

អុខ្ពស្សំ៩ម្រះខុសាធញ្ញតូខតុលាការគម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ជានិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាត្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អតិន្នុន្នរិស្តិន្តរិស្តិន

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC**

Case File No 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

15 December 2011 Trial Day 11

ឯកសារខ្មើន

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date):.....21-Dec-2011, 12:42 Kauv Keoratanak

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

The Accused:

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

IENG Sary

Michiel PESTMAN Andrew IANUZZI

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

DUCH Phary

Natacha WEXELS-RISER

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS KONG Sam Onn Arthur VERCKEN

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

William SMITH CHEA Leang

CHAN Dararasmey Dale LYSAK

VENG Huot SENG Bunkheang Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH And

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

LOR Chunthy **MOCH Sovannary HONG Kimsuon** Christine MARTINEAU Olivier BAHOUGNE

VEN Pov SAM Sokong

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

For Witness and Expert Support Unit:

NHEM Samnang

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

INDEX

| MR. LONG NORIN (TCW-395) - Videoconference | |
|--|---------|
| Questioning by Mr. Pestman resumes | page 2 |
| Questioning by Mr. Karnavas | page 10 |
| Questioning by Judge Lavergne | page 21 |
| | |
| THE ACCUSED, MR. NUON CHEA | |
| Questioning by Mr. Lysak resumes | page 29 |

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

| Speaker | Language |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| MR. ANG UDOM | Khmer |
| JUDGE CARTWRIGHT | English |
| MR. KARNAVAS | English |
| MR. IANUZZI | English |
| JUDGE LAVERGNE | English |
| MR. LONG NORIN (TCW-395) | Khmer |
| MR. LYSAK | English |
| MR. NHEM SAMNANG | Khmer |
| THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding) | Khmer |
| MR. NUON CHEA | Khmer |
| MR. PESTMAN | English |
| MR. SMITH | English |
| MR. SON ARUN | Khmer |
| MR. VERCKEN | English |

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0900H)
- 3 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated. The Chamber is now in session.
- 6 [09.01.40]
- 7 As we scheduled, this morning, the Chamber will continue to hear
- 8 the testimony of the witness, Long Norin, through
- 9 videoconferencing from his residence.
- 10 Before the adjournment, yesterday, it was the Defence counsel for
- 11 Nuon Chea asking questions to the witness, and the team still
- 12 have 10 more minutes.
- 13 Good morning, Mr. Long Norin.
- 14 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 15 Good morning.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Mr. Long Norin, today, we will continue to hear your testimony,
- 18 and if everything is as we planned, your testimony shall conclude
- 19 this morning.
- 20 I'd like now to give the floor to the international co-lawyer for
- 21 Nuon Chea to continue his questions to this witness. You have 10
- 22 remaining minutes.
- 23 [09.03.17]
- 24 MR. PESTMAN:
- 25 Good morning. I can't see the witness. Good morning, Mr. Long

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Norin.
- 2 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 3 Good morning.
- 4 OUESTIONING BY MR. PESTMAN RESUMES:
- 5 Q. Yesterday afternoon, I asked some questions about a meeting
- 6 that took place in B-1, at which Ieng Sary told colleagues of
- 7 yours that he would not allow arrests during his absence.
- 8 And my last question yesterday was: Who told you about the
- 9 meeting?
- 10 (Short pause)
- 11 [09.04.43]
- 12 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 13 A. The -- it was -- the message was delivered during the
- 14 ministerial meeting. Everybody was aware of that.
- 15 Q. But my question was: Who told you about the meeting? Because I
- 16 understand that you were not present. Do you remember who that
- 17 was?
- 18 A. The word "arrest" were not used. The word was in this similar
- 19 phrase, that nobody shall be taken out when he is not in. The
- 20 message was delivered during the ministerial meeting.
- 21 Q. In 2007, you told the investigators that you were not present
- 22 at that meeting and that Koy Thuon told you about the meeting
- 23 afterwards. Do you remember?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The prosecutor, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [09.06.23]
- 2 MR. LYSAK:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. We just have an objection.
- 4 Counsel has misstated -- counsel has misstated the-
- 5 Sorry, Mr. President. The objection is that counsel has misstated
- 6 the prior testimony. We're fine with Mr. Pestman asking the
- 7 witness about his prior statement, but he should read the actual
- 8 statement, which is not broader, much broader in terms of the
- 9 possible people that he acquired this information from.
- 10 So we would simply ask that, when counsels are using prior
- 11 statements, that they read the actual statement.
- 12 BY MR. PESTMAN:
- 13 Q. Mr. Long Norin, in 2007, you spoke to the investigators, and
- 14 -- I counted -- you mentioned Koy Thuon five times. You were
- 15 interviewed last week and yesterday, and you didn't mention him
- 16 once.
- 17 My question is: Do you feel free to testify?
- 18 [09.08.11]
- 19 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 20 A. I do not understand the question. What was the question?
- 21 MR. NHEM SAMNANG:
- 22 (No interpretation)
- 23 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 24 A. No, I am not pressured by anybody.
- 25 BY MR. PESTMAN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Q. Have you been approached by people, after 2007, about your
- 2 testimony in court?
- 3 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 4 A. No, nobody.
- 5 Q. What is Koy Thuon's present position in Cambodia?
- 6 A. I do not know his current position and I haven't met him for
- 7 so long already. When we were there, sometimes we went together.
- 8 For example, I went to the United Nations headquarters with him.
- 9 Q. You don't know that he's the Minister of Finance and a Deputy
- 10 Prime Minister of Cambodia?
- 11 A. No, I do not know that.
- 12 Q. Do you know why he refused to testify before the
- 13 Co-Investigating Judge?
- 14 [09.10.27]
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Your question is not on the facts being debated. The witness is
- 17 instructed not to respond.
- 18 And, Defence Counsel, you are reminded that your time is almost
- 19 running out, so please use your time wisely, as the Chamber has
- 20 given instructions many times, that questions shall only focused
- 21 on the facts before the Chamber, and try to avoid any questions
- 22 not related to the current facts, avoid repetitive questions, or
- 23 leading questions, or questions which you wish to get the
- 24 assumption made by the witness.
- 25 MR. PESTMAN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 I have no further question. Thank you.
- 2 [09.11.34]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 Next, the Chamber will give the floor to the co-defence lawyers
- 6 for Mr. Ieng Sary.
- 7 Before the Chamber gives the floor, the Chamber would like to
- 8 inquire how much time that the team needs to put questions to
- 9 this witness.
- 10 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 11 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good morning, everyone
- 12 in and around the courtroom.
- 13 As we notified to the Chamber earlier, we need approximately
- 14 between 15 to 45 minutes, and we try to make our questions as
- 15 short and precise as possible.
- 16 [09.12.38]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 You may now proceed with your questions.
- 19 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 20 I'd like to make some observations.
- 21 We have heard the tape of the interview of Mr. Long Norin with
- 22 members of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges. We also
- 23 have to use our best ability for the interest of my client. I
- 24 compared the tape to the transcript, and then I will use the
- document as a reference for my question. The document is D91/3.1.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [09.13.56]
- 2 I observed that the transcript, which only been given to us
- 3 recently and which has not been translated into English or
- 4 French, we compared the entire transcript to the voices on the
- 5 tape. Also, we compared the transcript to the interview by the
- 6 OCIJ office with Long Norin, document D91/3, and this document
- 7 has been referred to by the prosecution when they conducted their
- 8 direct examination.
- 9 I have compared the -- what is on the tape and the transcript in
- 10 order to see if there is any inconsistency and in order to find
- 11 any exculpatory evidence which might be excluded from the
- 12 official record of interview. We would like Your Honours to pay
- 13 attention to the following points.
- 14 The written record of the interview is not completely accurate.
- 15 Upon our thorough review against the transcript, this record of
- 16 interview with the OCIJ, there are -- there appears some
- 17 mistakes. However, they are not fundamental.
- 18 [09.16.42]
- 19 What is the most concerned regarding the transcript and the tape:
- 20 the interpreter not only interpreted, but also asked questions
- 21 and answered questions, and sometimes the interpreter also read a
- 22 text for the transcriber to write down. It appears on almost
- 23 every single page of the transcript, and the transcript itself is
- 24 98 pages long. We are of the view that this is very
- 25 inappropriate.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 For instance, on page 7 of document D91/3, there were eight
- 2 occasions where the interpreter also participated in the
- 3 discussion, and on page 8, there are two occasions; page 9,
- 4 three; page 10, six; page 11, four; and page 12, five times, etc.
- 5 [09.18.21]
- 6 Another example from the transcript, and which also is our great
- 7 concern, is on page 94 of the transcript. Let me read this
- 8 example to you, Mr. President, just to highlight our concern.
- 9 I'll start from page 92:
- 10 "Interpreter: Teacher, did you ever go to B-30?"
- 11 And Mr. Long Norin responds: "I do not know where B-30 is."
- 12 Then the interpreter asked: "What about B-31, B-32?" That's on
- 13 page 93.
- 14 And Mr. Long Norin responds: "B-31, B-32, I do not know where
- 15 they were."
- 16 Then the interpreter asked question: "Had you ever heard about
- 17 B-31, 32 or 33?"
- 18 [09.20.06]
- 19 And the last line of page 93, the investigator tries to reverify:
- 20 "Hor Namhong, at B-32?"
- 21 And on page 94, the investigator asked the question: "Don't you
- 22 know that?"
- 23 And Long Norin responds: "Hor Namhong."
- 24 Then the interpreter butts in: "Hor Namhong was in charge of B-32
- 25 at that time."

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 And Mr. Long Norin responds: "No. No, Hor Namhong was not there.
- 2 Was it at Boeng Trabaek? I believe Hor Namhong was in charge of
- 3 Boeng Trabaek."
- 4 Then the investigator asked question: "What is the name of B-30
- 5 (sic)? Was it Heng Un (phonetic)? There is no need to write it
- 6 down because he doesn't know either."
- 7 [09.21.32]
- 8 This is just an illustration of our concerns. In fact, this
- 9 practice is really a concern reflecting the process taken by the
- 10 OCIJ during their investigation in Case 002 and it also
- 11 illustrates the fact that the request for the judicial
- 12 investigative action by us shall be considered seriously, as well
- 13 as other motions that referred before this very Chamber, that we
- 14 request a hearing on the investigative -- on the judicial
- 15 investigative actions.
- 16 [09.22.33]
- 17 It also supports our submission and motions on all these requests
- 18 for investigative actions.
- 19 In order to assist the Chamber and also ask additional
- 20 information regarding our concerns as how these issues impact
- 21 upon us on the fundamental right of our client, Mr. Ieng Sary, to
- 22 receive a fair trial, I'd like the Chamber to refer to the
- 23 request made by Mr. Ieng Sary for a third investigative action.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 MR. LYSAK:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. We certainly don't have any problem if
- 3 counsel wants to ask questions to the witness about this
- 4 transcript, but this is not the time to be making motions and
- 5 making speeches. There's -- we will have plenty of time to have
- 6 these have these matters addressed. It certainly is important
- 7 that we have full transcripts and address this, but this is
- 8 simply not the time, and I would ask that counsel turn to asking
- 9 questions to the witness if he wishes.
- 10 [09.24.12]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Thank you, prosecutor, for your observation.
- 13 The Chamber would like to ask the Defence team for Mr. Ieng Sary
- 14 if they have questions for this witness. If you do not have any
- 15 questions, then you are not allowed to make a statement during
- 16 this time.
- 17 The discussion on the legal issues will be taking place at a
- 18 later stage, not during this time. If you don't have questions,
- 19 then we will hand the floor to another defence team.
- 20 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 21 Mr. President, as our questions are related to three documents
- 22 which are inconsistent, that's why we'd like to draw your
- 23 attention to these three documents, that is: one, the written
- 24 record of interview of Mr. Long Norin with the OCIJ; and, two,
- 25 the transcript; and, third, the tape of the interview. These

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 three documents are inconsistent. For that reason, I draw your
- 2 attention to that before I put questions to the witness.
- 3 [09.25.56]
- 4 My colleague and I will have questions for the witness, and we
- 5 will proceed with the questions after I draw your attention to
- 6 these inconsistencies in these three documents, which are the
- 7 base for our questioning of this witness. If we are not allowed
- 8 to draw your attention to these inconsistencies, then there will
- 9 be a gap.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 You are not allowed to proceed with your statement. You can put
- 12 questions. Otherwise, the time will be allocated to another team.
- 13 [09.26.40]
- 14 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 15 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 16 morning, Mr. Long Norin, and good morning to everyone in and
- 17 around the courtroom.
- 18 I do have some questions. And I don't wish to revisit the issue
- 19 that just my colleague was talking about, but basically, we
- 20 concur with the prosecution. Everything should be translated in
- 21 all three languages so all Judges have access to what was
- 22 actually said, as opposed to relying on the summaries that were
- 23 prepared by the OCIJ. This will give all Judges equal access to
- 24 all the information lest there be any problems.
- 25 QUESTIONING BY MR. KARNAVAS:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Mr. Long Norin, first of all, let me introduce myself: my name is
- 2 Michael Karnavas, and I represent, along with Mr. Ang Udom, Mr.
- 3 Ieng Sary.
- 4 Q. After you were interviewed -- and I believe your interview
- 5 took place over a period of two days -- did the investigators
- 6 give you an opportunity to either hear your answers or read the
- 7 transcription of what you had said during the interview?
- 8 (Short pause)
- 9 [09.28.33]
- 10 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 11 A. Was there a question for me? I did not know.
- 12 Q. Let me repeat my question. I'll repeat it. You were
- 13 interviewed on two particular days: one day for approximately
- 14 eight hours, and the following day for approximately 45 minutes.
- 15 After this interview, were you given an opportunity to either
- 16 hear the tape or read the transcription of the tape?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Before testifying before testifying here, were you given an
- 19 opportunity to read the 98 pages or so of transcription of your
- 20 interview?
- 21 [09.29.44]
- 22 A. No, I did not read the transcript.
- 23 Q. All right. Thank you. And one final question -- and I think
- 24 you've already answered it, but let me ask it anyway: Did you
- 25 have an opportunity to compare the transcript with the selected

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 portions of what you said that was prepared by the Investigating
- 2 Judges in their summary?
- 3 (Short pause)
- 4 [09.30.44]
- 5 Did Mr. Long Norin understand the question, or should I repeat
- 6 it?
- 7 A. No, I don't understand your question.
- 8 Q. I'll ask it again. From your 98-page transcription of the
- 9 statement that you -- of the answers, questions and answers, the
- 10 investigators prepared a summary of nine pages.
- 11 [09.31.23]
- 12 Were you given an opportunity to compare the 98 pages of
- 13 discussions that you had with the investigators against the
- 14 nine-page summary that they prepared?
- 15 A. No, I was not.
- 16 Q. Thank you. Now, would it be fair to say, Mr. Long Norin, that,
- 17 after 1979, you were able to learn quite a bit of information as
- 18 to what might have occurred between '75 and '79?
- 19 A. I do not understand the question.
- 20 Q. I'll go about it a different way. Can you tell us whether,
- 21 after 1979, you read anything about the period of '75 to '79?
- 22 [09.33.33]
- 23 A. No, I did not read anything about that.
- 24 Q. And are you saying here, today, that you never talked to
- anybody about that period between '75 and '79?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 A. I did not talk -- I don't remember that.
- 2 Q. Would it be fair to say, Mr. Long Norin, there are a lot of
- 3 things that you do not remember?
- 4 A. I don't remember.
- 5 Q. You allow for the possibility, Mr. Long Norin, that you may be
- 6 confused with some of the facts.
- 7 A. I don't know what kind of confusion that could be.
- 8 Q. All right. Now, let me bring you back to when you went to
- 9 Peking. You told us that you left Prague and you went to Peking.
- 10 Do you recall telling us that?
- 11 (Short pause)
- 12 [09.36.47]
- 13 Mr. President, at this time, I would make an application that we
- 14 re-hear Mr. Long Norin at some other point in time. It is obvious
- 15 that the gentleman is unable today to answer questions, perhaps
- 16 due to fatique. He certainly did not have a problem, yesterday,
- in answering some rather simple questions.
- 18 MR. LYSAK:
- 19 Mr. President, I would object to this characterization by
- 20 counsel. I do not if we're making observations, I don't see any
- 21 difference in the situation before.
- 22 It is difficult to conduct this examination through video link.
- 23 We've all been doing that. We've been doing the best that we can.
- 24 But it's inappropriate for counsel to make observations like
- 25 this.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [09.37.46]
- 2 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 3 Well, then, perhaps, Mr. President, you can inquire as to whether
- 4 Mr. Long Norin is able today -- I know physically he's there, but
- 5 is he able today to concentrate, listen, comprehend, and answer?
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Mr. Long Norin, could you understand the question asked by the
- 8 counsel?
- 9 [09.38.21]
- 10 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 11 No, I don't. I don't understand. I understand your question, but
- 12 I do not understand the question of the counsel.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 If you understand the question, we continue hearing your
- 15 testimony. Can you pay attention to the questions by the counsel?
- 16 We do not envisage that your testimony will be more than this
- 17 morning. Can you continue answering the questions for this
- 18 morning, Mr. Long Norin?
- 19 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 20 I have a headache. I feel pain in my neck.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Perhaps we can continue, and the counsel is directed to put short
- 23 questions. And for the interpreters, make sure you try to
- 24 translate short questions. And Mr. Samnang can also assist the
- 25 witness for him to understand the questions so that he can answer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 those questions.
- 2 There could be the problem of the equipment, that the mic is
- 3 positioned far away from the mouth of the witness, so perhaps
- 4 technician over there can adjust the mic and make sure that the
- 5 witness's voice can be transmitted.
- 6 [09.40.46]
- 7 Counsel, you may proceed. You can put again your last question.
- 8 BY MR. KARNAVAS:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 Q. Mr. Long Norin, do you recall leaving Prague and going to
- 11 Peking? I believe it was in 1971.
- 12 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 13 A. When I left in Cambodia -- when I left Cambodia to study
- 14 abroad, it was in 1960 and 1961.
- 15 [09.41.46]
- 16 I graduated -- after I graduated, I left Czechoslovakia and I
- 17 went to Beijing. At that time, the King appealed from Beijing.
- 18 That was why I went there.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Mr. Long Norin, the question asks whether you remember leaving
- 21 Prague to -- for Beijing in 1971. If you can recall that, perhaps
- 22 you can confirm it. You need to answer what is asked. Do you
- 23 understand this, Mr. Long Norin?
- 24 I know you are tired, but if you do not answer the question, it
- 25 will take longer time, and this will prolong your testimony. We

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 will try our best to put short questions so that it is easy for
- 2 you to answer.
- 3 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 4 [09.43.09]
- 5 BY MR. KARNAVAS:
- 6 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 7 Q. Now, while you were in Peking, you had contact with the King;
- 8 did you not? And at the time, he was the Prince, actually.
- 9 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. And during that time, the King had lavish banquets for guests
- 12 and his entourage, and you participated and witnessed those
- 13 lavish banquets; did you not?
- 14 [09.43.48]
- 15 A. I do not remember that.
- 16 Q. All right. Do you remember the Prince calling you all hours of
- 17 the day and night to play sports, in particular football?
- 18 A. No, I don't remember that.
- 19 Q. All right. Do you recall speaking to a Philip Short, who wrote
- 20 a book about Pol Pot?
- 21 A. I don't understand the question. Can we have the name of the
- 22 author again, please?
- 23 Q. Philip Short. Philip Short.
- 24 A. I do not remember that.
- 25 Q. And I take it that you would not remember then you discussing

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 matters about B-15, and not B-20.
- 2 A. I left B-15.
- 3 MR. NHEM SAMNANG:
- 4 Could we please have the question again?
- 5 BY MR. KARNAVAS:
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, in the discussions with Philip Short, Mr. Long
- 7 Norin, you only discussed B-15, and not B-20.
- 8 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 9 A. I don't know where B-27 is. I do not remember that.
- 10 [09.47.40]
- 11 Q. Do you allow for the possibility, Mr. Long Norin, that you may
- 12 be mixing up B-15 with B-20?
- 13 A. No, I am not confused among the two.
- 14 Q. All right. Do you recall, then, speaking to him about B-15?
- 15 A. I do not know who Philip Short is.
- 16 [09.49.10]
- 17 Q. Thank you. If I understand your testimony, you were merely a
- 18 typist when you were working for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs;
- 19 is that correct?
- 20 A. It is correct.
- 21 Q. Would it be fair to say that you did not have access to a lot
- 22 of information as a mere typist?
- 23 A. I do not understand that.
- 24 Q. All right. Well, for instance, as a typist, were you called
- 25 upon to attend meetings and participate in policy matters?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 A. I do not understand it. I don't understand the question.
- 2 Q. Did you attend any meetings where policy issues were being
- 3 discussed as far as, for instance, relations that Cambodia should
- 4 have with another country?
- 5 A. I had to go along with others, to listen to them.
- 6 Q. All right. Are there others who worked at the Ministry of
- 7 Foreign Affairs who might have more accurate and more complete
- 8 information than you?
- 9 [09.52.25]
- 10 MR. LYSAK:
- 11 Mr. President, we would object to that question. I'm not sure how
- 12 this witness can look into the minds of other people and answer a
- 13 question about who may have more information. If he wants to
- 14 rephrase, there may be proper way to ask that, but to ask him to
- 15 speculate like that, I think, is inappropriate.
- 16 BY MR. KARNAVAS:
- 17 I'll be I'll be happy to rephrase.
- 18 Q. Did you have any superiors, Mr. Long Norin?
- 19 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. And how many levels were there above you, between you and,
- 22 say, Mr. Ieng Sary?
- 23 A. It was Suong Sikoeun who was my immediate supervisor.
- Q. And did he have an immediate supervisor?
- 25 A. Yes, there was. It was Suong Sikoeun. Suong Sikoeun's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 superior, I'm not sure that there was a superior above Suong
- 2 Sikoeun. I am not sure about that. Perhaps we have we have to
- 3 ask him himself to know whether there was a superior above him.
- 4 [09.54.56]
- 5 Q. What about Pol Pot's nephew? Was he working for the Ministry
- 6 of Foreign Affairs?
- 7 A. No, he did not work at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. There
- 8 were -- he had two nephews, one called Hong, but I could not
- 9 recall the other one's name.
- 10 Q. Okay. Well, Hong, So Hong, was he working at the Ministry of
- 11 Foreign Affairs?
- 12 A. His name was there, but we never saw him to come to work
- 13 there. We did not see him to come, and sit, and work at the
- 14 Ministry.
- 15 Q. So your testimony today is, if I understand it correctly, that
- 16 So Hong never worked at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs?
- 17 A. No, he did not come to work at the Ministry of Foreign
- 18 Affairs. He did not come to sit in the office.
- 19 Q. Do you allow for the possibility -- this is my very last
- 20 question, Mr. Long Norin -- do you allow for the possibility that
- 21 perhaps too many years have gone by, and you simply are confused
- 22 about many of the facts that you are being asked to answer?
- 23 [09.57.34]
- 24 A. There may be some confusions.
- 25 MR. KARNAVAS:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Thank you very much. On behalf of Mr. Ieng Sary, Mr. Ang Udom and
- 2 I would like to thank you for participating here today. Thank you
- 3 very much for giving us your evidence. Thank you.
- 4 I have no further questions, Mr. President, Your Honours.
- 5 [09.58.23]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 And it is now appropriate for us to take a short break because
- 9 the Accused is tired now, so the Chamber announce a 20-minute
- 10 break. We will resume at 10.20 to continue testimony.
- 11 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 12 MR. VERCKEN:
- 13 Thank you very much, Mr. President. May I speak out? Because I
- 14 believe that this is in the interest of the Court to know that
- 15 Mr. Khieu Samphan's Defence team does not have any intention to
- 16 prolong the witness's suffering. He should be able to rest and
- 17 alleviate his headache. Once again, to be clear, we have no
- 18 questions to put to the witness, Mr. President.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you, Counsel, for such a clear indication so that we can
- 21 avoid any misunderstanding. I believe that counsels should make
- 22 things clear.
- 23 (Judges deliberate)
- 24 [10.00.42]
- 25 Mr. Long Norin, can I have you stay for one other -- another

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 while? Because the Chamber would like to put some questions to
- 2 you.
- 3 I would like to hand over to Judge Lavergne.
- 4 [10.01.02]
- 5 OUESTIONING BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 6 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 7 Q. I seek a clarification. At the very end of your written record
- 8 of witness interview that was conducted by the investigators of
- 9 the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges, there are the
- 10 following mentions.
- 11 It is indicated that the written record of witness interview was
- 12 read aloud and the witness had no objections and agreed to sign
- 13 or emplace the thumbprint. In addition, the record reads that one
- 14 copy or one of several originals of the written statement was
- 15 provided to this witness. It is further indicated that this
- 16 interview was completed, and it is signed with the thumbprint of
- 17 Mr. Long Norin.
- 18 Mr. Long Norin, can you please confirm that this did indeed
- 19 happen?
- 20 MR. LONG NORIN:
- 21 A. I cannot recall the event in 2007.
- 22 Q. Can the -- does the WESU representative have a copy of this
- 23 document? Was a copy of this document made available to Mr. Long
- 24 Norin before the hearing so that he was able to read the document
- 25 referenced D91/3, his written record of witness interview?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [10.04.07]
- 2 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 3 Your Honour, I don't mean to interrupt, but that's not the
- 4 98-page -- that's the--
- 5 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 6 Counsel Karnavas, if you were listening to what I said, you would
- 7 understand that I'm not talking about the 98-page transcript, I'm
- 8 talking about document D91/3.
- 9 Can the Witness and Expert Support Unit obtain a copy of this
- 10 very document, a hard copy of document D91/3, the written record
- 11 of witness interview that was prepared by the Office of
- 12 Co-Investigating Judges?
- 13 MR. NHEM SAMNANG:
- 14 Your Honour, on the 6th, last week, I read that interview record
- 15 to him since he could not read. I have it in my hand now. It's a
- 16 nine-page document, D91/3. Thank you.
- 17 [10.05.27]
- 18 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 19 Very well. On the last page of this document, the original has a
- 20 signature as well as the thumbprint of the witness.
- 21 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 22 Q. Does Mr. Long Norin recognize his own signature? He may not
- 23 recognize his thumbprint, but at the very least, does he
- 24 acknowledge that he signed the document?
- 25 MR. LONG NORIN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 A. The signature and the thumbprint are mine.
- 2 Q. For the sake of clarity, he does not recall that this record
- 3 was read aloud at the time, at the end of the interview, he
- 4 doesn't recall that the record was read aloud; is this correct?
- 5 A. No, I cannot remember that.
- 6 Q. Does he remember being forced to sign this written record of
- 7 witness interview?
- 8 A. No, nobody forced me, I put my thumbprint voluntarily. And,
- 9 yes, I recall that.
- 10 [10.07.37]
- 11 Q. And by signing this document, he was aware that he was signing
- 12 off on his own statements?
- 13 A. Yes, because I (recording malfunction).
- 14 Q. And one last question: In the view of Mr. Long Norin, the
- 15 statements are a faithful and accurate reflection of what he told
- 16 the investigators.
- 17 A. I cannot recall when I made that statement with those people.
- 18 Q. I did not ask if and when he remembered these statements, but
- 19 I'm asking if these statements reflect what he said or could have
- 20 said and told the investigators.
- 21 In other words, does this record contain any errors?
- 22 A. Everything was written in this statement. I cannot exactly
- 23 recall when I gave the statement to the Office of the
- 24 Co-Investigating Judges; I have lost a lot of memory.
- 25 [10.11.11]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 2 Thank you very much, Mr. Long Norin.
- 3 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 4 Mr. President, based on those questions, because I don't want to
- 5 have the record reflect that this gentleman actually had an
- 6 opportunity to compare the 98 pages versus the summary, and in
- 7 particular because of the question whether this is "an accurate
- 8 reflection", I would like to ask the witness some questions.
- 9 Otherwise, I would ask that the entire transcription be
- 10 translated both in French and English so we can make some
- 11 comparisons. I am troubled, and that was the whole reason why Mr.
- 12 Ang Udom brought these matters to your attention.
- 13 [10.11.52]
- 14 It appears that, if we are going to be relying on summaries and
- 15 then say: "Is this an accurate reflection", when the witness was
- 16 never given an opportunity to actually read or hear the -- what
- 17 he said, I think we are going to be introducing evidence or
- 18 relying on evidence that is highly unreliable and not reflective
- 19 of what exactly was said, particularly if dealing with witnesses
- 20 who have problems with memories and events. Thank you.
- 21 So I would like to ask a couple of questions to the witness.
- 22 [10.12.39]
- 23 MR. LYSAK:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President. We would object to this that the record
- 25 is very clear that the witness did not have an opportunity to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 review the 98-page transcript and compare. There is no need for
- 2 further questions on that.
- 3 And just so it's clear, unless I misunderstood, I heard Mr. Ieng
- 4 Sary's national counsel say there were no fundamental differences
- 5 between the two.
- 6 [10.13.08]
- 7 Now, we support the idea that the transcripts -- there should be
- 8 full transcripts, and they should be made available to the
- 9 witness. We have no objection to Mr. Karnavas's suggestion, in
- 10 the future that witnesses be provided those transcripts. But
- 11 there's no need to have a debate or argument about this in front
- of the witness. He's made very clear that he didn't he didn't
- 13 review that transcript.
- 14 Judge Lavergne has asked some questions about the summary, and
- 15 this is a practice -- this is the standard practice in the civil
- 16 law system that counsel has been aware of.
- 17 So I see no reasons for further questions from counsel at this
- 18 time.
- 19 [10.13.53]
- 20 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 21 I'll take the concession, Mr. President and Your Honours, from
- 22 the prosecution. And while they may have -- may be slight
- 23 inconsistencies, nothing to worry about, what is worrying to us
- 24 is the fact that they have investigators who are feeding the
- 25 answers and manipulating the witnesses, and then they come up

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 with a summary, and then we come here, and now we are going to
- 2 pretend that this summary is an accurate reflection; that's the
- 3 problem.
- 4 And so, while we agree with the prosecution, let's have
- 5 everything translated, so where there are occasions where the
- 6 answer is actually fed to the witness by the investigator, we can
- 7 call into question as to what, if any, weight should be given to
- 8 those sorts of answers. That's my primary concern.
- 9 [10.14.41]
- 10 I understand the practice. However, we're dealing with
- 11 investigators from different cultures who don't understand the
- 12 language -- only one of them may understand the language -- and
- 13 we have judges who certainly do not have access to the complete
- 14 Khmer version of the actual transcription.
- 15 And so, therefore, I'm gladdened by the by the prosecution's
- 16 joining our request to have everything translated.
- 17 And I apologize if I seem to be getting agitated, but I do want
- 18 to stress that we are concerned that, throughout this trial,
- 19 we're going to be dealing with summaries, summaries that were
- 20 prepared by -- God knows who, because whoever prepared the
- 21 summary would have had to have listened or understood the entire
- 22 -- the entire -- interview in their own language. Thank you.
- 23 MR. NHEM SAMNANG:
- 24 Mr. President, the witness would seek your permission to relieve
- 25 himself.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 (Judges deliberate)
- 2 [10.16.29]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 The Chamber decides the objection by the prosecution is valid.
- 5 The Defence counsel for Mr. Ieng Sary is therefore not allowed to
- 6 question the witness further.
- 7 Mr. Long Norin, the Chamber would like to thank you for your
- 8 testimony during these few days, and we grateful for your
- 9 endeavour to do it despite your health. We thank you for that.
- 10 Now, your testimony is concluded, so you can go wherever you
- 11 wish. Thank you.
- 12 The time is also appropriate for a break. We will have a
- 13 25-minute break and we shall resume after that. When we resume,
- 14 we will question the Accused.
- 15 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 16 (Court recesses from 1017H to 1040H)
- 17 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The Court is now back in session.
- 20 Before the break, the Chamber already informed the parties that
- 21 we continue questioning the Accused.
- 22 Detention personnel are now instructed to bring the accused Nuon
- 23 Chea to the dock.
- 24 (The accused Nuon Chea is taken to the dock)
- 25 [10.42.08]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. LYSAK:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. While Mr. Nuon Chea is being brought to
- 4 the dock, just one follow up on the morning's testimony. We
- 5 wanted to make sure -- and I assume this was counsel's intention,
- 6 but we wanted to make sure that the -- both D91/3, the written
- 7 record of Long Norin's interview, and D91/3.1, the complete
- 8 transcript, were both put before the Chamber.
- 9 The latter document is one that was posted fairly recently, so
- 10 it's not on any of the parties' document list, but we do agree
- 11 with Mr. Karnavas that this should be part of the record. And we
- 12 also, as I've said, support his proposal that, in the future,
- 13 witnesses do be provided the opportunity to look at both the
- 14 written record and the transcript where it is available.
- 15 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 16 Well, the proposal also went--
- 17 [10.43.19]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Can we talk about the order, counsel Karnavas? The Chamber notes
- 20 that you just stand up without seeking permission from the
- 21 Chamber before you speak. So do you still want the floor? Please
- 22 go ahead.
- 23 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 24 Apologies, Mr. President. The request went even further, which is
- 25 to have the entire transcript translated in both English and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 French. And therefore, in the preparation, Judges who may wish to
- 2 look at the entire transcription as opposed to relying on the
- 3 selective summary have that available. It also allows the parties
- 4 to also bring out inconsistencies and also allows the Chamber to
- 5 see where investigators may have suggested answers to the
- 6 witnesses. So that was our entire submission, Your Honour, and
- 7 that's why we wanted to point it out this morning. Thank you.
- 8 [10.44.38]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Thank you for the observations put forward by the parties.
- 11 The Chamber would like to inform the parties that it will issue
- 12 -- it will issue a memorandum in due course.
- 13 And next, the Chamber would like to hand over to the prosecutors
- 14 to continue their questionings to the accused Nuon Chea.
- 15 [10.45.26]
- 16 OUESTIONING BY MR. LYSAK RESUMES:
- 17 Good morning, Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 18 Q. I wanted to, first, go back to something that happened
- 19 yesterday and ask you that: During the time you were the Deputy
- 20 Secretary of the Communist Party of Kampuchea, did you receive
- 21 biographies of cadres and interrogate them regarding their
- 22 political tendencies, as you did yesterday with Long Norin?
- 23 [10.46.10]
- 24 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 25 A. Mr. President, that was not my job. The interrogation on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 others was not my job because I was in the legislation, and the
- 2 interrogation was part of the administration job.
- 3 Q. What was the purpose of having Party members prepare
- 4 biographies?
- 5 A. As far as I know, for those who entered the Party, had to
- 6 write biographies so that they can be known -- so that their
- 7 characters could be known, so that their tendencies, their stands
- 8 can be known by the Party to assist the Party and for the parties
- 9 to give directions, to give education that needs to be given to
- 10 those people in accordance with their characters.
- 11 Q. When you say "tendencies", what do you mean by that, Mr. Nuon
- 12 Chea? Could you explain what that means?
- 13 A. "Tendency" means the preference, whether the preference was to
- 14 the leftists or the rightists or the neutralists. That's what we
- 15 mean by "tendency".
- 16 Q. Were biographies also used to determine if Party members had
- 17 the proper class background?
- 18 A. Regarding this issue, Mr. President, this could be long, but
- 19 I'll try to summarize the point.
- 20 Concerning entering the Communist Party of Kampuchea, there were
- 21 criteria. The class was one of them, but it was not the classism,
- 22 it was the vision and the stance of the non-capitalism, the
- 23 stance to sacrifice personal gain and for the purpose of
- 24 collective gains. No use of power, no engagement in wine, in
- 25 women, and in other bad behaviours.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [10.50.19]
- 2 Q. Were biographies also used to determine if Party members had
- 3 any connections to enemies of the Party?
- 4 A. Mr. President, there were some. However, most of them did not
- 5 include that. For those who include that part, we would remind
- 6 them to abandon that idea and to embrace the revolutionary class.
- 7 Q. Did I hear you correctly as saying that all Party members were
- 8 required to do biographies?
- 9 A. Yes, it is correct.
- 10 Q. Was the practice of requiring biographies something that
- 11 started prior to April 1975? And, if so, when did you start the
- 12 practice of requiring Party members to prepare biographies?
- 13 A. As I remember, we needed to write biographies from the day
- 14 that we applied to be Party members.
- 15 [10.52.15]
- 16 Q. Just go be clear, then, this was a practice that was used in
- 17 the 1960s, then?
- 18 A. As I remember, it was even before the 1960s.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Nuon Chea. I'd like now to turn to ask a few
- 20 questions regarding the Party Statute that Judge Cartwright put
- 21 before the Chamber the other day, which is document D366/7.1.187.
- 22 I'm not sure if you still have a copy, so we have a copy, here,
- 23 that can be brought to you if you need one.
- 24 (Short pause)
- 25 [10.53.54]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 I'd like to specifically refer you to Khmer ERN 00442268, which
- 2 is also English ERN 00184037 and French ERN 00292926. And, Mr.
- 3 President, I request permission to put the Khmer version on the
- 4 screen so the witness and the Court can see it there also.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Court officers, please.
- 7 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 8 So I wanted to ask you a couple of questions about Article 6.
- 9 Article 6 of the Party Statute starts as follows -- quote: "The
- 10 Communist Party of Kampuchea takes the principle of democratic
- 11 centralism as its organizational foundation."
- 12 [10.55.17]
- 13 My first question is: What was the principle of democratic
- 14 centralism?
- 15 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 16 A. Mr. President, the democratic centralism refers to collection
- 17 of ideas, and visions, and knowledge from the Party members and
- 18 also the Central Party, and this democratic centralism was
- 19 practised broadly, or generally.
- 20 That applied also to the Party branches in villages and communes.
- 21 They also practised this democratic centralism that is to gather
- 22 all the ideas of the Party members, and if there was no -- there
- 23 was wrong ideas, the Party members at the district levels might
- 24 correct or might add to that ideas.
- 25 Q. I would also like you to refer to Article 6.2 which provides -

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 quote -- "all of the various decisions of the Party must be made
- 2 collectively" end of quote.
- 3 [10.57.18]
- 4 Was the principle of collective decisions part of the principle
- 5 of democratic centralism?
- 6 A. Mr. President, Article 2, "all of the various decisions of the
- 7 Party must be made collectively", that means all decisions of the
- 8 Party must be made collectively, and not individually.
- 9 Q. The -- was the principle of collective decisions and
- 10 democratic centralism part of the Party Statute that was adopted
- 11 at the First Party Congress, in 1960?
- 12 [10.58.59]
- 13 A. Mr. President, the democratic centralism was part of the
- 14 collective leadership, and the collective leadership was part --
- 15 also part of the democratic centralism.
- 16 Q. What I was asking, Mr. Nuon Chea, is whether this was a
- 17 principle that was adopted and approved by the Party at the
- 18 congress, in 1960.
- 19 A. The principle was adopted and implemented in every meeting of
- 20 the Party's congress. The Party held on to that principle firmly.
- 21 Q. So this was a principle that was in effect the entire time
- 22 that you were Deputy Secretary of the Party; is that correct?
- 23 A. Mr. President, that is correct.
- 24 [11.00.26]
- 25 Q. And this was a principle that applied to decisions of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Central and Standing Committee; is that correct?
- 2 A. Your Honours, all the appointments and assignments shall
- 3 adhere to this principle. Allow me to add.
- 4 It cannot be implemented a hundred per cent all the time. In some
- 5 cases, there are gaps, depending on the individual who did the
- 6 implementation.
- 7 Let me give you an example. If the implementer has the idea of
- 8 self-ego or individualism -- let me just use a simple word in
- 9 Khmer, "anh niyum" (phonetic), or in English, "self-ego", that is
- 10 everything is about oneself.
- 11 [11.01.42]
- 12 So if there is a self-ego then there will be an individualism,
- 13 and then there will be private ownership, and then one would only
- 14 speak to that own idea or opinion. And that was the very point
- 15 that the Party tried to eliminate, these individualism, or
- 16 self-ego and to build up the collectivism.
- 17 Q. Was the principle of collective decisions followed at the
- 18 meetings of the Standing and Central Committee that you attended?
- 19 [11.02.32]
- 20 A. Yes, Your Honours, it was implemented.
- 21 Q. And could you describe or explain to the Chamber the process
- 22 by which party organizations such as the Standing or Central
- 23 Committee made collective decisions?
- 24 A. Your Honour, "collectivity" means everybody would participate
- 25 in a meeting to express the ideas. Every meeting adhered to this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 principle, and not only at the Central Committee Central or
- 2 Standing Committees' level. And then the Secretary of the Party
- 3 would consolidate all those ideas and opinions, and if members of
- 4 the Party are not satisfied, then all together would be able to
- 5 express their objections or opposal until they reach a unanimous
- 6 agreement, then it would become official. Otherwise, if there is
- 7 no complete agreement, discussion needs to continue.
- 8 Q. Is it correct, then, that all decisions of the Standing and
- 9 Central Committee, during the period that you were Deputy
- 10 Secretary, were made in accordance with the collective decision
- 11 process you just described?
- 12 [11.04.47]
- 13 A. Mr. President, I do not understand the question.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Prosecutor, please rephrase your question.
- 16 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 17 Q. This practice that you've described, of reaching collective
- 18 decisions, this was a practice that was followed by the Standing
- 19 and Central Committee during the time that you were Deputy
- 20 Secretary of the Party; is that correct?
- 21 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 22 A. Not only during the time that I was the Deputy Secretary, it
- 23 was at every stage, at all the times. It was implemented
- 24 generally. It was a universal principle of the Party. It was
- 25 implemented from the Party's branch up to the Central Committee,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 and it was not only during the time that I was the Deputy
- 2 Secretary.
- 3 [11.05.55]
- 4 Q. Thank you for clarifying that, Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 5 I wanted to turn now to a couple of follow-up questions regarding
- 6 your training in Vietnam from 1951 to 1953.
- 7 Could you tell us: How many different locations or schools did
- 8 you study at in Vietnam during that 1951 to '53 time period?
- 9 A. Your Honour, 1951 -- in 1951, I did not yet go to Vietnam, not
- 10 even in 1952. I actually went there in 1953. Actually, the study
- 11 that I participated was in the forest, not in a proper wooden
- 12 class in a proper school.
- 13 There were Vietnamese cadres who returned from their studies in
- 14 China to give us lessons on the new studies. They would
- 15 distribute document to each member of the Party: to review; two,
- 16 to discuss the document; three, to relate the content of the
- 17 document to oneself.
- 18 [11.08.30]
- 19 For instance, if a member is liberal, selfish or individualist
- 20 and does not respect the organization or the leadership, etc.,
- 21 they -- that person must speak about those issues at the meeting,
- 22 and then there would be discussions amongst members of the
- 23 meeting, and then they would conclude.
- 24 However, through my observation, not everything was that clean
- 25 because some Party members were loyal, too, and focused on their

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 study. They expressed their views -- self view -- completely
- 2 about the mistakes they made so that they could be assisted by
- 3 the collective.
- 4 However, there were some members who had two views. One is the
- 5 open view that they expressed to the meeting. They only touched
- 6 slightly on their personal actions, and regarding their other
- 7 half, that is about womanizing, corruption, selling rubber or
- 8 other stuff belonging to the Party. They would not reveal them to
- 9 the collective meeting until thorough questioning, and, probably
- 10 a fortnight later, they would reveal.
- 11 [11.10.24]
- 12 So this type of study, as you put it, is a psychological attack,
- 13 because it is difficult for them to literally attack. It is easy
- 14 as you see the enemy and you could sleep at night when it's over,
- 15 but to combat these matters psychologically, it was difficult.
- 16 And whether the person would speak or not, the person would be
- 17 honest or not, it will be difficult to judge.
- 18 For example, about womanizing and drinking, about money, etc.,
- 19 about lacking in implementing the Party's lines or in their
- 20 relationship or liaison with the Party -- with the enemy to
- 21 betray the Party, all these matters needed to be analyzed
- 22 thoroughly. We needed to dig deeper in order to find its -- the
- 23 root cause of the issue.
- 24 [11.11.46]
- 25 Q. Just so we are clear, you went to Vietnam in 1951, but you're

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 saying you did not start studying at any of the Party --
- 2 Vietnamese Party schools until 1953; is that correct?
- 3 A. From my recollection, that is correct.
- 4 Q. Did you study querrilla warfare at a school in Central
- 5 Vietnam, in Thai Nung?
- 6 A. Mr. President, what do you mean by the "querrilla warfare"? I
- 7 never heard of such name.
- 8 Q. Did you tell Mr. Thet Sambath that you studied guerrilla
- 9 warfare at a school in Central Vietnam, where you also met Son
- 10 Ngoc Minh, the leader of the Khmer Issarak Movement?
- 11 A. We did engage in the study of the militia warfare, not
- 12 querrilla warfare.
- 13 [11.13.22]
- 14 I actually did not attend any proper or systematic training on
- 15 the militia warfare, but I listened to those who did attend the
- 16 training that is the principle of the militia warfare.
- 17 Militia warfare is the war of the people, and they had their own
- 18 principles.
- 19 With the permission of Mr. President, I can elaborate further on
- 20 this point, because it is rather long, Mr. President.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Yes, you may proceed.
- 23 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 24 Point one: What is a militia warfare, or a militia war?
- 25 In militia war, all the population, men, women, children joined

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 in the war in every aspect as they could.
- 2 [11.14.38]
- 3 And the first principle of the militia war is to use small force
- 4 to attack bigger force.
- 5 And we do not fight directly; we use the quality to attack the
- 6 quantity, and to attack rapidly, and to win rapidly as well, and
- 7 then to collect the war spoils, and to withdraw rapidly.
- 8 These are the main principles of militia warfare that I have
- 9 heard of, although I did not attend such a training, because I
- 10 did not attend any military school training.
- 11 [11.15.34]
- 12 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 13 Q. Who were the people who did attend?
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 The Defence Counsel, you may proceed.
- 16 MR. SON ARUN:
- 17 Mr. President, I wish to object the question by the prosecution
- 18 that he relied on the document of Thet Sambath, because that
- 19 document cannot be used and the document only exists in the
- 20 English and does not exist in the Khmer or the French, and we do
- 21 not really know the cause -- the source of this book, and they
- 22 probably purchased this book from a market somewhere in Phnom
- 23 Penh.
- 24 I once again object to the use of the book of Mr. Thet Sambath by
- 25 the prosecutor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [11.16.30]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The objection by the Defence counsel is valid, so the prosecutor
- 4 cannot use the book of Mr. Thet Sambath, as the Chamber has yet
- 5 to decide whether that book will be put before the Chamber.
- 6 Previously, Judge Cartwright already informed you of that.
- 7 In order to clarify the personal relationship, but when it comes
- 8 down to the content of the book, you are not allowed to use it
- 9 for your questioning, unless the document of that book is put
- 10 before the Chamber.
- 11 MR. LYSAK:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. I was just asking a question, but I
- 13 will not use that book until a ruling is made on that.
- 14 And I would -- just to inform counsel that we did not actually
- 15 get this at a bookstore, although, when we did learn of it, I
- 16 went immediately to the Monument Book Store, and they did not
- 17 have it in stock. But fortunately someone at the library had
- 18 ordered it a few months ago, and it was in the Court's library,
- 19 not checked out. So that's how the document -- the book was
- 20 obtained and then put immediately onto the case file.
- 21 But I will proceed and not use the book until a ruling is made.
- 22 [11.18.13]
- 23 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 24 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, the schools that you attended in Vietnam, was
- 25 -- were you taught in Vietnamese?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 2 A. Mr. President, it was taught in the Khmer language because
- 3 those trainees knew some Vietnamese language. Sometimes we would
- 4 have the Vietnamese teachers, but during our discussion, all the
- 5 trainees would discuss in the Khmer language.
- 6 Q. While you were attending these schools, were you able to
- 7 either speak or read Vietnamese?
- 8 [11.19.23]
- 9 A. I spoke some Vietnamese and I read some, but for the -- in the
- 10 political context of the language, I understand some, but for the
- 11 everyday language, I do not have a -- that familiar.
- 12 Q. When did you learn to speak and read Vietnamese, Mr. Nuon
- 13 Chea?
- 14 A. Mr. President, of course I can recall that.
- 15 Let me put it this way. When I joined the revolution, in 1951, at
- 16 all offices and bureaus, there were Cambodian and Vietnamese
- 17 working together. The Vietnamese would study the Khmer language,
- 18 and likewise the Khmer would study the Vietnamese language.
- 19 In early morning, after we finished the assigned tasks, we would
- 20 spend one hour to study, and who wanted to study the Vietnamese
- 21 language would do so, and those Vietnamese would also study the
- 22 Khmer language.
- 23 Q. Do you recall, when you returned to Cambodia from Vietnam,
- 24 whether that was before or after the 1954 Geneva Accord?
- 25 [11.21.29]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 A. From my recollection, it was after the Geneva Accord.
- 2 Q. And in 1955 or '54, after you had returned from Vietnam, were
- 3 you appointed Secretary of the Phnom Penh Committee of the Khmer
- 4 People's Revolutionary Party?
- 5 [11.22.20]
- 6 A. No. At that time, upon my return, the Secretary of the Party
- 7 was already appointed; that was Vorn Vet. I myself had no regular
- 8 task or assignment.
- 9 I met Saloth Sar, so I lent my hand to him and also to Tou
- 10 Samouth.
- 11 Tou Samouth, at that time, was at the Central Committee and he
- 12 needed some assistance, so Saloth Sar and I went to assist him,
- 13 as I stated previously. So there is no need for me to say it
- 14 again, otherwise it is repetitious and time consume.
- 15 Q. Why don't you tell the Chamber about the first time you met
- 16 Saloth Sar, who later became known as Pol Pot?
- 17 A. Mr. President, from my recollection, I think I already said
- 18 about that, about Saloth Sar or Pol Pot.
- 19 [11.24.10]
- 20 Q. Mr. President, I have no recollection of that, so I would ask
- 21 that the witness tell us when he first met Saloth Sar and explain
- 22 circumstances.
- 23 A. Mr. President, I met Saloth Sar around `54 or `55.
- 24 Q. How did you meet Saloth Sar? How were you introduced?
- 25 A. The person who introduced me to Saloth Sar was Chan Saman

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 alias Khmao. He worked in Phnom Penh before me.
- 2 Q. What was Mr. Chan Saman's position with the Party at the time
- 3 he introduced you to Saloth Sar?
- 4 A. From my recollection, Mr. President, Chan Saman was a member
- 5 of a city branch of the Party.
- 6 [11.26.31]
- 7 Q. Did you and Saloth Sar work together on the Phnom Penh
- 8 Committee of the Party?
- 9 A. Mr. President, Saloth Sar and I worked together as assistants
- 10 to Tou Samouth. There was yet no organization or assignment.
- 11 Q. Just so we're very clear, Mr. Nuon Chea, was there any point
- 12 at which you were appointed Secretary of the Phnom Penh
- 13 Committee?
- 14 A. As I recall it, I was not appointed as the Secretary of the
- 15 Phnom Penh Committee of the Party.
- 16 Q. Were you -- were you and Saloth Sar later joined by Ieng Sary
- 17 in working with the Phnom Penh Committee of the Party?
- 18 A. At first, I did not know Ieng Sary; I only knew Saloth Sar and
- 19 Tou Samouth. I only heard of the name Ieng Sary, but I never met
- 20 him or knew him in person.
- 21 Q. Well, did Mr. Ieng Sary join you, and Pol Pot, and the other
- 22 people who worked in the city branch, on his return from France,
- 23 in 1957?
- 24 [11.29.01]
- 25 A. As I already stated, I did not know him, I only heard of his

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 name. However, when Sieu Heng -- was the Secretary of the Central
- 2 Committee of the Party -- left the Party to confess to Lon Nol,
- 3 in 1959, the Party had to be restructured because there was no
- 4 longer a Party secretary, and only Tou Samouth, who was senior,
- 5 was appointed in in 1960. And by 1961, the Party held its First
- 6 Congress near the "mei pleung" (phonetic) area, where Ok Sakun
- 7 was the host.
- 8 So the Party restructuring, from that day onward was a time that
- 9 I meet Ieng Sary.
- 10 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, in the notes of the discussion you had with
- 11 Khem Ngun in 1988, it is indicated that Ieng Sary joined you and
- 12 Pol Pot on the Phnom Penh Committee; is that not correct?
- 13 I'm sorry, for Your Honours, for the record, the reference is-
- 14 [11.31.41]
- 15 A. Mr. President, I never knew Khem Ngun.
- 16 Q. Mr. President, at this time, if the if the witness still has
- 17 the documents from yesterday, I'd like to refer him to IS 20.28,
- 18 which is the document he identified yesterday, and specifically
- 19 to pages Khmer ERN 00078190, English ERN 00184660 and French ERN
- 20 00596183. And if we can show on the screen the Khmer page,
- 21 0078190, Mr. President?
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Your request is granted.
- 24 [11.33.24]
- 25 MR. NUON CHEA:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Mr. President, I only came to know Khem Ngun at a later stage. He
- 2 worked with Ta Mok. And for the history of Khem Ngun, as I said
- 3 before he was a spy of the Prime Minister Hun Sen. He went into
- 4 the revolutionary group in order to obtain information. That was
- 5 who Khem Ngun was, but I did not realize who he was in the first
- 6 place, and so we exchanged some talks. And his code name was 09,
- 7 as I said before.
- 8 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 9 Q. I'm not asking you who Khem Ngun was, I'm asking you whether,
- 10 when you had your chit-chat with him, you told him that Ieng Sary
- 11 had joined you and Pol Pot on the Phnom Penh Committee.
- 12 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 13 A. Mr. President, I do not recollect that because we talked a lot
- 14 at that time. I do not remember what we were talking about at
- 15 that time.
- 16 Q. You indicated you were truthful in your conversation with him;
- 17 is that right?
- 18 A. As far as I know. I told Khem Ngun that what I was talking at
- 19 that time was unofficial and that could not be used as official
- 20 document.
- 21 And as for whether I was truthful or not, it depended on the
- 22 situation at that time, it depended on what I saw Khem Ngun as a
- 23 person. At some point, I talked truthfully, but at some other
- 24 point, I refrained from saying things. As I said, I did not know
- 25 who Khem Ngun was at that time, because I only knew that he was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Ta Mok's personnel.
- 2 [11.36.27]
- 3 Q. Well, let me refer you, Mr. Nuon Chea, to the next page of
- 4 this document, which is Khmer ERN 00078191, English ERN 00184661,
- 5 and French ERN 00596184. In this document, the following
- 6 statement is attributed to you, regarding Mr. Ieng Sary -- quote:
- 7 "Ieng Sary, he was what they called a leftist, what they called
- 8 unruly, had gone way off to the left."
- 9 Do you recall saying those things about Ieng Sary? And what did
- 10 you mean by calling him "leftist" and "unruly"?
- 11 [11.37.32]
- 12 MR. PESTMAN:
- 13 Excuse me. I object to this question. We cannot assume that our
- 14 client has told this particular person these things about Ieng
- 15 Sarv. We do not know whether these notes are an accurate
- 16 reflection of the conversation my client had.
- 17 MR. LYSAK:
- 18 Mr. President, that's why I am asking the witness whether he said
- 19 these things about Ieng Sary.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The objection is not sustained. Mr. Nuon Chea, you have to answer
- 22 this question.
- 23 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 24 A. Mr. President, I do not remember that because for the internal
- 25 affairs of the Party, sometimes, there were leftists, there were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 rightists, so there was a mix of these people. Even for myself,
- 2 at times I was towards the leftists, and at other times I was
- 3 towards the rightists.
- 4 [11.39.02]
- 5 Happens meetings regarding re-educating people, so people were
- 6 drawn to other directions. There were no pure people. And there
- 7 were people who were leftists, there were people who were
- 8 rightists, and never people who were centralists.
- 9 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 10 Q. And did you consider Ieng Sary a leftist?
- 11 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 12 A. Mr. President, I never consider Mr. Ieng Sary as a leftist. He
- 13 was a Party member. But if at times he was toward the leftists,
- 14 we try to re-educate, tried to bring him back. That is if there
- 15 was, but if there was not, we did not do anything.
- 16 [11.40.09]
- 17 Q. Did you consider Mr. Ieng Sary to be stubborn and unruly?
- 18 A. Mr. President, I never consider him stubborn or of any other
- 19 kind, because it was the internal affairs of the Party. We were
- 20 not supposed to talk about the internal affairs. We were not
- 21 supposed to criticize one another.
- 22 But if for those who were stubborn, we were supposed to share our
- 23 comments only within the Party, we were not supposed to talk to
- 24 outside the Party.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Counsel Son Arun, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. SON ARUN:
- 3 Mr. President, I would like to oppose the question the
- 4 Co-Prosecutor put toward my client. It appears that the
- 5 Co-Prosecutor is trying to encourage my client to point fingers
- 6 at each other.
- 7 MR. LYSAK:
- 8 Mr. President, I am not trying to do anything of the sort.
- 9 There's statements that have -- are made in this interview
- 10 regarding a relationship between Mr. Ieng Sary and Mr. Nuon Chea
- in the late 1950s, while they were in Phnom Penh, that I am
- 12 asking him the questions about the information that's contained
- 13 in this document.
- 14 (Judges deliberate)
- 15 [11.42.32]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The objection is not sustained. Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may
- 18 proceed with your questions concerning this issue.
- 19 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 20 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. At this time, I move onto the next
- 21 subject, which is the 1958 defection of Sieu Heng that you've
- 22 mentioned.
- 23 When your uncle in-law, Sieu Heng, defected from the Party in
- 24 1958, did you immediately become the acting Deputy Secretary of
- 25 the Party or were you not appointed to that position until the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 1960 congress?
- 2 [11.43.37]
- 3 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 4 A. Mr. President, as I remember, Sieu Heng did not defect in
- 5 1958, it was in 1959, it was when he defected. And at that time
- 6 there was no new appointment of the Secretary of the Party. At
- 7 that time, Tou Samouth was the deputy.
- 8 I did not know how the appointments were done. It was the
- 9 Vietnamese who took charge over the appointments. They brought
- 10 them here, to Cambodia. So we came only later we came to know
- 11 only later that Sieu Heng was the Secretary and Tou Samouth was
- 12 the Deputy. It was only our speculation. We did not know for sure
- 13 whether it was really Sieu Heng who was the Secretary of Party.
- 14 And we did not know whether there was any dispute between Sieu
- 15 Heng and other; that's why Sieu Heng was to be removed. But what
- 16 we knew, or what we thought, probably because he had no money and
- 17 he was afraid that his family and he could be arrested, or
- 18 perhaps he was connected to the Lon Nol's people.
- 19 [11.45.35]
- 20 He used to tell me that, when the situation was difficult, we
- 21 need to duck down, we need to stay low, and I said this was not
- 22 correct, that, if the situation was difficult, we had to try our
- 23 best to work so that the Party members did not become
- 24 discouraged. So we were in conflict with regard to our ideology
- 25 -- so I am telling you the truth here -- even though we were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 relatives, but here we were discussing the matters of the Party.
- 2 [11.46.24]
- 3 Q. I understand from your answer, then, that it was not until the
- 4 1960 Congress that you were appointed Deputy Secretary of the
- 5 Party; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes, it is correct.
- 7 Q. Going back again to the document IS 20.28, in this document
- 8 you are quoted as saying that, following Sieu Heng's defection --
- 9 quote: "I was in charge of all contacts with the rural areas,
- 10 while Saloth Sar was in charge of the cities and the East." End
- 11 of quote.
- 12 And then you go on to add -- quote: "Tou Samouth was not useful
- 13 because he was old and not so knowledgeable. Therefore, there
- 14 were only Saloth Sar and me."
- 15 And for the record, this is contained in both the handwritten
- 16 notes, D366/7.1.410 at Khmer 00062306 to 07, English ERN
- 17 00716419, French ERN 00721004, as well as in IS 20.28, at Khmer
- 18 ERN 000781919 to 78192, English ERN 00184661 to 62, and French
- 19 ERN 00596184 to 85.
- 20 [11.48.41]
- 21 My question, Mr. Nuon Chea, is: Why did you refer to Tou Samouth
- 22 as not useful or knowledgeable, in your statement, in your
- 23 chit-chat discussion with Khem Ngun?
- 24 MR. PESTMAN:
- 25 Excuse me, I have to object again. This question presupposes that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 my client actually said so, and we cannot be sure that, as I
- 2 said, the statement is accurate and reflects what my client said
- 3 during the conversations.
- 4 Maybe the prosecution can ask whether my client actually said so
- 5 or not.
- 6 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 7 Q. Do you -- do you recall, Mr. Nuon Chea, telling anyone that
- 8 Tou Samouth was not useful or knowledgeable?
- 9 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 10 A. Mr. President, I did not say that Tou Samouth was not
- 11 knowledgeable. I did not use that word because I respected him;
- 12 he was a senior.
- 13 I said: For the situation in Phnom Penh, Tou Samouth did not
- 14 understand very much about that. That was why Saloth Sar was
- 15 tasked to monitor the situation in Phnom Penh.
- 16 [11.50.24]
- 17 However, all Party members respected the morality, the
- 18 revolutionary morality, the revolutionary character, and other
- 19 qualities of Tou Samouth. At that time, he stayed in South
- 20 Vietnam, so he did not grasp the situation in Phnom Penh, and so
- 21 we agreed together that we had to help tell him about the
- 22 situation in Phnom Penh. Otherwise, he would not be informed.
- 23 And at the time, if we did not have Tou Samouth as a senior in
- 24 order to coordinate the secret party with the people's party, we
- 25 would be dispersed. So Tou Samouth was a person who tried to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 reunite the secret party and those people who worked openly.
- 2 [11.51.47]
- 3 At the time there was also Mey Mann and also Saloth Sar. We all
- 4 respected the morality of -- the moral of the person of Tou
- 5 Samouth, but the fact was that we acknowledged that he did not
- 6 grasp the understanding of the situation, at that time, very
- 7 well.
- 8 Q. Thank you. And referring to another statement from IS 20.28,
- 9 which is at Khmer ERN 78190, English ERN 184660 and French ERN
- 10 596183, there is a statement indicated as follows, that at the
- 11 time your Party leadership did not have strategic or tactical
- 12 lines, and so you were working on rebuilding the forces,
- 13 especially rural forces. And it is indicated that you contacted
- 14 two people in each province of Battambang, Kampong Chhnang,
- 15 Kampot, Takeo, Koh Kong, Svay Rieng, Prey Veng, and Kampong Cham
- 16 to help rearrange the Party branches.
- 17 My question is: As the person who is responsible for rural --
- 18 contacts with the rural areas, as you have already testified, how
- 19 did you select the people, in each of those regions, who would be
- 20 asked to help rebuild the Party branches?
- 21 [11.53.44]
- 22 A. Your question is a bit long, so I may not be responding to
- 23 your question completely, but I'll try my best.
- 24 Mr. President, at that time, as I remember, we contacted good
- 25 cadres. Let's talk after the Geneva Accord. After the Geneva

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Accord, there was -- there were attacks. There was a lot of
- 2 attacks, and so the Viet Minh struggled, were only living in the
- 3 forests and they moved from province to province.
- 4 And as for me, I did not contacted people from a lot of provinces
- 5 as like what you have said. I contacted people from Kampong
- 6 Chhnang, that was Ma Mang, and I contacted the people from the
- 7 East Zone, and that was Ta Mok. He was a good guy. He were
- 8 collecting logs in the forest at that time.
- 9 [11.55.23]
- 10 I contacted him through So Phim, because So Phim went to work as
- 11 a construction worker in Phnom Penh, and later on we contacted
- 12 other people, but I do not really remember where they were. But
- 13 then, later on, it was not me who went to contact other people
- 14 like people from Stung Treng. I did not contact these people. The
- 15 point is, how could I, alone, contact all these people.
- 16 Q. My question, Mr. Nuon Chea, is you've indicated a couple of
- 17 times already that there were two existing branches of the Party,
- 18 the two places you just referred to, which was Ta Mok's branch or
- 19 base in the Southwest Zone, in Takeo, and Ma Mang's base in
- 20 Kampong Chhnang.
- 21 [11.56.30]
- 22 My question is: What did you do to rebuild the Party bases in
- 23 other regions?
- 24 A. Let me make this clear. Mr. President, there were two
- 25 remaining Party branches at that time: one in the East, it's Tram

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Kak village Party branch, and another one was in Kampong Chhnang,
- 2 Peam Commune Party branch. And there were three people who
- 3 prepared the establishment of these Party branches. And in order
- 4 to do so, we contacted senior people who were good people. They
- 5 included Ma Mang and Ta Mok. These people were honest.
- 6 And as for the establishment of the Party, that work was not done
- 7 immediately, we began from -- we did that step by step. It began
- 8 from the association of health care, the association of helping
- 9 hands, associations of burning corpses. So we began our work from
- 10 this very small work because at that time we were poor. And after
- 11 that we began to collect all those good people to be Party
- 12 members.
- 13 So this is the processes that we went through in order to
- 14 establish a party. We did not just take people and put them into
- 15 the Party. We began from very small jobs and we created the small
- 16 associations that we helped each other.
- 17 [11.59.13]
- 18 Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Nuon Chea: Peam commune was in
- 19 Kampong Tralach district, in Kampong Chhnang province; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. What commune are you referring to?
- 22 [11.59.40]
- 23 Q. I'm asking about the district that Peam commune was in.
- 24 A. I don't think we had Keam (phonetic) commune. I never knew
- 25 Keam (phonetic) commune. We had Peam commune.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Q. And what district was that located in?
- 2 A. Peam commune -- Mr. President, Peam commune was located in
- 3 Kampong Tralach district, in Kampong Chhnang province.
- 4 Q. Did that remain one of the Party's strong bases through the
- 5 1960s and 1970s?
- 6 [12.00.48]
- 7 A. That Party branch remains strong, but not very strong, because
- 8 the situation was chaotic at that time. But if you compared that
- 9 Party branch with other Party branches, this Peam commune Party
- 10 branch remains the strongest because Ma Mang was a correct
- 11 leader. He was a good leader, so he had influence over people in
- 12 that area. He also had influence in the Party. He was respected
- 13 by people. He was a senior struggle. He endured difficulty. He
- 14 never met his family.
- 15 One day, he complained to me that one day he went to live with a
- 16 poor family and he heard his wife's voice that his wife went to
- 17 beg for rice, and so he peeped. He saw his wife was carrying a
- 18 basket to beg for rice, but he could not do anything to help her.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. Thank you, Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 21 It is now appropriate for us to adjourn for lunch. So the Chamber
- is now adjourned and will resume at 1.30.
- 23 Detention personnel are now instructed to bring the Accused to
- 24 the holding cells downstairs and to return them to the courtroom
- 25 by 1.30.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 The Court is now adjourned.
- 2 (The accused Nuon Chea leaves the dock)
- 3 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 4 (Court recesses from 1203H to 1330H)
- 5 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 8 For this afternoon session, we will continue questioning the
- 9 Accused relating to the facts for the first segment of the trial.
- 10 [13.31.25]
- 11 Before I hand the floor to the prosecution, the Chamber would
- 12 like to inform the parties, the general public, and the
- 13 Administration Office, as well as the staff assisting the Chamber
- 14 during the trial proceedings that, yesterday, the Chamber
- 15 informed the parties, the public, the relevant officials, and the
- 16 Administration that the Chamber intend to have a morning session
- 17 on Friday, tomorrow, as there are a number of other pending
- 18 issues that Judges of the Chamber need to convene, meetings
- 19 amongst ourselves, and also to put in place the plan for the
- 20 upcoming hearings for 2012 starting from the 10th of January. The
- 21 Chamber decides that the proposed morning session on Friday will
- 22 not be held. Therefore, please be informed of this change.
- 23 Counsel, you may proceed -- the Defence Counsel.
- 24 [13.33.15]
- 25 MR. VERCKEN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 I apologize, Mr. President. As I understand it, therefore,
- 2 there's no hearing tomorrow morning.
- 3 I just wanted to ask for a clarification about the question of
- 4 the submission of documents requested by the prosecutor for the
- 5 purposes of evidence, such as the book "Behind the Killing
- 6 Fields" and the video.
- 7 When the question came up the first time, it seemed to me that
- 8 the point had been resolved by the explanation we heard from the
- 9 prosecutor's bench, namely that this was not being cited as
- 10 evidence. And then it seemed to me that a request was in fact
- 11 submitted by the prosecutor, and Judge Cartwright then said that
- 12 a decision would be handed down on the matter.
- 13 [13.34.35]
- 14 I'm just asking, really, if the Court feels that this discussion
- 15 is complete now or if, Mr. President, you were thinking of
- 16 opening the floor to the question, during which time, both on the
- 17 prosecution and on the Defence time, we would hear the differing
- 18 positions.
- 19 MR. SMITH:
- 20 If I may, Your Honour's, it's the prosecution's understanding,
- 21 certainly through the written pleadings put forward by the
- 22 prosecution, and even some of the Defence, as well as through
- 23 some of the statements you've made in your decisions, that
- 24 arguments in relation to the admissibility of evidence is to be
- 25 separated in a way from the questioning of the witnesses, and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 reason being is that, if we argue the admissibility of every
- 2 document through whilst the witness or the Accused was present,
- 3 the flow of the testimony would be lost. And as Your Honours are
- 4 aware, we've put forward about -- over 6,000 documents. And
- 5 motions are pending on that.
- 6 [13.36.04]
- 7 And I think Your Honours have said a decision will be handed down
- 8 soon. And also Your Honours have advised us that there would be
- 9 some sort of documentary hearings at a further date. We've put a
- 10 proposal forward, but we're in Your Honours' hands, and we would
- 11 assume that days would be set aside to argue admissibility so
- 12 that doesn't stop the flow of the evidence.
- 13 And so, when the prosecution puts forward a document, it's just
- 14 to identify the document, not to say: Your Honours, please have
- 15 it admitted now. We accept arguments are required and we believe
- 16 that Your Honours have advised us that those arguments would be
- 17 at a later date, at documentary hearings. Thank you.
- 18 [13.37.01]
- 19 MR. VERCKEN:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. I think this is quite a complicated
- 21 question. The Defence, when the Co-Prosecutor is putting a
- 22 document to a witness, may feel duty-bound to raise the question
- 23 of the relevance or validity of the document in question.
- 24 So I think that the sooner your Bench does set some clear rules
- 25 about documents which may be debated and turn out to be a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 foundation for a decision-
- 2 I note in passing that Judge Cartwright had referred to the 5th
- 3 of December, when all the footnotes concerning the Closing Order
- 4 and the paragraphs of the Closing Order that we're talking about
- 5 now in the historical background context. She said they were to
- 6 be considered documentary materials before the Court. That could
- 7 substantiate a decision.
- 8 So, sir, I'm wondering when we're going to talk about this issue.
- 9 [13.38.39]
- 10 Then there is Internal Rule 87, which concerns requests to add
- 11 documents during the trial from different parties, even though
- 12 they may not appear in the Closing Order footnotes or in the
- 13 lists that have been submitted by the parties, those documents,
- 14 too, which have not yet been discussed.
- 15 I just want to put this issue before you, Mr. President; it is
- 16 very important. Obviously, we don't want to have our debates
- 17 interrupted by disputes on the issue, and it would help us a
- 18 great deal to know what the position of the Chamber is, so that
- 19 we can be clear when we come to talk about these matters.
- 20 (Judges deliberate)
- 21 [13.43.57]
- 22 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President. I speak, of course, under your
- 24 authority and that of the other Judges, who are of course welcome
- 25 to correct any of my statements if they seem to be inaccurate.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

60

1 The Chamber must point out that it has received a certain number

- 2 of requests to see documents that are considered to be before
- 3 this Chamber. They have also received notes from parties that
- 4 contest the admissibility of certain documents. We, therefore,
- 5 have to decide on these various requests, and it's very time
- 6 consuming. For the moment, we haven't been able to take all the
- 7 decisions, and we hope to be able to do so at the start of next
- 8 year.
- 9 Moreover, the Chamber is looking at the trial timetable for next
- 10 year, and we have planned special hearings that are going to be
- 11 devoted to the submission of documents or evidence that are
- 12 relevant to the parts of the Closing Order that we have before
- 13 us.
- 14 During those hearings, the Chamber will hear the arguments put
- 15 forward by the parties on the subject of admissibility. But it's
- 16 important to distinguish between admissibility and the question
- 17 of the assessment of the value of a document or item as evidence,
- 18 in probative terms.
- 19 [13.46.00]
- 20 At the moment, what we are concerned with is the question of
- 21 whether there are objections, for example with the way that
- 22 confessions are submitted or this or that category of document. I
- 23 hope that makes things a little bit clearer for you, but at this
- 24 particular juncture, I think it's rather hard to give any wider
- 25 answer than that. Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 MR. VERCKEN:
- 2 Thank you, Your Honour. I merely wanted really to draw your
- 3 attention to the fact, Mr. President, that currently things are a
- 4 little bit hazy when it comes to precisely what will be used by
- 5 the Bench to substantiate decisions, in other words the question
- 6 of what credibility is granted to this or that document.
- 7 [13.47.13]
- 8 So I wanted to bring forward the discussion on this question of
- 9 when we were going to talk about the questions that have been
- 10 raised, about items added, documents referred to in Closing Order
- 11 footnotes, and so forth, and the question of whether these were
- 12 automatically considered as put before the Chamber or not or
- 13 whether it was a matter for discussion.
- 14 And now I have understood that we will be having this debate. And
- 15 there are certain problems that can come up with the documents
- 16 that are attached to the Closing Order, and I now know that we
- 17 will have a chance to talk about this in full. So thank you very
- 18 much.
- 19 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 20 One point: I did not say that we were going to wait 'til the very
- 21 last minute before you were able to raise objections. When we
- 22 said that the evidence contained in the footnotes would be
- 23 considered before the Chamber, it was because there was an
- 24 assumption of admissibility. And if there are no objections,
- 25 fine.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [13.48.33]
- 2 MR. VERCKEN:
- 3 Ah! That's precisely the clarification I was wanting to hear.
- 4 Now, let's not go into this at any great length, but let me say
- 5 that there are documents that are appended to the Closing Order
- 6 that have not been translated into all of the working languages
- 7 of the Court and for which we have considerable trouble in
- 8 reaching a decision on the admissibility criteria. And I'm
- 9 hoping, therefore, that that issue will be included in our
- 10 forthcoming discussion.
- 11 (Judges deliberate)
- 12 [13.49.58]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The issue of having the documents considered placed before the
- 15 Chamber has now been resolved, and there is also another issue
- 16 that just raised regarding the issue of translating those
- 17 documents.
- 18 The issue of documents being considered put before the Chamber is
- 19 also mentioned in the latest memorandum issued by the Chamber.
- 20 The Chambers (sic) are invited to submit to the Chamber all the
- 21 relevant documents for segment 1 and 2 of the trials. So please
- 22 refer to the latest memorandums that we issued.
- 23 And other matters we will deal with in due course because the
- 24 matters have been raised repeatedly already.
- 25 MR. VERCKEN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

63

1 Mr. President, they haven't been raised by me. And I'm not really

- 2 aware of the legal status of a memorandum, but what I have
- 3 understood is that it appears to require that all documents
- 4 parties need for the hearing should be translated into three
- 5 languages.
- 6 [13.51.49]
- 7 And the Memorandum puts the onus on the Defence and the other
- 8 parties to fulfil the translation requirements, which should not
- 9 be on their shoulders. Some of the documents that we want to use
- 10 for hearings are documents which figure already in the Closing
- 11 Order footnotes and which are, nonetheless, not translated. And
- 12 so, with this memorandum, or decision, or whatever it may be, the
- 13 parties are being shouldered with an obligation which, in fact,
- 14 befalls the Co-Investigating Judges, namely the translation into
- 15 all of the working languages of documents which could well be
- 16 used as evidence on one side or the other before the Accused. I
- 17 don't think that's acceptable. It's simply diverting that
- 18 official obligation onto the parties who are present here, who
- 19 express themselves in three different languages, and there are
- 20 items in the Closing Order that are in one of those three
- 21 languages.
- 22 [13.53.27]
- 23 And it seems to me that, when I hear from the Bench that the
- 24 subject is already settled, I don't entirely agree. I think that
- 25 this needs a proper hearing in front of the public so that people

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 who are here can know precisely what difficulties the parties are
- 2 having to face in the course of this trial. Thank you.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 I mentioned two separate issues just then.
- 5 One is the documents to be placed before the Chamber -- that is,
- 6 those documents related to the first and second segment, of all
- 7 the facts mentioned in the Closing Order.
- 8 Of course, we did not talk about the translation, the issue of
- 9 translation, but I mentioned that the issue of translation has
- 10 been raised many, many times already, and we will not deal with
- 11 this matter at this moment. So there are two separate matters.
- 12 You have to be clear on that.
- 13 Security guards, you are now instructed to bring Mr. Nuon Chea to
- 14 the dock.
- 15 (The accused Nuon Chea is taken to the dock)
- 16 [13.55.01]
- 17 MR. VERCKEN:
- 18 (Microphone not activated)
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 You are not allowed. We will continue with our proceeding.
- 21 MR. VERCKEN:
- 22 (Microphone not activated)
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 You are not allowed to take the floor.
- 25 Judge Lavergne, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 2 Let's take things calmly. Counsel Vercken, before you were Mr.
- 3 Khieu Samphan's official counsel, the Chamber did take a certain
- 4 number of decisions set out in memoranda. Perhaps you're not
- 5 familiar with all of them, but before we continue our debate on
- 6 this subject of translation, this Chamber has already set down a
- 7 certain number of positions on the subject, and at no point did
- 8 the Chamber say that, to be admissible, a document has to be
- 9 translated into three languages. It would be simply wrong to
- 10 believe that.
- 11 [13.56.20]
- 12 The best thing would be for you to look at those statements that
- 13 we have drafted on the subject before we take up this matter
- 14 again.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 The Chamber would like now to give the floor to the prosecution
- 17 to continue questioning the accused Nuon Chea.
- 18 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 19 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 20 [13.57.07]
- 21 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, when we broke for the lunch break, we were
- 22 talking about two of the bases that had been established, that
- 23 were the strongest bases that the Party had. And we had already
- 24 talked about Ma Mang's base in Peam commune. I want to now ask
- you about Ta Mok's base in Tram Kak.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Is it also true that the Tram Kak base was one of the Party's
- 2 strongest bases throughout the period of the 1960s and 1970s? Is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 5 A. My respects to the monks. Good afternoon, Mr. President, good
- 6 afternoon everyone.
- 7 I could not really catch the question. Could you please repeat?
- 8 Q. Certainly. My question was whether Tram Kak district, Ta Mok's
- 9 base, was also one of the Party's strongest bases during the
- 10 1960s and 1970s.
- 11 [13.58.48]
- 12 A. Mr. President, the Tram Kak Party's branch is not the
- 13 strongest. It was in the moderate size. It was operational.
- 14 Q. Well, is it not the case that, in 1976, the Central Committee
- 15 awarded Honorary Red Flags to three model districts in the
- 16 country, two of which were Tram Kak and Kampong Tralach, the two
- 17 bases that you were just discussing? Is that not correct, Mr.
- 18 Nuon Chea?
- 19 A. Mr. President, I cannot recall that. I can't recall whether
- 20 the Red Flag or whatever flag was given. It has been so many
- 21 years already.
- 22 Q. Mr. President, at this time, I'd like to submit to the Accused
- 23 document D2-15.4.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 You are permitted. Court officers, please bring the document to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 the Accused.
- 2 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 3 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, I've handed you a "Revolutionary Flag",
- 4 document D2-15.4, and I want to direct you to the following
- 5 pages: in Khmer, ERN 00062792 through to 627928 -- sorry, to
- 6 62798; in English, ERN 00446848 through to 446852; and in French,
- 7 ERN 00487709 to 714.
- 8 [14.01.46]
- 9 And I have asked you -- particularly, I would like to direct you
- 10 to those pages which contain the following, the "Letter
- 11 Presenting the Honorary Red Flag" of the Central Committee of the
- 12 Communist Party of Kampuchea to all "cadres, combatants, and
- 13 peasants and people in the cooperatives in Prasaut District from
- 14 the East Zone, Kampong Tralach Leu District from the West Zone,
- 15 and Tram Kak district from the Southwest Zone.
- 16 Is it correct that the Central Committee awarded Honorary Red
- 17 Flags to those three Districts?
- 18 [14.02.36]
- 19 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 20 A. Mr. President, I could hardly read this document because the
- 21 letters are too small and it -- dark. But I can say that, if
- 22 those Party branches were operational, the Party could have
- 23 provided Red Flags to those branches. But as for this document, I
- 24 could hardly read them.
- 25 Q. Could you tell the Chamber what the Honorary Red Flag Award

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 was? What was the significance of a district receiving an
- 2 Honorary Red Flag?
- 3 A. Mr. President, Honorary Red Flags were given to any Party
- 4 branches who accomplished something in order to resolve the
- 5 living standards for -- the living standard problems for the
- 6 people. And it was also given to the branches for helping the
- 7 Party or for doing good for the Party.
- 8 Besides this, the Flags could also be provided to the branches
- 9 for good coordination among the parties. They were given for the
- 10 good faith, they were not just simply given to the Party
- 11 branches.
- 12 It was also provided on the basis of the requests made by the
- 13 zones.
- 14 [14.05.11]
- 15 Q. That was going to be my next question, Mr. Nuon Chea. How did
- 16 the Central Committee determine which districts would receive the
- 17 Honorary Red Flag?
- 18 A. Mr. President, I do not remember that. It had been a long
- 19 time.
- 20 Q. I'd like to turn to some questions regarding your
- 21 responsibility for political education of Party members.
- 22 You've already testified about that, but the first question I
- 23 wanted to clarify: whether this is a responsibility you had both
- 24 before and during the period of Democratic Kampuchea. So my
- 25 question, Mr. Nuon Chea, is whether you were responsible for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 political education of Party members prior to April 1975?
- 2 [14.06.25]
- 3 A. Mr. President, I was responsible since 1975. In other words,
- 4 after the Geneva Accords, I was tasked with only this one job,
- 5 that was the education, and the reason was the situations were
- 6 changing.
- 7 From the very beginning, before the Geneva Accords, there were
- 8 armed struggles, and we relied on both political struggles and
- 9 armed struggles. However, after the Geneva Accords, soldiers were
- 10 to be dispersed, and we were to integrate into the Royal
- 11 Government, and it was difficult for everyone to integrate and
- 12 live in the unified nation.
- 13 And how was it difficult? It was difficult because for -- those
- 14 who did not join the struggle were looked down and they were
- 15 considered Khmer Viet Minh, they were said to be bad people. And
- 16 so there was a need to provide education. So education was an
- 17 important issue in order to deal with these controversial ideas
- 18 among people, to avoid any possible conflicting ideas and to
- 19 continue to promote the spirits of nationalism and to teach
- 20 people to be tolerant.
- 21 [14.09.05]
- 22 Another reason was to educate peoples to increase their product
- 23 so that there was enough support to support themselves, because
- 24 no other countries would help them. They needed to be
- 25 self-reliant, they needed to support themselves. So that was the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 education about.
- 2 Q. I need to just clarify one point because perhaps the
- 3 translation was incorrect. The translation indicated at first
- 4 that you had this -- were assigned this responsibility after
- 5 1975, but I gather from your answer that -- is it correct that
- 6 you were assigned the responsibility for political education
- 7 after 1955? Is that correct?
- 8 A. In short, Mr. President, there was always education, not only
- 9 from 1950s but it was after the Geneva Accords.
- 10 [14.10.41]
- 11 After they dissolved -- after the army was dissolved, those
- 12 people had to integrate into their former society, the corrupt
- 13 society, the womanizing society, the society with alcohol. That's
- 14 why, for us who used to live in the forest, for us who were
- 15 clean, for us who used to help each other; when we went to live
- in the society like that, there was conflict.
- 17 And as for me, I had to understand the situation, I had to
- 18 provide education -- people from the Party, so that they would
- 19 not be influenced by people in that society, that we would not
- 20 give in, ourselves, to the society that is to drink, to corrupt
- 21 or to try to be rich. But of course we could do in order to
- 22 survive; we could do in order to support ourselves.
- 23 And as I remember, the most important thing is that we had to
- 24 avoid the three bad behaviours: womanizing, the gambling, and the
- 25 alcohol things. And if we had -- we were able to avoid the three

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 bad things, we could build ourselves into a revolutionary people.
- 2 But the problem was we were not able to gain or achieve it one
- 3 hundred per cent. And the problem was we had people who tried to
- 4 persuade us, or sometimes their wives or relatives tried to
- 5 persuade us.
- 6 And there were a lot of problems during that time. And especially
- 7 the wives of the cadres tried to persuade those good cadres to be
- 8 bad. They tried to persuade their husbands to do bad jobs, and as
- 9 a result, such a kind of fighting -- I use the word "fighting" or
- 10 "combatting" -- was not very easy. It was very difficult.
- 11 It was like what they would have preached. It was not possible
- 12 for us to tell them what we want them to do, and there were -
- 13 there were many, many bad people.
- 14 As I told you, Mr. President, there were only two Party branches
- 15 remaining. Only two Party branches remained to be strong; the
- 16 rest went corrupt. So that was the issue.
- 17 So this kind of battlefield required our close engagement, and no
- 18 matter if we were arrested, no matter if there were only two or
- 19 three people, we had to educate them and we had to help them, and
- 20 we tried whatever it took in order to maintain them as
- 21 revolutionary people.
- 22 [14.15.37]
- 23 Q. Was the "Revolutionary Flag" publication used for the
- 24 political education of Party members?
- 25 A. The "Revolutionary Flag" were not published and distributed to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 everybody. Some were able to obtain a copy and so learn from it,
- 2 but other were not.
- 3 Like why some people liked to study, other did not like to study.
- 4 It is not wrong. Some people are lazy to study, some are not.
- 5 Some do not want to re-educate themselves, some do. And we do
- 6 have people who do not want to change; they want to maintain who
- 7 they are.
- 8 [14.16.51]
- 9 Q. Who was "Revolutionary Flag" distributed to?
- 10 A. Mr. President, the "Revolutionary Flags" were distributed to
- 11 the zone committees, and it was then up to the zone committees to
- 12 decide who to be distributed.
- 13 Q. Did you teach Party members about those documents in the
- 14 political training sessions that you that you had?
- 15 A. Mr. President, besides the "Revolutionary Flag", there were
- 16 also other documents.
- 17 For example, the (unintelligible) documents, documents which talk
- 18 about these class divisions, documents about the quality of the
- 19 revolutionary people.
- 20 There were many documents, but I could not recollect all of them.
- 21 There were documents about the biography or the vision of the
- 22 biography of individual, and there were documents about the
- 23 nationalism and revolution. There were many kinds of documents.
- 24 [14.18.58]
- 25 Q. Well, were the "Revolutionary Flag" publications, then, one of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 the documents that you used to provide political education to
- 2 Party members?
- 3 A. Allow me to ask: Are you talking about the "Revolutionary
- 4 Flag" before or after the liberation? This is my question. I want
- 5 you to confirm whether it is after or before the liberation.
- 6 Q. Well, let me ask you first before: Before liberation, did you
- 7 explain or use "Revolutionary Flag" as one of the documents to
- 8 give political education to Party members?
- 9 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 10 (No interpretation)
- 11 [14.20.03]
- 12 THE INTERPRETER:
- 13 The microphone was cut off.
- 14 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 15 A. There was education. There was publication of the
- 16 "Revolutionary Flag", but it was done secretly. We use some kind
- 17 of paper to write on. There were only two people who could make
- 18 this kind of "Revolutionary Flag". They were couple. And those
- 19 documents were distributed to the zones. And there was also a
- 20 technique to number those documents, and there was a printing
- 21 house to publish those documents. We could publish; we could
- 22 publish up to hundreds of documents per time.
- 23 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 24 Q. And did you receive copies of the "Revolutionary Flag"?
- 25 A. I used to receive them. The ones who made the "Revolutionary

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Flag" were the Standing Committee, especially the Secretary of
- 2 the Party. And me, myself, were the one who wrote it.
- 3 [14.21.58]
- 4 Q. I'd like now to show you part of the September 1977
- 5 "Revolutionary Flag", which Judge Cartwright put before the
- 6 Chamber the other day, and that is document D243/2.1.12. And the
- 7 page reference that I would like to ask you about is Khmer
- 8 00063141, English ERN 00486230, and French ERN 00492816.
- 9 And Mr. President, I'd ask permission for us to put that -- the
- 10 quote that I'd like to show Mr. Nuon Chea on the screen.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The Chamber permits that.
- 13 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 14 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, we're going to show you a statement from the
- 15 September 1977 "Revolutionary Flag". And let me read the
- 16 statement I want to ask you about -- quote:
- 17 "The contradictions generated hatred, but, in the past the
- 18 contradictions were buried. Why were these contradiction buried?
- 19 Because the landowner class, the mandarin holders of power, and
- 20 the spiritual leaders of the exploiting classes disseminated
- 21 information to bury these contradictions. The belief that bad and
- 22 good deeds from another life resulted in present conditions,
- 23 etc., served to deceive the peasants and prevent them from seeing
- 24 the contradictions."
- 25 [14.24.21]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 This statement was made as part of the explanation of the Party
- 2 lines that were adopted at the 1960 congress.
- 3 So my first question to you, Mr. Nuon Chea, is whether the
- 4 reference to "spiritual leaders of the exploiting classes" was a
- 5 reference to Buddhist leaders.
- 6 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 7 A. Mr. President, may I first read the document?
- 8 [14.25.00]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Do you have the hard copy of this document? We can provide it to
- 11 Mr. Nuon Chea through the court officer.
- 12 (Short pause)
- 13 [14.26.09]
- 14 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 15 The "Revolutionary Flag" was not in this kind of format. The
- 16 "Revolutionary Flag" was made into a format of a book. It was a
- 17 book. The "Revolutionary Flag" was a book. Do you have that copy?
- 18 Anyone can make this kind of document.
- 19 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 20 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, this is this is a photocopy, that's why it
- 21 does not appear the same as the book. However, if you look at the
- 22 start of this document, you will see that this is a very
- 23 well-known speech that was given by Pol Pot on the anniversary,
- 24 the 17th anniversary of the Party, in September 1977, at which
- 25 the existence of the Communist Party of Kampuchea was officially

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 announced to the world.
- 2 So I would ask you again to refer to that quote that is on the
- 3 screen, which appears at page 44 of this speech by Pol Pot, and
- 4 answer my question: The reference to "spiritual leaders of the
- 5 exploiting classes", was that a reference to Buddhist leaders?
- 6 [14.27.44]
- 7 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 8 A. Mr. President, I insist that the document I request be
- 9 provided.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Counsel Son Arun?
- 12 MR. SON ARUN:
- 13 I am of the same view as that of my- In order to prove that the
- 14 document is authentic, I request that the original document be
- 15 provided to him. We cannot tell where they can get this document
- 16 from.
- 17 [14.28.20]
- 18 MR. LYSAK:
- 19 Well, Counsel, this document was put before the Chamber by Judge
- 20 Cartwright on Tuesday, and there were no objections or complaints
- 21 from Mr. Nuon Chea or you when it was shown to him on that day.
- 22 So Your Honour, I would -- Mr. President, I would like to proceed
- 23 and that you ask the Accused to answer the question.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 In general, the documents that we use in this information

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 technology is in this format; we cannot have it in hard copies.
- 2 All documents, including authored books, have been digitized and
- 3 put into the ZyLAB software, which controls the documents. And
- 4 the prosecutor is basing on the document available in the case
- 5 file. Previously, Judge Cartwright put this document before the
- 6 Chamber.
- 7 As a result, we may proceed with this document.
- 8 If we are to find the original copies of all documents placed in
- 9 the case file, we will need to find new technologies, because we
- 10 have now up to half a million documents in the case file. So this
- 11 is a technical issue when it comes to management of the case
- 12 file.
- 13 Mr. Son Arun?
- 14 [14.30.34]
- 15 MR. SON ARUN:
- 16 It is fine for you to say so, but this is the Court, and the
- 17 Court is very important. For anything that we cannot have in a
- 18 complete form, for anything that we do not have specifically, it
- 19 cannot be possible.
- 20 So can I ask whether this document is authentic or it is a
- 21 falsification? If it is an authentic document, for me, as a
- 22 lawyer, for my client, I would like to request for the authentic
- 23 material, and my client can trust this document.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 This document has been put before the Chamber by Judge Cartwright

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 when she finished her questioning to the Accused, and this
- 2 document is now available in the case file.
- 3 [14.31.47]
- 4 For the authenticity of the document, counsel should have raised
- 5 the issue when the Closing Order was issued.
- 6 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 7 Let me make this clear for everyone. The principle of this Court
- 8 is to ascertain the truth, justice, and, I would add, advantages.
- 9 Anyone can make any kind of document. That is why I insist again
- 10 and again that I have the original document for me to read in
- 11 order to decide who wrote the document and how the document was
- 12 written.
- 13 By doing so, we can say that this Court is really seeking the
- 14 truth and justice, and not a false Court.
- 15 If the Court cannot provide the original documents to me, I do
- 16 not accept this document. I reject it.
- 17 (Judges deliberate)
- 18 [14.34.54]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 The document is in the case file and it has been referred to in
- 21 every stage of the proceedings since the investigative stage. And
- 22 lately there have been a number of documents, and the process is
- 23 the same.
- 24 For that reason, the document is valid to be debated before this
- 25 Chamber, as it is already in the case file, and it can be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 referred to by any party. Except in the case of a new document;
- 2 then, a request has to be made to the Chamber for each to be
- 3 considered put before the Chamber.
- 4 If you are not sure of its authenticity when you look at one page
- 5 or two pages, please refer to and look at other pages before you
- 6 can say whether the document is authenticated or not.
- 7 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 8 That document is not the "Red Flag" document.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Defence Counsel, you may proceed.
- 11 [14.36.40]
- 12 MR. PESTMAN:
- 13 Thank you, Your Honours. I think my client is raising a very
- 14 important point. The question is not whether this document has
- 15 been put before the Chamber, but whether it is authentic. And my
- 16 client is, at this moment in time, unable or unwilling to
- 17 authenticate this document, and which goes to the value of this
- 18 evidence.
- 19 I think it's a very reasonable request. Somebody must have copied
- 20 this document. He would like to have a look at the original in
- 21 order to establish the authenticity of the document. So I think
- 22 it's more than reasonable to ask for the original documents. They
- 23 must be somewhere.
- 24 (Judges deliberate)
- 25 [14.37.28]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Court officer, can you show the entire document of the magazine
- 3 of 1977 on the screen, that is from the first page to the last
- 4 page? And after that go back to the page that is referred to by
- 5 the prosecution.
- 6 MR. LYSAK:
- 7 Mr. President, before we do that, may I ask a couple of general
- 8 questions of the witness and then come back to the document?
- 9 [14.38.16]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Yes, you may.
- 12 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 13 Q. One of the reasons, Mr. Nuon Chea, I wanted to ask you about
- 14 this reference is that I had noted that, in your opening
- 15 statement, you had started your comments by paying respect to the
- 16 Venerable Monks and that you did so also today.
- 17 I have reviewed a number of your speeches from the time you were
- 18 a Khmer Rouge leader and did not find any speech in which you
- 19 paid respect to the monks at that time.
- 20 Can you explain why that was to the Court? Why is it that the
- 21 speeches you made as a Khmer Rouge leader contain no respect to
- 22 the Venerable Monks?
- 23 [14.39.27]
- 24 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 25 A. Mr. President, a speech could be a political one. I do not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 want to mix religion with politics.
- 2 However, at that time, at that particular time, there was no monk
- 3 participating. For example, during a demonstration, if you mixed
- 4 a monk with politics, it's going to be confusing. For that
- 5 reason, I never used a line to pay my respects to Venerable
- 6 Monks.
- 7 But the question is: Did we really reject the monks? Of course
- 8 not.
- 9 And why is that? Because, in order to understand Buddhism, you
- 10 need to understand its content.
- 11 What is the root course of Buddhism? It is the morals, the
- 12 meditation, and your intelligence, and that we shall practise
- 13 these on a daily basis.
- 14 So some people who accuse that Democratic Kampuchea destroys the
- 15 religion are wrong, they don't understand the real meaning of
- 16 religion, of Buddhism.
- 17 [14.41.22]
- 18 During the era of Buddha, there was no monk's residence. He was
- 19 awakened in the forest. So we -- it's better not to use religion
- 20 as a pretext to attack Democratic Kampuchea. You actually need to
- 21 understand first what Buddhism is.
- 22 Pagoda; what is a pagoda? Pagoda is merely a place where people
- 23 pay respect to.
- 24 And besides that, you need to learn to adhere to some principle,
- 25 for example the loving kindness, the compassions, the empathetic

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 joy and the equanimity. These are the four immeasurables of
- 2 Buddhism, and of course they are in the heart of the Buddhist
- 3 followers.
- 4 Therefore, the physical being is different from the actual
- 5 meaning of the religion. Although someone does not have a chance
- 6 to do a physical respect to the Buddha, for example, in their
- 7 heart they can maintain all those four immeasurables of the
- 8 principle, and that would be the real nature of Buddhism.
- 9 [14.43.07]
- 10 Of course, I was not a monk, but I learned a little bit about
- 11 Buddhism and religion.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The time is now appropriate for a break. We will have a 20-minute
- 14 break, and then we shall resume.
- 15 Security guard, you are instructed to take the Accused back to
- 16 his seat behind his Defence counsel and bring him back to the
- 17 dock when the Court resumes.
- 18 (The accused Nuon Chea leaves the dock)
- 19 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 20 (Court recesses from 1443H to 1511H)
- 21 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 22 (The accused Nuon Chea is taken to the dock)
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 25 MR. VERCKEN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Thank you very much, Mr. President. Very briefly, may I
- 2 re-address the issue of the photocopied document that was
- 3 presented to Mr. Nuon Chea?
- 4 We are the lawyers for the accused Mr. Khieu Samphan, but I
- 5 believe that this is an issue that is of concern to all of us.
- 6 After some very quick research on this situation, I was able to
- 7 get my hands on a decision that was issued by Your Honours in
- 8 case file number 1. It is a decision dated the 26th of May 2009,
- 9 document E43/4.
- 10 In this decision, Your Honours have indicated that irregularities
- 11 or procedural defects that are raised by parties with respect to
- 12 documents to be placed before the Chamber are not provided for
- 13 under Internal Rule 77.7 (sic).
- 14 Now, based on correct understanding of what I heard, just prior
- 15 to the interruption, you stated the contrary; you said, Mr.
- 16 President, that, so long as documents are not contested prior to
- 17 the confirmation of the Closing Order, they are no longer subject
- 18 to discussion.
- 19 In order to support counsel for Nuon Chea, I simply want to point
- 20 out that, in the Duch affair, your Chamber expressed the
- 21 contrary: it is expressly indicated that this does not cover
- 22 documents that may be put before your Chamber.
- 23 Therefore, in the interests of clarity, I simply seek to ask you
- 24 what exactly the position of this Chamber is. Does the same
- 25 principle that was pronounced during the Duch affair still apply?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [15.15.17]
- 2 And allow me to add as well, it was recalled earlier, and
- 3 that's-- Perhaps I was not fully apprised of all of the memoranda
- 4 and all of the requests and motions that may have been filed in
- 5 that -- I wasn't fully aware of all the issues surrounding
- 6 translation.
- 7 Yet there's a public document entitled "Memorandum Emanating from
- 8 the Trial Chamber", dated the 26th (sic) of October 2011, which I
- 9 have taken the liberty of placing on Judge Lavergne's desk. On
- 10 page 3, it is clearly written that the parties are duty-bound to
- 11 request translation of documents that they intend to submit
- 12 before the proceedings in all three languages of the Court, and
- 13 if they are unable to do so, then their ability to use the
- 14 document would be reduced.
- 15 And this is exactly the point I was trying to make earlier, when
- 16 we are put in such a position -- that is, to place the burden on
- 17 the Defence, or on the prosecution, or on the civil parties --
- 18 the task, the impossible task of translating documents that
- 19 should have been translated from the very start. Thank you, Mr.
- 20 President.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 The Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 23 MR. SMITH:
- 24 Your Honours, if I can briefly remind Defence counsel that, in
- 25 relation to the Duch trial and now, the rules of document

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 presentation have in fact changed. Since the Duch trial, the
- 2 plenary has amended the Rule, and Your Honours have implemented
- 3 it in that the parties that want to put documents before the
- 4 Chamber do so in written pleadings. And Your Honour has asked all
- 5 of the parties to provide any objections to those documents.
- 6 [15.17.43]
- 7 In relation to this phase of the trial, those objections were
- 8 placed by the 1st of November.
- 9 In relation to the "Revolutionary Flags", there's no objection by
- 10 the Defence of Nuon Chea that the "Revolutionary Flag" photocopy
- 11 was in fact not a copy of the "Revolutionary Flag". The -- this
- 12 is the first that we've heard today, that somehow or another they
- 13 are disputing that the copy is in fact not a copy of the genuine
- 14 original.
- 15 [15.18.13]
- 16 Secondly, in relation to the next phases of the case, Your
- 17 Honours have asked the Defence and the prosecution to file the
- 18 documents that they seek to use and the Defence to respond -- and
- 19 the other parties -- by the 5th of January, after which, as Judge
- 20 Lavergne has said, the Chamber will make decisions on the
- 21 admissibility of documents. And Your Honours have said today that
- 22 you would set-up a session in the next Trial Chamber -- in the
- 23 next session of this case, where document admissibility would be
- 24 discussed in detail.
- 25 So the idea that this issue can keep arising again and again only

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 slows down the trial.
- 2 As far as the use of photocopies is concerned, obviously we'll
- 3 save our argument for that later date. But it cannot be, Your
- 4 Honours, that photocopies cannot be allowed as evidence if the
- 5 Defence -- their only ground of objection is the fact that it's a
- 6 photocopy. It must be something more than that; it must be
- 7 something substantive, on the basis that the copy is in fact not
- 8 a copy of the original. Otherwise, these cases will never be able
- 9 to move forward.
- 10 [15.19.29]
- 11 All of the international courts have operated on this basis, that
- 12 photocopies are acceptable because the number of documents in
- 13 these cases are so large. The Defence, the Nuon Chea Defence,
- 14 have had an opportunity to specifically state that the
- 15 "Revolutionary Flag" copy is not, in fact -- in fact, a copy of
- 16 the "Revolutionary Flag" and they have not stated so in their
- 17 response. Your Honours, the Defence, in this case, have had years
- 18 to look at the "Revolutionary Flags" to determine whether or not
- 19 they believed they were authentic or not, and they still haven't
- 20 raised that objection until today.
- 21 Your Honours, if the Defence -- if the Defence have a serious
- 22 objection to a photocopy, stating that they believe it is not
- 23 authentic and -- that's when it should only be addressed, and it
- 24 should be addressed at the hearings that Your Honours have
- 25 decided to hold in a month's time. Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 [15.20.56]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Counsel, you are reminded to seek permission from the President
- 4 of the Chamber first, before you speak. You cannot just only
- 5 stand up and then push the button.
- 6 MR. PESTMAN:
- 7 How can I ask for permission without pushing the button? It's a
- 8 serious question. How can I ask for permission without standing
- 9 up and pushing the button? What am I supposed to do?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 You may now proceed, Counsel.
- 12 MR. PESTMAN:
- 13 Just to briefly respond to the points raised by the prosecutor,
- 14 we have filed, on numerous occasions, general objections to the
- 15 documents which the prosecutor presented or wanted to put before
- 16 the Chamber.
- 17 [15.21.50]
- 18 We think -- and we've taken this position on more than one
- 19 occasion -- we think that a procedure should be established to
- 20 establish the authenticity of documents. And we have raised it as
- 21 a general point, and my client, today, raised a specific
- 22 objection to one document which has been put before him. I think
- 23 that should be enough and I think that the authenticity of this
- 24 document has to be established before we continue questioning my
- 25 client on this particular issue.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 And we support the suggestion made by the prosecution to reserve
- 2 a week to discuss all issues related to the admission of
- 3 documents, but not only to the admission of documents but also to
- 4 the authentication of documents.
- 5 [15.22.44]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Thank you for the observations raised by various parties. The
- 8 Chamber will consider all the issues and deliberate in due
- 9 course.
- 10 Now, we will proceed with the schedule as planned.
- 11 The prosecution is now given the floor again to continue
- 12 questioning Nuon Chea, if you have any other questions not
- 13 related to the '77 "Revolutionary Flag" magazine; before we took
- 14 a break, there was a debate regarding the validity of the "Flag"
- 15 magazine issued in 1977.
- 16 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 Q. When we left off, Mr. Nuon Chea, I had asked you the reason
- 19 your speeches as a leader of the Khmer Rouge did not contain
- 20 references or pay respects to the Venerable Monks. The truth, Mr.
- 21 Nuon Chea, is that the reason for that is that the Party had
- 22 banned Buddhism and defrocked all the monks; isn't that the case,
- 23 Mr. Nuon Chea?
- 24 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 25 A. Mr. President, the Party did not have any measure to ban

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Buddhism.
- 2 [15.25.27]
- 3 Q. When Judge Lavergne was questioning you yesterday, Mr. Nuon
- 4 Chea, you were discussing some of the principles of Buddhism that
- 5 you believed were compatible with the Party.
- 6 The truth is that there also were many principles of Buddhism
- 7 that were not consistent with the Party, including the principle
- 8 of karma, the belief that bad and good deeds from another life
- 9 resulted in present conditions.
- 10 Is it not correct, Mr. Nuon Chea, that the principle of karma was
- 11 fundamentally inconsistent with the Party lines?
- 12 A. Mr. President, the karma, in Buddhism, as I understand it, is
- 13 that there is no karma from the previous life; karma exists in
- 14 the present life and karma at present will yield results at
- 15 present. Bad karma will be bad, good karma will be good, as well.
- 16 [15.26.56]
- 17 Who would know about the karma in a previous life? Everybody was
- 18 dead in a previous life. But if he asked human beings living at
- 19 present then we will know. If we commit bad karma, we will
- 20 receive bad result, and that is my strong belief. Whoever commits
- 21 a bad karma, that person will -- really deserves bad result.
- 22 Q. My question, Mr. Nuon Chea, was whether the Party regarded the
- 23 principle of karma as inconsistent with the principles of
- 24 communism?
- 25 A. I do not understand your question.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Q. Well, Mr. Nuon Chea, what is your response to the thousands of
- 2 witnesses who have given statements in the case file, who all
- 3 consistently say that the pagodas were closed, the monks
- 4 defrocked, and the practice of Buddhism banned during the period
- 5 you were the Deputy Secretary of the Communist Party? How do you
- 6 explain that?
- 7 [15.28.26]
- 8 MR. PESTMAN:
- 9 Can I -- I'm sorry, I would like to object to this question.
- 10 First of all, I'm not familiar with the thousands of statements
- 11 which say that monks were defrocked and pagodas had to be closed,
- 12 but most importantly I don't understand the relevance of this
- 13 question for the segment we are discussing today.
- 14 MR. LYSAK:
- 15 Mr. President? Mr. President, it is clear, from the case file,
- 16 that the decision to ban Buddhism was made prior to April 1975.
- 17 There are numerous numerous statements, on the case file, from
- 18 witnesses who testify to that practice in areas that were
- 19 liberated by the Khmer Rouge prior to then.
- 20 [15.29.21]
- 21 In order to establish that, all -- we were incidentally touching
- 22 on that practice, but the point here is to confront the witness
- 23 with the truth, and the truth that every single witness who has
- 24 testified in this proceeding is that the Khmer Rouge closed the
- 25 pagodas and defrocked the monks.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The Chamber gives the floor first to the Defence for Nuon Chea.
- 3 MR. PESTMAN:
- 4 Thank you. I'm afraid I do not share the truth the prosecutor is
- 5 trying to present.
- 6 If the prosecutor wishes to confront my client with certain
- 7 statements, the easiest thing to do is just to show the
- 8 statements or refer to the statements so that my client can
- 9 react. It's impossible for my client to react to statements he
- 10 doesn't know about.
- 11 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 12 Mr. President, I haven't reacted earlier, and perhaps for the
- 13 reason because Mr. Nuon Chea is not my client, but for some time,
- 14 now, the prosecution has gone way beyond the scope of the first
- 15 phase.
- 16 [15.30.40]
- 17 Now, I realize that this is a trial and that at some point we
- 18 will get to these issues. However, I think, if we're going to be
- 19 touching on these issues as they are trying to do, it is best to
- 20 just simply try the entire first trial than to try to
- 21 compartmentalize it the way we're doing, because obviously it
- 22 will impact from the way we will prepare for our questioning.
- 23 The prosecution took the advantage because Judge Cartwright read
- 24 from a document dated 1977 and from then began asking questions
- 25 on matters that were outside the first phase. It was rather

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 clear.
- 2 As I said, we have no objection as long as we know exactly where
- 3 the parameters are. So either we try the entire first trial, and
- 4 so that every witness that gets on, including the Accused, who
- 5 choose to give evidence, are subjected to questions on all phases
- 6 of the first trial, or whenever a party goes beyond the strict
- 7 parameters that you've laid out, that they be told that they
- 8 could get to that issue at a latter date.
- 9 And if I may finish, simply standing up and saying: Well, we're
- 10 trying to get to the truth, is insufficient. I don't think that's
- 11 the answer when the objection is: How is it relevant to the first
- 12 phase?
- 13 So either we try the entire case or we limit the questioning at
- 14 this phase to the first phase that you've set out; one or the
- 15 other. Thank you.
- 16 [15.32.54]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you. The Chamber has observed the objections of the Defence
- 19 counsel in regards to the question posed by the Co-Prosecutor
- 20 concerning the religion matter.
- 21 If you look at the memorandum that the Chamber has issued, we do
- 22 not have a clear limitation on the -- on this issue. That is, we
- 23 look at on only the persecution on political ground, but not on
- 24 the religious ground.
- 25 And in fact, the objection of the Defence is sustained, and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Co-Prosecutor is advised to refrain from asking this kind of
- 2 question.
- 3 Again, parties are reminded to put questions concerning the facts
- 4 that have been set for the first segment of the trial.
- 5 The Co-Prosecutor may now proceed with another question except
- 6 those concerns the religious matters.
- 7 [15.34.24]
- 8 MR. LYSAK:
- 9 I am happy to proceed, Mr. President, but first, if I may just
- 10 answer the question that counsel has asked, the reason that I was
- 11 asking this is because the statements that were made regarding
- 12 Buddhism that I initially started to ask Mr. Nuon Chea about were
- 13 part of a discussion by Pol Pot of the analysis of Cambodian
- 14 society that was made in 1960, at the First Party Congress. That
- 15 is a direct issue that is part of this first proceedings. It is
- 16 something that the Accused has talked about at length, which is
- 17 the analysis they made of Cambodian society in 1960, as to who
- 18 were the enemies. And in this speech by Pol Pot, which I would
- 19 add is a very well-known speech that was broadcast on the radio,
- 20 for them to deny its authenticity is specious. This will be one
- 21 of the easiest things this Court can do.
- 22 But to be clear, the reason I was asking this is because in Pol
- 23 Pot's speech, it is clear that, as part of the analysis that the
- 24 Accused was talking about as to which parts of society were
- 25 considered the enemy, that there were references and discussions

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 to the Buddhists. And that is why why I am asking about these
- 2 questions, Mr. President.
- 3 [13.35.55]
- 4 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 5 Mr. President, if I could be heard for just one second, what we
- 6 heard is pleading. Counsel is testifying. If that was the
- 7 intention of counsel, then he should do it by presenting
- 8 evidence, putting the questions or putting the documents to the
- 9 witness as opposed to making a closing and final argument before
- 10 the witness and before the public and thus trying -- attempting
- 11 to taint the evidence.
- 12 What he believes or what he thinks is irrelevant. Maybe he will
- 13 be able to prove it, but the proper way of doing it is to simply
- 14 go step-by-step, putting forward the evidence from 1960, and
- 15 letting the answers to his questions lead us down the road to
- 16 which he claims he will get us to, as opposed to simply standing
- 17 up and giving a closing argument.
- 18 I find that objectionable. I did not interrupt the gentleman, but
- 19 I would ask Your Honours that perhaps some guidelines be laid out
- 20 for all of us. Thank you.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 This issue has been ruled -- ruled on, and I'm not sure whether
- 23 Mr. Co-Prosecutor has further questions to put to the to the
- 24 Accused.
- 25 [15.37.20]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Once again, the issue that you have just raised is not one of the
- 2 facts that as in the memorandum distributed.
- 3 If you do not have any further questions, we will proceed with
- 4 another party.
- 5 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 6 Mr. President, I certainly have other questions. I simply wanted
- 7 to make sure our position on this issue was on the record. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 Q. Mr. Nuon Chea, do you recall the speech that Pol Pot gave in
- 10 September 1977, where he announced to the world the existence of
- 11 the Communist Party of Kampuchea? Were you present at that
- 12 speech?
- 13 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 14 A. Mr. President, it has been many years; I do not recall it. If
- 15 you have documents, please show me. Please show me the document,
- 16 the speeches of Pol Pot. Do you have that document? If you do,
- 17 please show me.
- 18 [15.38.46]
- 19 MR. LYSAK:
- 20 Just so the record is clear, Your Honour, this is the same
- 21 document that we've been going through, which start -- which
- 22 announces at the start that it is a speech by Pol Pot announcing
- 23 the existence of the Communist Party of Kampuchea. But if the
- 24 Accused would like to see it, I'm happy to provide it to him
- 25 again.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 (Short pause)
- 2 [15.39.25]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Could Mr. Co-Prosecutor identify the document number?
- 5 MR. LYSAK:
- 6 Mr. President, this is document number D243/2.1.12.
- 7 And I would simply ask you, Mr. Nuon Chea, to look at the very
- 8 start of the document, on probably what is the third page in the
- 9 Khmer version, where there is a description of the speech, which
- 10 reads as follows -- quote:
- 11 "The presentation of the party representative on the occasion of
- 12 the 17th anniversary of the great victory of the founding of the
- 13 Communist Party of Kampuchea and on the occasion of the official
- 14 domestic and international public announcement of the Party."
- 15 [15.40.24]
- 16 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 17 And my question to you is: Do you recall that Pol Pot made this
- 18 speech a few days before the 30th September anniversary, and then
- 19 he, and Ieng Sary, and a number of others flew off to Beijing for
- 20 their first official foreign visit as leaders of the Communist
- 21 Party? Do you remember that event?
- 22 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 23 A. This is also the "Revolutionary Flag" document. I already
- 24 rejected in the "Revolutionary Flag" to be -- there was no
- 25 content about the religion, and now you present to me this same

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 document.
- 2 [15.41.29]
- 3 Q. It was you that asked for the document, Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 4 My question is very simple. Do you remember a speech -- Pol Pot
- 5 giving a speech, in September 1977, that officially announced to
- 6 the world the existence of the Communist Party of Kampuchea?
- 7 A. I do not remember, Mr. President. It has been many years.
- 8 Q. If you don't remember, Mr. Nuon Chea, how is it that you
- 9 believe this document is not, in fact, a copy of that speech?
- 10 A. I do not understand your question.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Can you pause, Mr. Co-Prosecutor? Court officer is instructed to
- 13 show the "Revolutionary Flag" of 1977 on the screen, one page --
- 14 page by page, and then move back to the page that the
- 15 Co-Prosecutor wishes to put question, so that Mr. Nuon Chea could
- 16 examine the document.
- 17 (Short pause)
- 18 [15.44.00]
- 19 The document has not yet been shown. I have just instructed the
- 20 court officers to put it up on the screen so that you and your
- 21 counsel could see this document page by page, from the beginning
- 'til the end, and then we can proceed.
- 23 (Short pause)
- 24 (Microphone not activated)
- 25 [15.45.19]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Can it be slower? Some part of the document was not shown on the
- 2 screen. Can we show the complete page on the screen?
- 3 MR. IANUZZI:
- 4 Excuse me, Your Honour. If the point of this exercise is for Mr.
- 5 Nuon Chea to read the document, perhaps he could indicate the end
- 6 of each page when he's finished reading that document instead of
- 7 just flipping through page by page. Thank you.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Can the document be put up on the screen? We can spend the rest
- 10 of today's time just to flip over the pages of this document. And
- 11 after that the Chamber can review how we can proceed in
- 12 subsequent trials, because after this week we will not have any
- 13 more hearings, but the Chamber will convene in order to discuss
- 14 how we could conduct our future hearings by referring to our
- 15 amendments of the Internal Rules.
- 16 [15.46.53]
- 17 We note the observation from the Defence counsel for Mr. Khieu
- 18 Samphan, and so we will discuss on this matter and we will come
- 19 up with a solution to this problem. We will try to avoid that
- 20 this kind of question will be raised again in the future trial.
- 21 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 22 MR. LYSAK:
- 23 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. I would agree. And if I'd make a
- 24 suggestion, rather than spending the last time just having the
- 25 document on the screen, that I'll move on to a different line of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 questioning, and we'll we will address these issues about these
- 2 documents during the break, so that when we resume we won't have
- 3 these interruptions.
- 4 Is that acceptable to -- Mr. President?
- 5 [15.47.52]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 I have said from the very beginning, I have already informed the
- 8 parties who raised this issue, and I want to make it clear, and
- 9 now the Co-Prosecutor even does not understand me. If you intend
- 10 to discuss the question that is not related to the facts that we
- 11 intend to be discussed in this segment of the trial, this is not
- 12 possible.
- 13 And now we want to show this document to the Accused and to ask
- 14 him whether he accept this document, and after that we will see
- 15 how we can proceed. It appears that you do not understand this
- 16 issue, and you come up with the same question, and now the
- 17 problem persists.
- 18 Now, what the Chamber wants is to show this document to Mr. Nuon
- 19 Chea so that he can examine page by page until the end of the
- 20 document, and then we will ask Mr. Nuon Chea to provide comments
- 21 on this document, what he think about this document. And after
- 22 that we will end today's session. The Chamber will move to
- 23 discuss together after that.
- 24 [15.49.21]
- 25 Court officer, you are instructed to put up this document on the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 screen so that Mr. Nuon Chea can read this document.
- 2 Mr. Nuon Chea?
- 3 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 4 Again, I do not accept this "Revolutionary Flag" document. As a
- 5 result, even if this document is shown to me, I still do not
- 6 accept it. How could I accept it, as I do not know anything about
- 7 this document?
- 8 [15.50.17]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Thank you for your firm position, but before that you informed
- 11 the Court that just now the Co-Prosecutor showed you the hard
- 12 copy. That is why the Chamber asked the court officers to display
- 13 the document in soft copy, and we retrieved this document from
- 14 the ZyLAB software, which is used to manage the entire case file.
- 15 We have never encountered such a problem as to how documents were
- 16 placed into the case file using ZyLAB system, but as of now, we
- 17 encounter this problem and we are trying to show you that this
- 18 document is controlled by this kind of information technology,
- 19 and we are here showing you this document using this software,
- 20 and we just and expect that you may not object to this document
- 21 once it is shown to you. But now that you show your objection
- 22 even though the document is shown, we are thankful.
- 23 [15.52.03]
- 24 And it is now appropriate for us to adjourn for today's session.
- 25 I now announce this session closed--

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

- 1 Counsel Ianuzzi?
- 2 MR. IANUZZI:
- 3 Thank you, Your Honour. Just one last point before we all go
- 4 home. This relates to something that happened this morning,
- 5 during the questioning of Long Norin.
- 6 We are all quite interested, I'm sure, in preserving a proper
- 7 record of appeal, so I would just like to make it very clear that
- 8 we take objection to the Chamber's ruling on Mr. Pestman's
- 9 question regarding Keat Chhon.
- 10 Our position is that political interference is always relevant,
- 11 at any point of the proceeding, no matter what the topic is. That
- 12 will certainly be our position going forward.
- 13 And if I may just end on a lighter note, I'd like to thank our
- 14 colleagues on this side of the room today for their support, and
- 15 perhaps I could buy them a drink tonight, if they don't mind.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 [15.53.13]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Judge Cartwright, please go ahead.
- 20 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 21 Your objection was noted. The final comments you made were
- 22 inappropriate for a court. That is a social matter, and you can
- 23 deal with it socially. Thank you, Mr. Ianuzzi.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- Once again, today's session has now come to an end.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 11 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 15/12/2011

And we will resume on the 10th -- on Tuesday, the 10th of January 2012. So this is the information to the parties and concerned units of the Office of Administration. Detention personnel are now instructed to bring the Accused back to the detention facility and return them to the courtroom on the morning of the 10th of January 2012, by 9 o'clock. The Court is now adjourned. (Judges exit courtroom) (Court adjourns at 1555H)