I -- we're in your hands, as it were. I'm
- 10 happy to proceed in whichever way the Chamber deems appropriate.
- 11 MS. ELLIS:
- 12 Mr. President, I, of course, don't object to any passage which is
- 13 going to be made public in this Court. I'm simply anxious to
- 14 ensure that there is no other material save the material that is
- 15 being put to the witness that is publicly shown in the courtroom.
- 16 [13.55.01]
- 17 So I think the International Co-Prosecutor has dealt with that.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 20 I thank counsel. And we will be brief, again.
- 21 Q. My colleague has already discussed with you or put some
- 22 questions to you in relation to the concept of post-operative
- 23 delirium, and I think you indicated that you felt that that was a
- 24 temporary condition.
- 25 MR. CAMPBELL:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And I was interested to hear that you felt also that any
- 3 cognitive impairment may have, in fact, pre-dated that particular
- 4 episode.
- 5 A. We have no records pre-dating, so I can't really comment.
- 6 But as we discussed this morning, it does pre-dispose to
- 7 delirium, any pre-existing cognitive impairment.
- 8 Q. So it's a possibility, but certainly we can't be sure.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 [12.56.05]
- 11 And I just wanted to -- in fact, we may be able to deal with this
- 12 without showing you this particular page at present and just
- 13 proceed more quickly. I'll just read this one line, really.
- 14 It's in the author's notes that there is no record of further
- 15 psychiatric symptomatology since her post-operative recovery.
- 16 They obviously wrote this report in late October or early
- 17 November 2009, and they're reflecting on an episode which had
- 18 taken place in, I guess, early 2006.
- 19 [13.56.40]
- 20 They found no further psychiatric symptomatology. Are you aware
- 21 of any other record that would shed different light on that
- 22 position?
- 23 A. No. What they're referring to there is that at the time of
- 24 her illness, the hip fracture, she had hallucinations and other
- 25 problems which may have been a manifestation of an underlying

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 psychiatric disorder or may have been delirium. And I took from
- 2 that sentence that they felt as there had been no other ongoing
- 3 hallucinations or indications of psychiatric illness, this was
- 4 most likely a delirium.
- 5 Q. I understand. Thank you.
- 6 [13.57.22]
- 7 Now, you've testified, I think, today that -- and correct me if
- 8 I'm wrong -- that there were no significant changes in the CT
- 9 head scans that were -- that are available from 2007, 2009 and
- 10 2011.
- 11 Would that be accurate?
- 12 A. Yes, that would be accurate. Yes.
- 13 Q. But you also said that it's not necessarily a case that a
- 14 CT scan or an atrophy visible in a CT scan would necessarily be
- 15 proportionate to a level of cognitive impairment. Would that be
- 16 accurate?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- 18 Q. I'd like to show you a document which I don't think you've
- 19 seen, and I'm sort of anticipating that there might be an
- 20 objection. But this is Document C18. It is essentially the
- 21 record of Ieng Thirith's first appearance before the
- 22 investigating Judges.
- 23 [13.58.34]
- 24 And the passage that I would like to show is simply a brief
- 25 statement that was made by Ieng Thirith on that occasion, and I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 have a copy if the Chamber would like to consider it prior to
- 2 making a decision.
- 3 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 4 Can I just ask which part you're intending to refer to, please?
- 5 [13.59.40]
- 6 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 7 Yes, Your Honour. I'm looking at page 3 of the English-language
- 8 version. It is the -- starting with the second paragraph and
- 9 then the following three passages simply containing a general
- 10 statement by the then charged person about the allegations made
- 11 against her.
- 12 (Short pause)
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 You may now proceed.
- 15 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 16 Yes, Mr. President. Simply -- I have simply an observation. We
- 17 are delighted that these proceedings are known to the public
- 18 because I believe it's important that everybody understands
- 19 what's happening, and I believe that publicity should not be
- 20 defined in relation to what is good for Ms. Ieng Thirith or which
- 21 is not good for Ms. Ieng Thirith.
- 22 [14.01.41]
- 23 This morning, we were very much aware of the substance of the
- 24 documents, and I think that publicity should not be done in
- 25 relation to what is good or what not good for Ms. Ieng Thirith,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 and I would like the Chamber to take this into consideration.
- 2 (Short pause)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 To assist the Chamber and, of course, for the -- and in the
- 5 interests of justice and publicity, the Court officer is now
- 6 instructed to project the portion requested by the Co-Prosecutor
- 7 on the screen, please.
- 8 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President. So we're looking at -- for page 3 of
- 10 this document in the English language. It's Document C18.
- 11 MS. ELLIS:
- 12 Mr. President, in the light of what's been said, can it be made
- 13 quite clear that the defence has not objected to this passage
- 14 being put before the Court in public?
- 15 [14.03.34]
- 16 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 17 And I was just informed by my colleague that apparently this was
- 18 one of the documents that may have been provided to you, so you
- 19 may well be familiar with it.
- 20 [14.03.55]
- 21 Thank you very much.
- 22 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 23 Q. If I just read out to you two sentences, perhaps, or three
- 24 out of this passage. Obviously, this was an initial hearing
- 25 before the co-investigating Judges and this hearing took place on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 the 14th of November, 2007. And obviously, the then charged
- 2 person was responding to allegations that the prosecutors had put
- 3 forward. And the second brief passage says:
- 4 "Everything the co-prosecutors said is 100 percent wrong. I'm
- 5 accused of having killed many people. I would like to be told
- 6 where, when and how."
- 7 [14.04.41]
- 8 Then in the following passage, she states:
- 9 "I would like the witnesses to come and speak for themselves."
- 10 And then she goes on to explain or state her role as she saw it
- 11 during the period covered by the indictment.
- 12 [14.04.58]
- 13 To your mind, were these statements of someone who was at a
- 14 cognitive level, able to function and understand the nature of
- 15 the proceedings?
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 17 A. I think it's very difficult to make a judgment based on
- 18 that small section. When I had commented on this, I had had the
- 19 whole transcript of her appearance at that time and felt at that
- 20 stage there was evidence of impairment in the whole of her
- 21 discussion at that trial.
- 22 [14.05.28]
- 23 There are elements there, clearly, where she is remembering
- 24 aspects of her past.
- 25 Q. But you would agree with me that certainly at that stage,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 at least this passage doesn't indicate any inability to recall
- 2 key aspects of her role as she saw it or the allegations as they
- 3 were put to her.
- 4 A. Those particular paragraphs, yes, assuming that what she is
- 5 describing there is accurate. I'm not sure who Ms. Chea Leang
- 6 was and whether she was there at that time or whether this was
- 7 referring back to a previous time or whether or not it was
- 8 related to her comments then.
- 9 Q. I'll just clarify for you that point. Chea Leang is the
- 10 Co-Prosecutor. She's the National Co-Prosecutor, and she was
- 11 present at that hearing. Thank you.
- 12 [14.06.24]
- 13 If we may now turn to the Brinded and Ka report, which was the
- 14 document that we had prepared to show earlier. This is B37/9/8.
- 15 And if we're able to go to page 7 of that document in English.
- 16 [14.07.14]
- 17 And if I just may read out again the -- just a part of that first
- 18 passage. This is, again, Dr. Brinded and Professor Ka
- 19 summarizing their discussions with the accused, and they felt she
- 20 understood the roles of the Judges and the process of the Court.
- 21 [14.07.34]
- 22 "She did, however, express doubt the co-investigating Judges were
- 23 free from bias and indicated that she believed that one of her
- 24 co-accused had strongly influenced the Judges to her detriment."
- 25 And of course, you've considered that statement, have you not?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 Q. To your mind, does that indicate a level of ability to
- 3 appreciate the proceedings?
- 4 A. At that stage, it does. It also raises the issue of the
- 5 co-accused and her relationship with that, which has been a
- 6 long-term issue which came up in the discussion this morning.
- 7 Q. Now, obviously the records of this Court are extensive and
- 8 you haven't, certainly, been provided all of the relevant files,
- 9 but I'll just point that in this passage, obviously, Ieng Thirith
- 10 expresses certain concerns as the impartiality of the
- 11 co-investigating Judges.
- 12 [14.08.36]
- 13 Within approximately a month and a half, applications were filed
- 14 by Ieng Thirith -- by the defence for Ieng Thirith on her behalf
- 15 challenging the proceedings on a number of grounds, including an
- 16 allegation that the Judges were not free from bias.
- 17 In light of that fact, does that lead you to any conclusions in
- 18 terms of her ability to appreciate the course of the proceedings?
- 19 Of course, at that time, I should say.
- 20 A. I find that very difficult to comment on because I do not
- 21 know the processes and the degree to which the initiation of that
- 22 challenge was hers. It's really difficult to comment on how much
- 23 of a part she played in that process.
- 24 Q. Understood. But perhaps, at the very least, it could be
- 25 said that she was aware of such concerns.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 MS. ELLIS:
- 2 Can I interrupt because it's quite clear Professor Campbell can't
- 3 possibly comment on pleadings drafted by the defence of Ieng
- 4 Thirith. He won't have seen them, wasn't a party to decisions on
- 5 how they were drafted. It would be totally wrong, in our
- 6 submission, for Professor Campbell to be asked to speculate.
- 7 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 8 Very briefly in response, Mr. President. We're certainly not
- 9 inviting Professor Campbell to comment on pleadings, but rather,
- 10 to reflect on whether or not, in light of this statement, Ieng
- 11 Thirith may have been able to appreciate such applications, which
- 12 we feel is fully within Professor Campbell's expertise.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 But the professor has responded that he could not comment on this
- 15 issue because he was not present during that time.
- 16 [14.01.35]
- 17 Does the Prosecutor have any other questions, and try to be
- 18 careful not to touch upon the issues that goes beyond the
- 19 professor's expertise or the issues that may not allow the
- 20 professor to understand since he has only come to work on this
- 21 issue recently.
- 22 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President. I shall move on.
- 24 Q. Just a brief clarification in relation to an issue that you
- 25 dealt with this morning, Professor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [14.11.22]
- 2 You were asked about the -- certain incidents which took place or
- 3 that were reported from within the detention facility in which
- 4 the accused was alleged to have engaged in inappropriate
- 5 behaviour. Now, Dr. Brinded and Professor Ka concluded that they
- 6 did not believe that such emotional outbursts were a consequence
- 7 of a mental disorder.
- 8 Would you care to comment on that?
- 9 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 10 A. Yes. As I commented this morning, the outbursts at the
- 11 time Dr. Brinded and Professor Ka saw Ieng Thirith had been
- 12 directed mainly at one of the co-defendants, was my
- 13 understanding. What was shown this morning showed a broadening
- 14 of that and concern about other people as well, and suspicion of
- 15 them and "mis-control" in her outbursts, indicating a degree --
- 16 increasing degree of disinhibition.
- 17 Q. So it would be fair to draw a link, if you like, between
- 18 what you've diagnosed as dementia or Alzheimer's disease and some
- 19 of these incidents.
- 20 A. Yes, they could certainly be associated.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- 22 [14.12.50]
- 23 And if I may, just one more question on the past, so to speak,
- 24 and then we might focus a little bit and very briefly on the
- 25 future.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 One of the reports that hasn't been dealt with, I think, so far
- 2 is the February 2011 examination of the accused by psychiatrist
- 3 Dr. Chak Thida. And if we could just show that document very
- 4 briefly, it is Document E17/1/2.4. Again, this would not contain
- 5 any additional information to what's been discussed thus far.
- 6 It's just general observations on the accused's cognitive
- 7 functioning.
- 8 [14.13.52]
- 9 And if we look at Item 2 very briefly, Dr. Thida and, in fact,
- 10 together with Professor Nhem Sophoeun, indicate that the accused
- 11 seemed interested in their questions and that the conversation
- 12 went smoothly, but she again discussed her physical difficulties.
- 13 And finally, that she responded correctly to the doctors'
- 14 questions.
- 15 [14.14.22]
- 16 And then under 4, Speech, they indicate that there was normal
- 17 range, normal responsive, meaningful and coherent. And under 5,
- 18 they indicate that there were no hallucinations.
- 19 Obviously, we're dealing with a complex set of factors that may
- 20 be contributing to the cognitive impairment you found. What I
- 21 was interested in was that you've spoken to Dr. Thida.
- 22 [14.14.54]
- 23 Were you able to canvass this report with her?
- 24 A. I was able to discuss with her the changes that she
- 25 observed, and as I've indicated, she participated in the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 interview on the second occasion.
- 2 I mean, I think there is considerable variation in the way that
- 3 Ieng Thirith presents and relates to the people who are
- 4 interviewing her, and we may be seeing that here, a fluctuation
- 5 in her condition.
- 6 Q. So would it be correct to say that there may well be
- 7 occasions on which she is able to perhaps engage more
- 8 meaningfully, such as, perhaps, as this document seems to
- 9 indicate?
- 10 A. I think there are occasions when she will engage better and
- 11 with certain people better than on others. I would be doubtful
- 12 that she would engage to the extent that she was able to conduct
- 13 a coherent, reasoned argument.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 [14.15.56]
- 16 And I'll just ask you one general question and then perhaps we'll
- 17 focus on the future prospects a little bit. And it's a very --
- 18 just a technical point.
- 19 This morning, you were asked if prevalence of dementia increased
- 20 with age, and I think the age -- specific age that was put to you
- 21 was 65 years of age. I think, and correct me if I'm wrong, that
- 22 you may have indicated 85 yesterday, but please do say the
- 23 correct number.
- 24 A. If we look at the prevalence, and this is in societies
- 25 other than in Cambodia, but one assumes that there is similar

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 prevalence, it is around 6 to 7 percent for people 65 years and
- 2 over, increasing to 80 percent for those people 80 years -- 20
- 3 percent for those people 80 years and over.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 [14.16.44]
- 6 Now, coming back to the sort of way you left off this morning
- 7 with the defence, it is fair to say that you said that you felt
- 8 cognitive impairment was at the moderate level?
- 9 And I ask this -- I should -- in the interests of clarity, I ask
- 10 this because I think your report states moderately severe. And I
- 11 know you've said terminology is not entirely determinative, so I
- 12 just wanted to clear that up.
- 13 A. Yes, I would define this as moderate in the sense that it
- 14 is interfering with her daily function and her ability to manage
- 15 within her surroundings.
- 16 Q. Thank you very much.
- 17 [14.17.32]
- 18 And of course, you also indicated that the process of reduction
- 19 of medications that you recommended is an ongoing one?
- 20 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 21 Q. And I think you also indicated that the one drug that -- or
- 22 medication that remains to be discontinued is quetiapine.
- 23 A. That is so.
- 24 Q. Is it correct -- you may have already answered this
- 25 question earlier, so I apologize if I'm repetitive.

00738781 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [14.08.01]
- 2 Is it correct that it treats schizophrenia and bi-polar disorder?
- 3 A. Yes, it is a major tranquilizer used for the major
- 4 psychoses. It has been used in people with behavioural
- 5 disturbance with dementia, but there are significant drawbacks in
- 6 using it in that way.
- 7 Q. And what would some of the side effects for the use of this
- 8 drug, particularly looking at cognitive functioning?
- 9 A. A slowing of the processes, cognitive function, in effect,
- 10 on reasoning ability and it can affect also the person's
- 11 mobility, gait as well.
- 12 Q. And in light of that, you recommended that this drug be
- 13 withdrawn in consultation with the Calmette medical team, and
- 14 that's now taking place.
- 15 A. Yes, that is so.
- 16 [14.18.57]
- 17 Q. Now, would it be fair to say that there is a possibility
- 18 this is one of the currently contributing factors to the sort of
- 19 cognitive diagnosis that you made?
- 20 A. It's a possible contributing factor. I would not expect
- 21 the reduction to have an important effect, but I think it is
- 22 important to explore that possibility.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 [14.19.28]
- 25 You also recommended that another medication be prescribed, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that was discussed this morning. And can I just confirm that
- 2 that is for a period of three months?
- 3 A. Yes. If there are no adverse effects from the medication,
- 4 then a three-month trial is what is usually tried.
- 5 Q. And it is possible, but perhaps, to be fair, not highly
- 6 likely that some cognitive improvement may well take place?
- 7 A. That is so. It is possible, but unlikely.
- 8 Q. You commented in your follow-up report at the very end, and
- 9 consistently with what I think you just said, that these
- 10 medication changes may not produce -- that it was not probable
- 11 that they would produce a significant improvement. But you also
- 12 indicated that it is possible that they will enable her to
- 13 participate better in her defence.
- 14 [14.20.39]
- 15 Are you able to expand on this a little bit further?
- 16 A. What I'm really saying is there is that until we've
- 17 explored all possibilities and tried all measures to try and
- 18 improve function, we cannot be definite that she will not be able
- 19 to participate in her defence.
- 20 [14.20.57]
- 21 As I said, I think it's unlikely, but for her sake, this should
- 22 be tried.
- 23 Q. And of course, you're aware that the Chamber has now
- 24 appointed four psychiatrists and requested them to conduct an
- 25 assessment of Ieng Thirith as well.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. Yes, I'm aware of that. And clearly, one of the issues
- 2 with respect to the medications is the timing of that assessment.
- 3 Q. And do you consider that it would be appropriate for you to
- 4 conduct a reassessment following the trial period that you've
- 5 recommended?
- 6 A. Should that be the wish of the Court, then -- the Chamber,
- 7 then yes, I would be prepared to.
- 8 Q. In your opinion, that would -- that may be of assistance in
- 9 terms of you've just indicated that some change may well take
- 10 place.
- 11 A. Yes. Certainly if there was felt to be a change, then that
- 12 would be worth re-evaluating.
- 13 Q. And as I draw to a close, then in light of the
- 14 recommendations you've made and the continuing treatment, would
- 15 it be fair to say that the current conclusions are interim?
- 16 A. I think they are in that there is change and there is also
- 17 the evaluation by the other experts as well. So in terms of my
- 18 own conclusions, they, I feel, could not be finalized until all
- 19 possible measures have been tried.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 [14.22.26]
- 22 And lastly, you've indicated that the current conditional
- 23 cognitive impairment that Ieng Thirith suffers from is the result
- 24 of a number of causes, including -- I think you may have defined
- 25 them as psychosocial conditions.

00738784 E1/9,1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Are there measures which may be implemented during her detention
- 2 which may alleviate some of these symptoms, in addition to the
- 3 medication?
- 4 A. I think given her circumstance, it would be very difficult
- 5 to initiate any other moves to try and improve the situation, and
- 6 I think it is unlikely that any moves would -- psychosocial moves
- 7 would improve the situation and the increase in stimulation or
- 8 activity.
- 9 [14.23.13]
- 10 I think it's very unlikely that would have any benefit.
- 11 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 12 Thank you very much.
- 13 I have no further questions. Thank you, Your Honours.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 16 Next, the Chamber would like to hand over to the lead co-lawyers
- 17 for civil parties, if they have any questions to put to Professor
- 18 Campbell.
- 19 [14.23.28]
- 20 MR. PICH ANG:
- 21 Good afternoon, Chamber. Good afternoon to the Monks and the
- 22 public. For me, I do not have any questions to put to Professor
- 23 Campbell.
- 24 [14.24.37]
- 25 I also note the presence of Ms. Ieng Thirith and I also noted

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that she was talking friendly with her counsel this afternoon.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Please go ahead, Mr. Chunthy.
- 4 MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, my respects to the
- 6 Chamber, and good afternoon, Professor Campbell. And my respects
- 7 to the Monks and the public.
- 8 [14.25.17]
- 9 My name is Lor Chunthy, civil party lawyer. First, again, I
- 10 would like to thank Professor Campbell for coming to give his
- 11 testimony in assistance to the Trial Chamber to understand the
- 12 health conditions of the accused, Ieng Thirith.
- 13 [14.25.53]
- 14 OUESTIONING BY THE CO-LAWYERS:
- 15 BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
- 16 Q. I would like to ask, Professor, that before you come to
- 17 this country, do you understand what happened between 1975 and
- 18 1979, as this is the context that you are going to work on, given
- 19 your assessment?
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 21 A. Yes, I did read the history of that time.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Professor.
- 23 [14.26.52]
- 24 What I want to know next is, there was an incident in the Court
- 25 session before the Pre-trials Chamber where the accused person,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Ms. Ieng Thirith, objected to statement concerning her past
- 2 activities.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 I don't think the professor can hear you. The court officer is
- 5 to make sure that Professor Campbell can have audio access.
- 6 MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
- 7 Q. I recall these event because during the hearing -- the bail
- 8 hearing before the Pre-trials Chamber, which occurred from -- on
- 9 the 24th of February, 2009, and another hearing on the 15th of
- 10 February, 2010, during which the accused, Ieng Thirith, reacted
- 11 to what was being discussed during that time. So I would like to
- 12 know whether you are aware of these incidents.
- 13 [14.28.56]
- 14 And the documents are C20/5 and C20/9.
- 15 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 16 A. The documents I have relate to the pre-trial hearing. Are
- 17 those the ones being referred to?
- 18 Q. Right. That's the transcript of the Pre-trials Chamber
- 19 hearings.
- 20 A. Yes, I've seen those.
- 21 Q. What do you think about her reactions concerning her
- 22 memory? Could you say that those reactions reflect some memory
- 23 in the past and now this memory is not present?
- 24 A. I thought that there was evidence in that hearing of both
- 25 memory impairment -- she had trouble remembering her husband's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 name, for example -- and also a degree of disinhibition in her
- 2 responses.
- 3 Q. Thank you, Professor. One more question.
- 4 [14.30.28]
- 5 Given the situation that you have considered regarding Points 33
- 6 in relation to depression, I would like to know what basis did
- 7 you use in order to -- or what standard did you use in order to
- 8 assess and conclude whether the person has this kind of problem?
- 9 A. As far as depression is concerned, there had been no
- 10 previous assessments by psychiatrists that indicated that they
- 11 did not feel she was depressed. That does not mean that her mood
- 12 might not be low. Her circumstances are very likely to cause a
- 13 low mood. But there had never been any suggestion, either
- 14 previously or when I saw her, that that very low mood -- that low
- 15 mood was out of proportion to what one would expect in someone in
- 16 her circumstances.
- 17 [14.31.45]
- 18 She is being reviewed by four psychiatrists, and I would expect
- 19 them to explore that possibility also.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 You reported that there is a dementing(sic) concentration. I
- 22 would like to know what is the diagnosis or the symptoms
- 23 regarding the problems that you are assessing.
- 24 A. With respect to concentration, are you meaning?
- 25 Q. My question is that with regard to what you already

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 assessed concerning Mrs. Ieng Thirith, you have found that she
- 2 has developed hallucinations or cognitive impairment, but I would
- 3 like to know exactly what kind of symptoms or the diagnosis of
- 4 this kind of disease.
- 5 A. The hallucinations only occurred at the time of what was
- 6 most likely her delirium at the time of her hip fracture. The
- 7 problems with memory, as I've indicated, I feel that there is an
- 8 underlying dementing process with additional factors contributing
- 9 to it.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 [14.33.50]
- 12 So this means that because of that bone fracture, that leads to
- 13 these ailments. Is that correct?
- 14 A. The trauma of the fracture itself and the surgery required
- 15 to correct that is a very common cause of delirium in older
- 16 people, and would have been the cause in this situation.
- 17 Q. Now, with regard to the age of person, is it common that,
- 18 at her age, this kind of disease could develop on her or is it
- 19 not really natural; it's abnormal?
- 20 A. It is abnormal, but it is a common condition affecting
- 21 older people.
- 22 Q. Thank you. I have another question.
- 23 [14.35.16]
- 24 When you met her on several occasions, have you noted that she
- 25 developed -- or she's aware of your presence because I observed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 that at one point there was a doctor who gave the -- who
- 2 interviewed her while you remained seated at the background,
- 3 observing.
- 4 I just would like to know whether such tactic is more common to
- 5 make sure she feels more comfortable interacting with the person
- 6 who puts the test to her.
- 7 A. Yes, that's why I used that method of examination on that
- 8 occasion, because I'd been concerned in the morning that, it
- 9 being an obviously test situation, she may not have -- she would
- 10 have been more anxious and may not have performed as well as she
- 11 might.
- 12 Q. Thank you. That leads to my final question.
- 13 [14.36.36]
- 14 In general terms, I would like, Professor, to clarify or
- 15 elaborate with respect to the context, Cambodian context or
- 16 cultural context may contribute to your findings and your report
- 17 as well.
- 18 A. Yes, that's a very important question, and I had been aware
- 19 of the cultural differences, that I'm working in a culture in
- 20 which I do not normally work, and that is why I had asked for
- 21 Professor Ka to re-interview after I had seen Ieng Thirith on the
- 22 first occasion. And that's why I was pleased to have one of the
- 23 psychiatrists with whom she was familiar conducting the
- 24 interview, final interview, on this visit and also having the
- 25 opportunity to discuss afterwards with that psychiatrist any

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 cultural issues about which I may not have been aware..
- 2 I apologize, that leads to the very final question. It is about
- 3 the CT head scan. You indicated that you have reviewed the
- 4 result of the scans previously and also the current scanning
- 5 result. What would be the changes in those outcomes of the scan
- 6 and could you please elaborate on this?
- 7 A, There may be very little change in the scan with time or,
- 8 alternatively, there may be an increasing degree of shrinkage of
- 9 the brain, cerebral atrophy, with time. It's also possible that
- 10 other conditions can become evident, can develop in people with
- 11 Alzheimer's, but there has been no evidence of that in the CT
- 12 scans that I have reviewed, including the latest of the 2nd of
- 13 June.
- 14 Q. Is it fair to say that it is difficult to conclude from the
- 15 result of the scan concerning the changes in the cognitive
- 16 function of Ieng Thirith?
- 17 [14.39.22]
- 18 A. Yes, one cannot look at a scan and say that it's going to
- 19 be associated with this degree of cognitive impairment.
- 20 MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
- 21 I am very grateful, Professor Campbell. I would like to hand
- 22 over to my colleague.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. Lor Chunthy.
- 25 Now, Ms. Elisabeth, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 2 I would like to give the floor, if I may, Mr. President, to my
- 3 colleague for the final questions. Thank you very much, Mr.
- 4 President.
- 5 OUESTIONING BY THE CO-LAWYERS:
- 6 BY MS. SUTZ:
- 7 Q. Good afternoon, Professor Campbell. Good afternoon to
- 8 everybody. I think quite a few questions have been asked. It's
- 9 been a long day for all of us, and so what I would like to do now
- 10 is just come back to a couple of answers that were given, which I
- 11 would be happy to see clarified somewhat.
- 12 [14.40.35]
- 13 There was a reference to the Strugar case today and yesterday.
- 14 And this morning, my colleague Diana Ellis asked if Ieng Thirith
- 15 was fit to understand the accusations against her, to understand
- 16 the legal procedures, to instruct her lawyers, to make statements
- 17 and to understand the consequences of a statement of guilt.
- 18 These emerge from the Strugar jurisprudence and you gave a
- 19 positive answer to all of those criteria. It was your
- 20 consideration, therefore, that Ieng Thirith was fit to stand
- 21 trial.
- 22 The Strugar case has come up several times yesterday as well in
- 23 referring to Nuon Chea by his defence, asking if you were up to
- 24 date on that jurisprudence, and at that time you said no. The
- 25 Co-Prosecutors referred to a document that was given to you by

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Ieng Thirith's defence, which also referred to those criteria,
- 2 and in that instance you responded in the affirmative. So, if
- 3 possible, can we have a clarification about where you stand on
- 4 understanding of those criteria at the time when you drafted the
- 5 report?
- 6 [14.42.33]
- 7 Going through the report, you do not in fact refer to those
- 8 criteria at any point, nor despite the fact that the Chamber has
- 9 quoted that jurisprudence in the order appointing you. There is
- 10 no echo of such criteria, and so I would very much like to know
- 11 if, not today but when you drafted your report, you took those
- 12 criteria into consideration and how your report does in fact
- 13 address those criteria? Thank you.
- 14 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 15 A. Those criteria are outlined in the order assigning expert,
- 16 which I referred to in my document, set out on page in
- 17 paragraphs five and six and the footnote refers to the Strugar
- 18 case. I think you may have misinterpreted my comments to the
- 19 defence ---
- 20 Q. Excuse me, there is reference to the jurisprudence and
- 21 there is a quote, but the criteria are not set out specifically
- 22 in the order. Thank you.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Counsel for the civil party, could you please repeat your
- 25 question because we seem to have not heard what was being

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 translated.
- 2 MS. SUTZ:
- 3 Thank you, President. I was asking if Professor Campbell,
- 4 bearing in mind the debates we had yesterday, if there was not a
- 5 little confusion because when he answered Nuon Chea's defence,
- 6 Professor Campbell's answer was in the negative. I'm happy to
- 7 stand corrected if I am wrong, but I believe that he replied in
- 8 the negative to Nuon Chea's defence yesterday when he was asked
- 9 if he was aware of this jurisprudence.
- 10 And when the same question was asked by the Co-Prosecutor,
- 11 Professor Campbell answered in the affirmative. So my question,
- 12 really, is just to ask for a clarification of Professor
- 13 Campbell's knowledge of such criteria. And if he is aware of
- 14 them, if he could go into how these criteria, in fact, back up
- 15 his reports since they aren't actually mentioned in it. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 [14.45.29]
- 18 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 19 I think the defence for Nuon Chea had raised issues about other
- 20 jurisprudence, not Strugar, and I replied to that. The Strugar
- 21 criteria have been set out in the different documents I've
- 22 received, and they were the basis on which I drew my conclusions.
- 23 MS. SUTZ:
- 24 Can my learned colleague from Nuon Chea's defence perhaps
- 25 enlighten us on this subject?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Actually, the defence team for Nuon Chea is here as an observer,
- 3 so technically they are not part of the proceedings and
- 4 consequently are not allowed to do so with a statement.
- 5 MS. ELLIS:
- 6 In fairness, it was said by Professor Campbell, as we heard it,
- 7 that he had not seen the Strugar capacities. That, by the sound
- 8 of it, was a misunderstanding, but certainly our note recorded
- 9 that. I think it was corrected in the course of the day. I hope
- 10 that clarifies it.
- 11 [14.47.14]
- 12 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 13 Q. Perhaps I could add to this issue. When expert testimony
- 14 is called for to judge the fitness of somebody to be tried, then
- 15 you go on the basis of what my colleague explained. And we are
- 16 not fully aware, from this debate, whether you are deeply aware
- 17 of these criteria or if you simply read the footnote in the
- 18 order. These fitness issues are very precise. There is no
- 19 reference to them in your report and there is no point in which
- 20 the report indicates that you tried to respond to the issues
- 21 raised in these criteria. But these are essential to judge the
- 22 aptness of somebody to stand trial. So could you please tell us
- 23 why, in your report, you absolutely never referred to these
- 24 fitness questions? That would give us a precise answer. Thank
- 25 you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 (Judges deliberate)
- 2 [14.48.43]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 According to the order assigning expert Professor Campbell to
- 5 assess the fitness to stand trial of the accused persons, the
- 6 Chamber has not instructed the expert to rely on the criteria
- 7 with reference to the Strugar case as the basis for his
- 8 assessment. It is therefore the assertion concerning the
- 9 criteria with reference to Strugar jurisprudence is not relevant
- 10 to the order assigning the expert.
- 11 May the counsel be therefore advised to put questions that have
- 12 not been asked by the Co-Prosecutors and that the questions shall
- 13 be straightforward to the report-related issues by Professor
- 14 Campbell with reference to Ieng Thirith.
- 15 I would like to hand it over to Judge Lavergne to give further
- 16 clarification.
- 17 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 18 Thank you very much, Mr. President.
- 19 Perhaps one or two things have become lost in the discussion and
- 20 the first, I believe, was covered in your statement, and that is
- 21 the fact that the criteria that are set out in the Strugar
- 22 decision are juridical criteria. There are questions that
- 23 therefore fall to the competence of the Chamber. That is the
- 24 basis that the Chamber will base its decision upon, but they do
- 25 not it is not part of the expert's mission to respond under

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 those questions. What our expert is asked to do is to provide
- 2 the Chamber with technical, medical information in sufficient
- 3 quality and quantity so that a decision can be taken by the
- 4 Chamber. I hope that is clear now.
- 5 [14.52.01]
- 6 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 7 Thank you for that clarification. That is quite clear. The
- 8 order assigning Professor Campbell was asking him to decide upon
- 9 fitness or otherwise to stand trial. Now, the questions in
- 10 Strugar jurisprudence are not those that Professor Campbell has
- 11 to answer to, but what the Chamber must base its decision upon.
- 12 The Chamber must expand upon these in due course, but it is also
- 13 necessary for the expert to be aware of those criteria so as to
- 14 be able to duly inform the Chamber.
- 15 We understand that the order in assigning the expert did not set
- 16 out these questions in detail and we understood that. We have
- 17 our answer, too. The expert did not examine the fitness of Mrs.
- 18 Ieng Thirith by referring to the questions that the Chamber is
- 19 going to have to respond to subsequently.
- 20 In other words, he did not have the elements of the subsequent
- 21 decision that the Chamber will take in making his diagnosis. He
- 22 took a decision about a fitness to stand trial without working on
- 23 the basis that the Chamber will subsequently work upon. I just
- 24 wanted to be clear about that. Thank you.
- 25 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 We seem to have some understanding difficulties here. The
- 2 Strugar jurisprudence was covered in that footnote so that the
- 3 expert can understand what the final purpose of his report is.
- 4 The expert has to know what questions the Chamber is going to be
- 5 taking up so as to do his job. He doesn't have to respond to
- 6 them; he has to simply bear them in mind so that the Chamber is
- 7 able to do so subsequently.
- 8 [14.54.31]
- 9 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 10 I am sorry for insisting, but the footnote does not set out the
- 11 precise questions that the Chamber has to respond to, and I'm not
- 12 certain that our expert was taking up those questions in any
- 13 great detail. I do not believe that he was taking into
- 14 consideration questions such as ability to understand procedure,
- 15 ability to understand accusations and so forth when he did his
- 16 study. We will draw the necessary conclusions. I do not believe
- 17 that the expert had those issues before his eyes when he was
- 18 working. I think that is the simple conclusion. Thank you.
- 19 MS. ELLIS:
- 20 Mr. President, as I understood an earlier answer from Professor
- 21 Campbell, he had been provided with the document drafted by our
- 22 defence team back on the 21st of March of this year. And at page
- 23 2 of that document, we specifically ask if Professor Campbell can
- 24 make a preliminary assessment of the elements of mental fitness
- 25 to stand trial in accordance with the approach in Strugar, and we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 then set out the capacities, non-exhaustive, which he should bear
- 2 in mind.
- 3 I understood Professor Campbell to inform the Court he had seem
- 4 that document. If that is right, the lead co-lawyers have
- 5 misunderstood the extent of the information provided to Professor
- 6 Campbell at the time.
- 7 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 8 Could I just comment that I was fully aware of the document from
- 9 the defence and the criteria set out in that document prior to
- 10 seeing Ieng Thirith.
- 11 [14.56.28]
- 12 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 13 Therefore, I believe that the question is particularly
- 14 significant that we asked. Why in the report is there absolutely
- 15 no reference to these questions so that we can understand to what
- 16 extent we -- you reach the conclusion that Mrs. Ieng Thirith is
- 17 not fit to stand trial. If there's no reference to the criteria,
- 18 it's rather hard for us to understand your conclusion. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 21 Because I was not asked to assess against those criteria
- 22 specifically in the instruction I had from the Chamber.
- 23 MS. SUTZ:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President. I have a final question, if I may, a
- 25 request for further clarification.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Professor Campbell, you have said repeatedly yesterday and
- 2 today that Ieng Thirith, as you see it, was not trying to fool
- 3 you or to falsify any of the results of the tests. Is this a
- 4 personal conclusion you have reached, as any of us may, or is it
- 5 based on scientific premise? Thank you.
- 6 [14.57.56]
- 7 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 8 A. It's based on my experience as a clinician and
- 9 administering those tests a great number of times.
- 10 Q. Could it therefore be envisaged that although we know Ieng
- 11 Thirith has certain cognitive troubles, she may be able to
- 12 exaggerate them?
- 13 A. I think that's a difficult question to ask. I think to
- 14 answer, I think in certain circumstances her performance on tests
- 15 lacked that, may be compromised by, for example, her anxiety. I
- 16 did not feel from my assessment of her that she would have the
- 17 capacity to deliberately falsify the tests in a way that would
- 18 mislead me.
- 19 MS. SUTZ:
- 20 Thank you, President. I have no further questions.
- 21 QUESTIONING BY THE CO-LAWYERS:
- 22 BY MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 23 A final question, please.
- 24 Q. Professor Campbell, you know that four experts have been
- 25 designated and the Chamber therefore would like to carry out a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 further study. That has been said publicly. But these future
- 2 experts, in your view, will tell us if the situation has got
- 3 worse, in the future, or might they be appointed to express a
- 4 view that differs from your own?
- 5 [14.59.47]
- 6 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 7 A. I would feel that they've been appointed to give
- 8 independent views, their own clinical opinions. I mean, they
- 9 will clearly base their opinion in part on what has gone before,
- 10 both my report and the reports from other people who have seen
- 11 Ieng Thirith. As I've said, it's a clinical diagnosis, and to
- 12 have the additional clinicians, I think, will be important in
- 13 making absolutely sure, one way or the other, as to whether Ieng
- 14 Thirith is medically capable of standing trial, fitness to stand
- 15 trial.
- 16 Q. This expertise, therefore, is necessary, I understand?
- 17 A. The experts have already been appointed, I gather, so it is
- 18 the opinion of the Chamber that they are necessary.
- 19 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 20 Thank you, we have no further questions.
- 21 [15.00.54]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 We note counsel for Ieng Thirith is on her feet. You may
- 25 proceed, finally. We will hand it over to you, but please be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 brief and try not to repeat what has already been covered.
- 2 MS. ELLIS:
- 3 Thank you very much.
- 4 OUESTIONING BY DEFENCE COUNSEL:
- 5 BY MS. ELLIS:
- 6 Q. Professor Campbell, could I just go back to 2006, the 6th
- 7 of January? You've confirmed that you saw the report of the scan
- 8 that showed generalized brain atrophy. It was on the 10th of
- 9 January of that year that the episode of psychosis was diagnosed.
- 10 That's right, isn't it?
- 11 [15.02.24]
- 12 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 13 A. As we've discussed, I think that was a delirium rather than
- 14 a psychotic illness.
- 15 Q. It's a delirium, which lead -- which, from what you said,
- 16 then passed and there's no indication that it has ever
- 17 reoccurred?
- 18 A. There's been no indication through any of the reports of
- 19 any psychotic illness following that episode of delirium.
- 20 [15.02.55]
- 21 Q. In response to a question by the Co-Prosecutors, there was
- 22 a diagnosis of psychosis in remission that's contained in the
- 23 record from Bangkok. Does it therefore follow that she must, in
- 24 the view of one of the doctors there, have been seen as psychotic
- 25 at some point?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 A. I think the most likely consequence -- the most likely
- 2 sequence of events is that she had hallucinations and they were
- 3 thought to be part of a psychotic illness rather than part of a
- 4 delirium. Hallucinations can occur with both delirium and
- 5 psychotic illnesses. I think in retrospect, this was a delirium
- 6 rather that a psychotic illness.
- 7 Q. Thank you.
- 8 She nevertheless attended a psychiatric clinic as an outpatient
- 9 for the next six months. Would it be fair to say that you have
- 10 seen no records that give a clear understanding of her
- 11 psychological state for much of the period between 2006 and
- 12 November 2007?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. What we have on the material is a paucity of description as
- 15 to why it was she was medicated for psychological illness and,
- 16 indeed in November 2007, described as having a psychological
- 17 illness.
- 18 [15.04.56]
- 19 A. I think the likely sequence of events is that the drug
- 20 quetiapine was started at that stage, the delirium settled,
- 21 probably irrespective of the medication. With her settling, it
- 22 may well -- the settling may have been attributed to the drug and
- 23 the drug therefore continued long term, rather than being tried
- 24 off it.
- 25 Q. Now, you've been asked about Alzheimer's and the diagnosis

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 of that disease. Is it the case that but for a very few cases,
- 2 the diagnosis is made as a result of an investigation on post
- 3 mortem? The brain has to be examined to have a complete
- 4 understanding that the patient has in fact suffered from
- 5 Alzheimer's?
- 6 A. To be 100 per cent sure at this stage, yes.
- 7 Q. And therefore, the probable diagnosis comes from the whole
- 8 range of factors you've described?
- 9 A. Yes. Most diagnosis is based on probabilities and we are
- 10 looking at the most probable situation.
- 11 [15.06.12]
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- 13 You've been asked quite a bit about the first expert report from
- 14 Professor Ka and Dr. Brinded and, as you've said, you've asked
- 15 for Professor Ka to be involved in reviewing Ieng Thirith before
- 16 you finalized your report of the 23rd of June of this year.
- 17 Are you aware of any disagreement between yourself and Professor
- 18 Ka in the area of Ieng Thirith's cognitive impairment at this
- 19 stage?
- 20 A. No, his findings were consistent with mine. His extreme
- 21 end of mild may well be my moderate, I think.
- 22 Q. But in terms of discussing the case with him, were you both
- 23 of a similar view?
- 24 A. I have not discussed the case with him; I've just had his
- 25 report.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 You then indicated why it was you sought the assistance on the
- 3 25th of August of Dr. Chak Thida, he being a Cambodian and,
- 4 therefore, perhaps assisting in the reliability of the testing.
- 5 He had provided the report that's being referred to and that
- 6 we've seen on the screen, indicating that Ieng Thirith in
- 7 February, the 17th of February of this year, appeared to respond
- 8 correctly to questions, have a normal speech range and responses
- 9 and was meaningful and coherent.
- 10 He did note, did he not, concern about her concentration and
- 11 attention?
- 12 A. Yes, she did.
- 13 [15.08.09]
- 14 Q. And were you in a position where you were able to see any
- 15 tests that had been conducted in February of this year by Dr.
- 16 Chak?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- 18 Q. Did you ask for any tests?
- 19 A. No, I did not ask for any formal tests.
- 20 Q. And no formal tests were produced, the results, and shown
- 21 to you, from him?
- 22 A. No, that's correct
- 23 [15.08.36]
- 24 Q. But in any event, whatever his view in February 2011, was
- 25 he in agreement or disagreement with you as a result of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 process that was undertaken on the 25th of August of this year?
- 2 A. No, she was in agreement with that process and was very
- 3 willing to carry out that interview, and was aware of the
- 4 concerns about Ieng Thirith's memory that became evident during
- 5 that interview.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 You've indicated that you were familiar with the transcript that
- 8 has been displayed on the screen, of words spoken by Ieng Thirith
- 9 on the 14th of November 2007. And you have indicated that that,
- 10 even at that stage, does give rise to some concern about her
- 11 cognitive impairment.
- 12 A. Yes, both her words and her demeanour at that time.
- 13 Q. And having seen the transcript at that time, can you
- 14 confirm please -- and I won't put it on the screen because it's
- 15 clearly a document that's in the hands of all interested parties
- 16 -- it was said by her international co-lawyer -- her national
- 17 co-lawyer, Mr. Phat Pouv Seang, that she suffered from permanent
- 18 illnesses, needed to take medication four times a day, her
- 19 mobility was reduced, she needed lavatories for disabled people
- 20 $\,$ and he expressed concern at the complications that might arise
- 21 from her detention and said that she was certified by a doctor as
- 22 suffering from a mental illness.
- 23 [15.10.42]
- 24 I simply put that on the record to complete what took place at
- 25 the time of the comments that she made, and you can confirm you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 read that, if you read that document?
- 2 A. I cannot recall those actual words. I read mainly her
- 3 testimony, and that may have been prior to her testimony.
- 4 Q. Well, perhaps in view of the fact that it is a document
- 5 that is available to everyone, and I, of course, would be correct
- 6 ---
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Counsel, could you please hold on a moment because we need to
- 9 make sure that a new DVD has replaced the full capacity one
- 10 already, at the moment.
- 11 (DVD change)
- 12 Counsel for Ieng Thirith may now proceed, but the Chamber would
- 13 like to also remind counsel that your questions are merely
- 14 additional questions that have already -- and that are not really
- 15 reply to the parties. Could you therefore be advised to just
- 16 make your questions in terms of the reply rather than additional
- 17 questions to the expert?
- 18 MS. ELLIS:
- 19 Thank you, Mr. President. Could I give the Court the reference
- 20 which is E17/1/2.4 which sets out what was said at the -- on the
- 21 occasion?
- 22 [15.13.14]
- 23 Q. And could I just then move on and ask, Professor Campbell,
- 24 please, you've mentioned mild, moderate, severe impairment. You
- 25 also used the word significant in one of your reports. How

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 should the Court understand the difference between a mild
- 2 impairment and moderate and moderately severe or severe?
- 3 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 4 A. When I use the word moderate it means that the cognitive
- 5 impairment is such that it is going to interfere with the
- 6 person's daily life, their ability to manage their affairs.
- 7 Significant, again, means that it is significant in their life.
- 8 It is affecting what they're able to do, how they interact with
- 9 people, how they're able to conduct their business.
- 10 Q. You have been asked by the lead co-lawyers about the
- 11 capacities as set out in Strugar. If we look at your expert
- 12 report of the 23rd of June, paragraph 41 where you say:
- 13 "She is unable to recall accurately many details of her past, is
- 14 unable to maintain concentration and a coherent conversation for
- 15 more than a few minutes and is often unable to respond
- 16 appropriately to questions. These impairments would compromise
- 17 her ability to participate fully in her trial and exercise her
- 18 fair trial rights."
- 19 [15.15.09]
- 20 When you drafted that paragraph, did you have in mind the
- 21 capacities that are referred to in Strugar?
- 22 A. Yes, I was aware of those capacities and had those in mind.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 And finally this; is it your position that as of today, your
- 25 conclusion is that Ieng Thirith is cognitively impaired to an

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 extent that she couldn't meaningfully participate in her trial
- 2 and exercise her fair trial rights? But before confirming that
- 3 decision, or changing it, you feel that every avenue should
- 4 properly be explored to see whether there can be some marginal
- 5 improvement?
- 6 A. That is how I feel; every option should be explored. I
- 7 have indicated in my supplementary report that my conclusions are
- 8 the same as they were in my initial report. And as has been
- 9 indicated, the final decision is of course that of the Chamber.
- 10 MS. ELLIS:
- 11 Of course. Thank you very much, Professor Campbell.
- 12 [15.16.47]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you, counsel, and thank you, Professor Campbell.
- 15 It is an appropriate time to take a 15-minute adjournment. We
- 16 will resume at 3.30.
- 17 The Chamber would like to also inform the parties of the
- 18 proceedings and the public that the individualized session
- 19 concerning the report on the fitness to stand trial of Ieng
- 20 Thirith comes to an end.
- 21 The following session will be the session dedicated for Nuon
- 22 Chea.
- 23 And Ieng Thirith's defence team can attend the following
- 24 proceedings as observers should they wish to do so.
- 25 [15.18.06]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 The security personnel are instructed to bring Nuon Chea to the
- 2 courtroom when the next session commences, and that Ieng Thirith
- 3 is ordered to be brought back to the detention facility.
- 4 (Court recess from 1519H to 1533H)
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session. The
- 7 following session will be the individualized session for Nuon
- 8 Chea regarding the expert report.
- 9 [15.33.36]
- 10 The Chamber would like to hand over to Judge Cartwright to put
- 11 questions to the expert concerning his report of Nuon Chea.
- 12 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 13 Yes. Thank you, President.
- 14 MR. PESTMAN:
- 15 Excuse me. Sorry for interrupting.
- 16 [15.34.02]
- 17 Your Honours, I would like to ask you to continue the examination
- 18 of this expert witness in closed session. I feel that we would
- 19 more freely be -- first of all, my client would wish to continue
- 20 in closed session because he does not want to discuss his private
- 21 medical matters in public. We feel that his interests, his right
- 22 to privacy outweighs the right of the public to be informed and
- 23 to follow those proceedings.
- 24 [15.34.43]
- 25 I think it's in the interests of justice that the curtains are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 closed. And what I was trying to say is that I feel that we will
- 2 be more freely -- we will be able to allow -- we will be able to
- 3 present our documents more freely than we would be if we continue
- 4 in open session. And we would feel forced to -- not to ask
- 5 certain questions, refrain from certain -- asking certain
- 6 questions or presenting certain documents if we continue in open
- 7 session, especially when those documents contain sensitive,
- 8 potentially embarrassing medical information about our client.
- 9 [15.35.30]
- 10 So we would like to ask you to continue in closed session.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 (No English translation)
- 13 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President, if we may respond very briefly.
- 15 [15.35.46]
- 16 I think up until this point and to the great benefit, certainly,
- 17 of the public, proceedings have proceeded in public. The
- 18 Co-Prosecutors certainly support as much of the proceedings
- 19 remaining in public as possible, and in that light, we would just
- $20\,$ $\,$ note that significant aspects of the assessment of Nuon Chea were
- 21 discussed yesterday.
- 22 [15.36.06]
- 23 And certainly it is -- Your Honours will commence the examination
- 24 and Your Honours may be in a position to determine which
- 25 questions could continue to be appropriately dealt with in public

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 session in light of those proceedings yesterday and then perhaps,
- 2 of course, consider where private medical information is at
- 3 issue, going into a closed session as requested.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Lead Co-Lawyers, you may now proceed.
- 6 MS. SIMMONEAU-FORT:
- 7 Mr. President, on the subject of closed or open sessions, I
- 8 believe that the openness of debates is important for justice.
- 9 [15.37.15]
- 10 Yesterday, there was much talk of the public side of the debate,
- 11 and you revealed a certain number of elements in the dossier as
- 12 well. And you referred to the fitness to stand trial and the
- 13 ability to attend hearings over a given period. Those are the
- 14 two issues that have been raised.
- 15 You told us about the answers given by the experts, and we spent
- 16 a day and a half talking about those answers. And you have
- 17 explained to us that there will be further expert studies.
- 18 [15.37.57]
- 19 So the questions we're talking about over these three days are
- 20 extremely important, with highly important consequences, and they
- 21 are being talked about in the media and the press. The stakes
- 22 are high. They are being publicly discussed, and it's very
- 23 important that the debate should, therefore, continue to be
- 24 public.
- 25 [15.38.21]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 The more debates are public, the more the public, the victims,
- 2 the civil parties and the public in general will understand what
- 3 is going on, what is happening, and what the real stakes are.
- 4 If, for example, we need to appoint new experts, this means
- 5 further delays. If somebody's not fit to stand trial, that means
- 6 that the person will no longer belong to the trial at all, be
- 7 part of it.
- 8 These are major stakes. And with everything that has been said
- 9 so far, I do not believe that we can call for a closed session
- 10 which will deprive the public of a basic understanding of the
- 11 reasons why decisions are taken in the future by the Chamber.
- 12 [15.39.12]
- 13 You have ordered an expert's opinion. The questions in it are
- 14 public. The answers given are also public. And the consequences
- 15 of it and the decision that you will be obliged to make at the
- 16 end of your conclusions are also public. The expert has been
- 17 with us to answer questions, and the contents of his report are
- 18 only real when they are connected to public issues and public
- 19 questions.
- 20 The whole content of the report can be the subject of a public
- 21 debate today, and it's very important that we hold that public
- 22 debate. If we do not, then I am afraid that what we say here and
- 23 the conclusions that you may draw could be seen as being
- 24 arbitrary.
- 25 [15.40.07]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 It's very important for the public that the question of the
- 2 health of the accused should be publicly debated. We are
- 3 interested in the health of the accused, but the public is also
- 4 interested in the health of the civil parties, and quite rightly
- 5 so. And it is very important that everybody should understand
- 6 the reasons behind the decisions that you will take, having heard
- 7 the opinions of experts, which you will take on the basis of
- 8 things that are being discussed before you today.
- 9 [15.40.40]
- 10 It seems, therefore, that public debate is necessary and it
- 11 should, therefore, continue as we have held it since the start of
- 12 this hearing. I don't really believe that we are going to talk
- 13 about issues that are particularly intimate or that are external
- 14 to the public issues that we are all debating.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 (Short pause)
- 17 [15.43.26]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 After having been seized of the application by Nuon Chea's
- 20 defence team to call a closed session with regard to the hearing
- 21 on the report prepared by the expert and that the accused person
- 22 also indicates that such a proceeding shall be called -- or shall
- 23 be made in a closed session, and having also considered the
- 24 response by the prosecutor and the co-lawyers, the Chamber
- 25 finally decides to reject the application by the defence counsel

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 because we have already noted that much debate has been already
- 2 been made during the few previous sessions, which was much made
- 3 in public.
- 4 [15.45.03]
- 5 The Chamber, indeed, wishes to make it clear that we would like
- 6 to call a closed session when it is needed and that, like in this
- 7 morning's session, all related confidential documents were
- 8 allowed to only be handed over to the expert, but not projected
- 9 on the screen.
- 10 I, therefore, now hand over the floor to Judge Cartwright to
- 11 proceed with the questioning to the expert.
- 12 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 13 Thank you, President.
- 14 OUESTIONING BY THE BENCH:
- 15 BY JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 16 Q. Professor Campbell, I am conscious that this has been a
- 17 very long and tiring day for you, but equally, I am aware that
- 18 your time with us is limited, and for that reason, I am going to
- 19 begin the questioning from the bench and hope to get it through
- 20 before we adjourn for the day. And just so that the counsel, the
- 21 parties and the public are aware, my understanding is that
- 22 Professor Campbell must leave Phnom Penh tomorrow some time after
- 23 4 o'clock, so we have only tomorrow left and what's left of
- 24 today.
- 25 [15.46.20]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 So we'll keep it as brief as we can, and I do -- I am very
- 2 grateful to you for the brevity of your answers.
- 3 Professor Campbell, we are, of course, aware that you have
- 4 examined Nuon Chea and you have prepared two reports for the
- 5 Court in relation to him, the first dated the 13th of June of
- 6 this year, and the second after your re-assessment last week
- 7 dated the 26th of November. I'll deal with the first one, and
- 8 then we'll move to the second one.
- 9 [15.47.00]
- 10 In your June report, you isolated some physical health issues in
- 11 relation to Nuon Chea, four areas: cardiovascular disease;
- 12 cerebrovascular disease; musculoskeletal problems, and what you
- 13 call other systems.
- 14 Could you summarize your findings in relation to each of these?
- 15 And of course, I simply remind the parties and the public that
- 16 we've been through the -- most of the elements of your
- 17 examinations of Nuon Chea and your review of the documentation
- 18 when we looked at this issue yesterday.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 MR. PESTMAN:
- 21 Excuse me. I would like to again ask the Court, Your Honours, to
- 22 move into a closed session. We're going to discuss -- this
- 23 expert witness is invited to discuss his findings, findings
- 24 contained in the report which has been labeled strictly
- 25 confidential. I don't understand why on the one hand the report

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 is labeled strictly confidential and we are continuing now in
- 2 open session.
- 3 I don't -- I understand, and I think the report is labelled
- 4 strictly confidential for good reasons. I don't understand why
- 5 we should continue in open session when we are going to discuss
- 6 the findings of this expert in detail.
- 7 What we discussed yesterday was all general questions about
- 8 methodology, as you know.
- 9 [15:48:41]
- 10 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 11 Yes, thank you. The President has invited me to respond.
- 12 The documents were labelled strictly confidential to limit their
- 13 distribution to the public generally so that only those most
- 14 concerned with these issues would have access to the full
- 15 document.
- 16 Your application to go into closed session has been considered
- 17 and rejected.
- 18 And if I may summarize what Judge Lavergne was reminding me
- 19 concerning the procedures in the civil law system, once the
- 20 Chamber has referred to documents marked confidential or strictly
- 21 confidential on the case file they are put before the Chamber and
- 22 therefore are available for public discussion in the course of
- 23 this hearing.
- 24 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 25 Q. Shall I return, Professor Campbell? You're ready to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 summarize the physical findings under those categories please?
- 2 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 3 A. I think it may be clearer for the Court if I summarize each
- 4 of the systems in relationship to the symptoms that may affect
- 5 fitness to stand trial.
- 6 So we start with the cardiovascular system. I won't reiterate
- 7 Nuon Chea's history. The potential problems in someone with
- 8 cardiovascular disease are firstly that the person has angina
- 9 brought on by the stress of the sitting. Nuon Chea has not
- 10 suffered from angina recently and so this is not an ongoing
- 11 problem.
- 12 The person may suffer from shortness of breath, but again, I do
- 13 not feel that he has had shortness of breath related to his
- 14 cardiovascular disease at all, and I do not feel the stress of
- 15 the court sittings will induce problems with heart failure and
- 16 shortness of breath.
- 17 [15:51:24]
- 18 The third cardinal symptom is one of exhaustion or tiredness
- 19 which can come on with cardiovascular disease, and it is possible
- 20 that the cardiovascular disease will contribute to his tiredness
- 21 with the proceedings.
- 22 As far as the cerebrovascular disease is concerned, it may effect
- 23 language but there has been no evidence of that in my discussions
- 24 with Nuon Chea or in the history. It does affect his mobility
- 25 and processes have been put in place to ensure that he is able to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 get freely to and from the Court.
- 2 Cerebrovascular disease and multiple strokes, as we've discussed
- 3 earlier, can cause cognitive impairment, but in my discussions
- 4 with him and review of all the medical files there has been no
- 5 evidence of significant cognitive impairment.
- 6 As far as muscular skeletal system is concerned, this may affect
- 7 a person's ability to sit for prolonged periods and to
- 8 concentrate during that time. In my discussion on the first
- 9 interview with Nuon Chea he indicated that he could sit for two
- 10 to three hours or so without that being distressing.
- 11 When one brings all those systems together one needs to consider
- 12 them in total then they may have an effect on the person's
- 13 ability to concentrate over a prolonged period or to sit for a
- 14 long period. And as I've indicated in my second report, he
- 15 indicates that he finds an hour and a half to be at the limit of
- 16 his ability to concentrate and participate. That seems to be the
- 17 usual length of the sitting each session of the Court and
- 18 therefore could be accommodated in the normal Court times.
- 19 Q. Professor Campbell, you did mention other systems. Is
- 20 there anything that you want to mention in relation to those?
- 21 [15:53:14]
- 22 A. No, there have been ongoing problems with a mild degree of
- 23 chronic renal impairment but that has been stable. There are no
- 24 significant problems there. He has had a previous history of a
- 25 gastrointestinal haemorrhage but that is well in the past and not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 a problem now.
- 2 Q. Thank you. Now, there have been quite a significant number
- 3 of medical reports concerning Nuon Chea that you have had access
- 4 to, particularly in relation to the four areas of his physical
- 5 health that you have just summarized. Is there any aspect of any
- 6 of those other reports on his physical health that you would wish
- 7 to comment on?
- 8 A. These have been very comprehensive reports, and I think one
- 9 of the overriding issues that comes through in those reports is
- 10 that his physical condition has been stable, recently stable,
- 11 over that time, both with his cardiovascular disease,
- 12 cerebrovascular disease, and also his mobility.
- 13 Q. Now, turning to the issue of his cognitive function, is it,
- 14 in general, correct that the reports summarize examinations and
- 15 tests that have been conducted and recommend regular assessment
- 16 given Nuon Chea's age?
- 17 A. Yes, that is so. That's particularly the reports from
- 18 Professor Lafont and Ponk Son (phonetic).
- 19 Q. And in fact those reassessments have been done on a
- 20 reasonably regular basis, have they not?
- 21 [15:54:55]
- 22 A. They have been done six monthly and those reviews have
- 23 consisted not only of the clinical assessment but also necessary
- 24 for a number of investigations including echocardiography and
- 25 electrocardiograms.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Q. And can you comment in relation to your observations and
- 2 the information you gleaned from those reports on Nuon Chea's
- 3 long-term memory?
- 4 A. The comments, particularly from Professor Lafont's report
- 5 indicate that they have not determined or found any cognitive
- 6 impairment or problem with language or structural problems, and
- 7 that has been a consistent finding throughout all those reports.
- 8 Q. And in addition to Professor Lafont there was a
- 9 neurological examination and report produced by Dr. Chan Samleng
- 10 on the 9th of June last year, 2010, and he made comment on Nuon
- 11 Chea's neurological status. Are you able to recall that report?
- 12 A. I am. And in relation to his neurological state his
- 13 findings neurologically have been consistent over time. He has
- 14 only very subtle residual effects from his 1995 stroke.
- 15 Q. Now, in 2009 Nuon Chea was offered the opportunity for
- 16 examination by Professor Ka Sunbaunat and Dr. Brinded and for a
- 17 variety of reasons declined to participate in that examination.
- 18 Do you recall seeing the report on his status, albeit without the
- 19 opportunity of examining him personally?
- 20 A. Yes, I have read that report and I read it again very
- 21 recently. Their decision or comments were based on the written
- 22 records that they had at that time.
- 23 [15:57:07]
- 24 Q. And does that method of assessment, while everyone would
- 25 have to acknowledge that it's not as good as a direct assessment,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 is that a known medical means of assessing in general the status
- of a person's health?
- 3 A. As you indicated, it is not ideal but I felt that the
- 4 conclusions they drew from the written material were entirely
- 5 reasonable.
- 6 Q. Now, you have in fact assessed Nuon Chea for his cognitive
- 7 as well as for his physical abilities. Is there any aspect of
- 8 the reports that you have considered or your own assessment and
- 9 examination that might lead you to conclude that he has impaired
- 10 cognitive function?
- 11 A. No, there is nothing in the reports that I have read or in
- 12 my discussions with him or examination that would lead me to feel
- 13 so.
- 14 Q. Now, over the last two days you've heard repeated
- 15 references to Nuon Chea's inability to concentrate for more than
- 16 an hour and a half, and you have just commented on that yourself.
- 17 And yesterday, I believe, you were asked if there was any
- 18 specialist expertise that could measure this ability to
- 19 concentrate objectively as opposed to being told by the person
- 20 what he believes his ability is. Can you comment on that?
- 21 A. I don't believe that there is a reliable measure in this
- 22 sort of situation that could be used to assess concentration.
- 23 Q. When you say "in this sort of situation" are you referring
- 24 to the conditions in which Nuon Chea finds himself, namely in
- 25 detention and facing rather very serious criminal charges?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 [15:59:20]
- 2 A. Yes, partly that and also the purpose of the assessment.
- 3 As I indicated, someone with cognitive impairment or who failed
- 4 to concentrate may have a falling off of the test done over time.
- 5 But in that situation it is one's interpretation that this is an
- 6 impairment of concentration is based on the understanding that
- 7 the person is trying with that test as much as is possible, as
- 8 hard as they can.
- 9 Q. A few minutes ago you said that ability to concentrate for
- 10 an hour and a half coincided with the approximate limit of each
- 11 court session, that is from morning 'till morning tea time and so
- 12 on. Are you suggesting that with a break, a regular break, such
- 13 as the morning adjournment, the luncheon adjournment, the
- 14 afternoon adjournment, that Nuon Chea would be able to
- 15 participate in court?
- 16 A. Yes, I am suggesting that, especially with the use of the
- 17 holding cells as well.
- 18 Q. Now, we'll turn to your reassessment, which was conducted
- 19 last week, and which you call a follow-up geriatric report. And
- 20 I'll go through that in a little more detail because it has not
- 21 been made so widely available, given the brief time that we've
- 22 had it available to us.
- 23 So, first of all, you have a portion where you evaluate Nuon
- 24 Chea's current situation. Before we move to that, there was some
- 25 additional documents, medical documents that you reviewed in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 paragraphs 4 and 5, and you've attached those to your report. Is
- 2 that correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 [16:01:24]
- 5 Q. In those reports where we stay with those for a moment, I
- 6 notice the practice of the reporting physician or laboratory that
- 7 highlights test results that are outside the normal range. Could
- 8 you just go through the biochemical report please where
- 9 phosphorous, for example, is outside lower than the normal range
- 10 and just go through each of the marked test results and give us
- 11 your views as to whether these are significantly outside the
- 12 range and would have an impact for Nuon Chea.
- 13 A. The phosphorous is a little low but I would not expect that
- 14 to have any clinical consequences at all.
- 15 His glucose again is below normal but that may -- almost
- 16 certainly would have been a fasting specimen and I do not feel
- 17 that that is significant. So there's been no suggestion of any
- 18 impairment of glucose control.
- 19 His LDH is a liver enzyme and it is only marginally raised and
- 20 does not indicate any problem that would have a bearing on his
- 21 fitness to stand trial.
- 22 His creatinine at 126 indicates a very mild degree of kidney
- 23 impairment. That creatinine has not increased over time. It has
- 24 been stable at that level.
- 25 If we go to the haematology results his haemoglobin is at the low

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 limit of normal. I would not be at all concerned about that
- 2 level of haemoglobin in a person of his age. And the others are
- 3 measures of his red blood cells, not of significance in the
- 4 presence of that haemoglobin.
- 5 [16:03:30]
- 6 His other haematological results don't indicate any significant
- 7 impairment. The only other abnormality is a very slight
- 8 elevation of his prostate specific antigen, the PSA. And again,
- 9 that sort of level is not of significance. Nuon Chea has had
- 10 extensive investigations of his urological system and there is no
- 11 ongoing problem.
- 12 Q. Thank you. And also there's the medical report of the 22nd
- 13 of February 2011, which I don't believe you had seen until this
- 14 time you assessed Nuon Chea, and this is one that you've referred
- 15 to previously where the MMS score is referred to.
- 16 A. I felt I should include this. I had not done any formal
- 17 mental test scoring when I saw Nuon Chea because I was concerned
- 18 about the accuracy of any results I might get, and therefore,
- 19 this report, I thought, was of importance. It did indicate a
- 20 normal mini mental state examination score in a situation which
- 21 was where the assessment was separate from trial considerations.
- 22 This was part of a normal medical assessment. And I therefore
- 23 felt that it could be taken as a true accurate reflection of his
- 24 cognitive function.
- 25 Q. And when you are sceptical about getting accurate results

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 on this sort of testing administered by you, this is the exact
- 2 opposite of your usual situation where someone's trying really
- 3 hard to show that they are capable of managing their own affairs,
- 4 living independently and the like. Is that the case?
- 5 A. That is correct. Had I had any indications of impaired
- 6 intellectual function in the history or in my examination I would
- 7 have proceeded to tests but did not feel I needed to.
- 8 Q. Thank you. Now, returning to your updated report, your
- 9 evaluation refers to the various reports that you've reviewed,
- 10 and we've considered those already, dealing first with
- 11 cardiovascular disease, could you summarize your findings in your
- 12 most recent report please?
- 13 [16:06:20]
- 14 A. As far as Nuon Chea's cardiovascular disease is concerned,
- 15 this is stable at present. He is not troubled by angina,
- 16 although he has symptoms of shortness of breath, they are not the
- 17 sort of symptoms of shortness of breath that would indicate
- 18 cardiac failure.
- 19 He does have some tiredness, which may well be reflected -- may
- 20 be the result of a number of different factors to which
- 21 cardiovascular disease may contribute, but also I think his lack
- 22 of physical activity, his difficulty with mobility making
- 23 everything more of an effort, I think that's more likely to be
- 24 contributing to his tiredness.
- 25 Q. Thank you. The cerebrovascular disease and cognitive

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 function, which we've already, to a degree, covered, perhaps
- 2 though the cerebrovascular disease could you comment on that,
- 3 your findings in relation to that?
- 4 A. Yes. Nuon Chea had a stroke in 1995. This was a small
- 5 stroke affecting the connections within the brain, the white
- 6 matter within the brain, a lacuna stroke, not the sort of stroke
- 7 to be associated with cognitive impairment.
- 8 As I've indicated in my report, he does complain quite frequently
- 9 that his brain is not normal; that he does have difficulty
- 10 because of that. If there had been any underlying neurological
- 11 disease to account for that I would have expected progression
- 12 over the four years since this was first noted in the defence
- 13 counsel's application for assessment, and I would have expected
- 14 to see change on, for example, the CT head scan,
- 15 [16:08:03]
- 16 Q. Yes. And one final question from me, Professor Campbell.
- 17 Is there any aspect of your examination of Nuon Chea's physical
- 18 or cognitive function that would lead you now to recommend
- 19 further expertise in relation to him for examination for the
- 20 purposes of fitness to stand trial?
- 21 A. I did not feel that further assessment was needed.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Professor Campbell.
- 23 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 24 President, I have no further questions, but it looks like Judge
- 25 Lavergne does.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 THE PRESIDENT:
- 2 Thank you, Madam Judge. Thank you, Professor.
- 3 Does any other judge off the bench would like to take the floor?
- 4 Please go ahead, Judge Lavergne.
- 5 QUESTIONING BY THE BENCH:
- 6 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 7 Q. Yes. I have a simple question in relation ---
- 8 MR. PESTMAN:
- 9 I'm sorry to interrupt again but my client raised his hand and he
- 10 indicated that he would like to make -- to state something.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 [16:09:51]
- 13 THE PRESIDENT:
- 14 We are running out of time. I would like to give the floor to
- 15 Judge Lavergne first, and if we still have time at the end of
- 16 today's session we will give the floor to Mr. Nuon Chea,
- 17 otherwise, he will be given the floor later tomorrow morning.
- 18 Please?
- 19 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 20 Past and present is different. My disease has changed. In the
- 21 past I can walk even though I have a stroke, but later on I have
- 22 to depend on my walking stick, and now I have to walk with six
- 23 legs, choosing my supporting staff.
- 24 My health has been decreased. It's not that I do not believe in
- 25 doctors but my health conditions has changed. It becomes worse

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 and worse both physically, emotionally, as well as my
- 2 intellectual abilities. That is why I'm saying that what is in
- 3 the past and what it is now is different, and what is now will be
- 4 the consequence of what will be in the future, and this will
- 5 change. It will change in a negative way. Nothing stays the
- 6 same.
- 7 So this is what I want to tell you, Mr. President. It is not
- 8 that I do not believe in medical doctors. It's not that I
- 9 believe in medicine.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 This is what you feel. And now we are examining is the objective
- 12 evidence that we have before us, and we will consider what we
- 13 have before us and consider it as such.
- 14 So please go ahead, Judge Lavergne.
- 15 [16:12:27]
- 16 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 17 Q. Yes. So I'm going to ask a question regarding the current
- 18 condition of Mr. Nuon Chea, the Accused, and in particular in
- 19 relation to his complaints regarding his lumbar problems, because
- 20 he brings up problems and issues about being seated for more than
- 21 an hour and a half, and I believe that he also -- yes, he said
- 22 that he has trouble being seated for a long period, and I believe
- 23 that I read in your report that however you said that there was
- 24 no problem regarding his ability to stand trial.
- 25 So could you be a bit more clear about this specific point.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

- 1 Should we consider, for example, to find a solution to alleviate
- 2 this problem, for example, to come up with a well-suited chair,
- 3 or should he be lying, or is this completely irrelevant?
- 4 MR. CAMPBELL:
- 5 A. No, I think it's an important point. When I first saw Nuon
- 6 Chea I asked him specifically about his ability to sit and he
- 7 indicated that he could sit for two to three hours. His time of
- 8 an hour and a half was more to do with his ability to concentrate
- 9 rather than to sit.
- 10 I think though the facilities within the holding cells are well
- 11 set up, and if he is having problems sitting for that length of
- 12 time then you should be made of them.
- 13 We did look for a particular seating for Ieng Sary but that issue
- 14 was not raised as being necessary when I spoke with Nuon Chea.
- 15 Q. Thank you. I have no further questions.
- 16 THE PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Judge Lavergne. Thank you, Professor Campbell.
- 18 It is now appropriate for us to adjourn. So I would like to
- 19 announce the adjournment of our today's session now and we will
- 20 resume our hearings tomorrow on the 31st of August 2011 beginning
- 21 from nine o'clock in the morning.
- 22 [16:15:05]
- 23 Security guards, I instruct you to bring The Accused Person back
- 24 to the detention facility and bring him back tomorrow by nine
- 25 o'clock in the morning.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Preliminary Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/8/2011

1	All rise.
2	(Judges exit courtroom)
3	(Court adjourns at 1615H)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	