00778068



### **អ**ត្ថខិត្តិ៩ម្រៈទិសាមញ្ញតូខត្តលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

## **អ**ុខ្ពស្នំ៩ម្រះសាលាដូម៉ិច

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

## ព្រះព្យសាធ្យធម្ម ស ស្ន

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

#### ឯកសារជើម

**ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL** 

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 13-Feb-2012, 15:15

# TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

6 February 2012 Trial Day 27

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

Matteo CRIPPA

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

CHAN Dararasmey Tarik ABDULHAK

SENG Bunkheang

Sarah ANDREWS

**VENG Huot** 

For Court Management Section:

**KAUV Keoratanak** 

The Accused: NUON Chea

IENG Sary KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun

Michiel PESTMAN Jasper PAUW Andrew IANUZZI

ANG Udom Michael G. KARNAVAS

KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ana

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

SAM Sokong LOR Chunthy HONG Kimsuon VEN Pov

CHET Vanly

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

## INDEX

MR.	YOUK CHH	ANG
-----	----------	-----

Questioning by Mr. Pauw resumes	page 4
Questioning by Mr. Son Arun	page 90

## **List of Speakers:**

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ABDULHAK	English
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
MR. KARNAVAS	English
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. PAUW	English
MR. PESTMAN	English
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MR. YOUK CHHANG	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0901H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 According to our schedule, during today's session the Chamber
- 6 continues hearing the testimonies of witness Chhang Youk, the
- 7 director of the Documentation Center of Cambodia.
- 8 The Chamber will continue hearing the handling of documents at
- 9 DC-Cam and relevant issues concerning the document storing and
- 10 compilation and collection, and since other party already put
- 11 question to the witness, it is now Nuon Chea's defence team who
- 12 will be handed over the floor to put question for the whole
- 13 morning to this witness.
- 14 [09.04.07]
- 15 And we will hear testimony of Ieng Sary's counsel after the
- 16 questions by counsel for Nuon Chea.
- 17 Court officer is now instructed to call the witness.
- 18 (Mr. Youk Chhang is taken to the dock)
- 19 [09.05.18]
- 20 Good morning, Mr. Chhang Youk. Today, the Chamber continues
- 21 hearing your testimonies concerning several other issues with
- 22 regard to the cataloguing of documents, compilation, and
- 23 documentation of the documents at DC-Cam.
- 24 And we left off with counsel for Nuon Chea, who was supposed to
- 25 put questions to you for period of the whole day, and they had

- 1 already used half of their time, so they will be now handed over
- 2 the floor to proceed with their remaining questions to you
- 3 concerning the issues as the Chamber has already indicated.
- 4 [09.06.28]
- 5 So the floor is now handed over to Nuon Chea's counsel.
- 6 MR. PAUW:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 8 morning, everyone in and around the courtroom. A little point of
- 9 clarification on the timing of today, because I have been
- 10 informed by my colleague for the Ieng Sary defence team that he
- 11 intends to not be putting any questions to the witness.
- 12 And, considering that the three defence teams were allotted a
- 13 total time of two days, we would like--
- 14 Oh! There's no translation, I am informed.
- 15 [09.07.26]
- 16 Am I being translated right now?
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Counsel is now asked to repeat the statement because part of your
- 19 statement was not communicated because the mic was not activated
- 20 back then. Please repeat them.
- 21 MR. PAUW:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President. The main message I was trying to convey
- 23 was that the Ieng Sary defence team has indicated to our team
- 24 that it will not be asking any questions in this
- 25 cross-examination.

- 1 And my question is, since the three defence teams were allotted a
- 2 total time of two days, whether our team would be allowed to use
- 3 either the entirety of the time that was given to the Ieng Sary
- 4 defence team, or a certain portion of it, because, considering
- 5 the amount of questions we still have, the morning would be short
- 6 -- too short to ask all our questions.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 May we know from counsels for Ieng Sary-- Could you also confirm
- 9 whether this is correct, that you will not be putting questions
- 10 to the witness?
- 11 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 12 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. And good
- 13 morning to everyone in and around the courtroom. In light of the
- 14 questions that have been posed thus far and the answers that Mr.
- 15 Youk Chhang has provided, and given the limited nature for which
- 16 he is here to give evidence, and, of course, in light of your
- 17 decision concerning the admissibility of evidence, where we
- 18 believe you've indicated that there is a rebuttable presumption
- 19 that all documents looked at and relied upon by the
- 20 Co-Investigative Judges are prima facie reliable and authentic,
- 21 though the parties are free to challenge documents on a
- 22 case-by-case basis and the Court would entertain such challenges
- 23 if they so occur, in light of all of this, we feel that there is
- 24 no need to pose any further questions to Mr. Youk Chhang.
- 25 [09.09.55]

- 1 No profit is to be gained by simply asking questions that have
- 2 already been asked, where sufficient answers have been provided.
- 3 So, on behalf of the Ieng Sary defence, Mr. Ang Udom and I wish
- 4 to thank the gentleman for coming here to give his evidence, and
- 5 we wish to thank the Trial Chamber for affording us this
- 6 opportunity and graciously allowing us sufficient time to
- 7 question Mr. Youk Chhang under these circumstances. Thank you.
- 8 (Judges deliberate)
- 9 [09.11.27]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Counsels for Nuon Chea, the Chamber has noted your request. The
- 12 Chamber will not rule on it at this time, but we indeed have
- 13 taken note of the request.
- 14 We would like now to proceed to the questions that counsel would
- 15 wish to put to this witness, as scheduled, first.
- 16 OUESTIONING BY MR. PAUW RESUMES:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President, I will proceed, then.
- 18 Good morning Mr. Youk Chhang. Welcome back.
- 19 My first question will relate to a manual that I -- we found on
- 20 the DC-Cam website.
- 21 Q. You have spoken about the manual for entering information as
- 22 to documents at DC-Cam, and we think we've found this manual on
- 23 the website. And we would like you to confirm that this is indeed
- 24 the manual that DC-Cam uses when entering information as to the
- 25 -- as to information regarding documents that you have found.

- 1 So, with the permission of the President, I would like to show
- 2 this manual that we found on DC-Cam's website and have the
- 3 witness confirm that it is indeed a manual used by DC-Cam.
- 4 [09.13.12]
- 5 And we can immediately scroll to page 10. And there, we see the
- 6 following title-- There it is, yes. So we see the title, it's the
- 7 "Cambodian Genocide Databases -- Input Manual for CBIB". Is this
- 8 indeed the manual you use for entering information at DC-Cam?
- 9 I missed the answer to this question. Could you repeat it, Mr.
- 10 Youk Chhang?
- 11 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 12 A. Indeed, I had not responded yet. It is indeed the manual we
- 13 use. It is called CBIB.
- 14 Q. Okay. We can put it on the screen once more.
- 15 So I can ask you another question regarding this document? So, if
- 16 you -- I'm not sure if the witness actually sees this document at
- 17 this point in time. Yes, there it reappears.
- 18 If we scroll to page 13, we see in the red box a -- information
- 19 regarding a certain Note 317, and it's called the "source and
- 20 provenance note" and according to the description, it is used to
- 21 "record information on the sources of the item and the former and
- 22 current owner or owners".
- 23 Am I correct in assuming that this would be the box in which you
- 24 enter information with regard to the provenance of a document and
- 25 earlier custodians?

- 1 A. As you note, in the example, 317 in brackets, this document is
- 2 from Tuol Sleng, in box number 2.
- 3 Q. Sorry, I think my question has been misunderstood.
- 4 [09.15.50]
- 5  $\,$  My question was the following: Am I correct in assuming, when I
- 6 look at this description of box 317, that this would be the box
- 7 in which you enter the information regarding documents that you
- 8 receive at DC-Cam? And then I'm speaking about information
- 9 regarding the provenance of a document and earlier custodians of
- 10 a document.
- 11 A. This document contains, in a box called "box number 2 from
- 12 Tuol Sleng", 317 refers to the document named "Ros Sokha
- 13 (phonetic), December 1980". It is as an example for the inputter
- 14 to understand the content of this particular portion.
- 15 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. I understand that, for example,
- 16 the box number 2 information on Ros Sokha is used as an example
- 17 for the inputter.
- 18 [09.17.08]
- 19 So let me rephrase the question: Am I correct that, when DC-Cam
- 20 receives documents and you enter information with regard to this
- 21 document, the inputter will, at a certain point, have to enter
- 22 information in this box number 317 regarding the provenance of a
- 23 document and regarding the earlier custodian of a document?
- 24 A. Yes, it is correct.
- 25 Q. So, for all the documents that are in the DC-Cam database,

- 1 will this box 317 have been filled out with the relevant
- 2 information that you possess?
- 3 A. In each document, we have various different forms. For
- 4 example, staff at DC-Cam is required to input all relevant
- 5 fields, and if the document contains box number 2, these relevant
- 6 document has to be put in box number 2.
- 7 [09.18.41]
- 8 Box number 2 is more a consolidated document that has not yet
- 9 been separated, and they classify them under "D" heading. So, at
- 10 the beginning, you know that these documents come in one box.
- 11 Later on, after it is input, then the document will have new
- 12 name, like TSL001, and so on and so forth.
- 13 So far as I remember, this numbering was introduced in 19 -- in
- 14 -- they were used in inputting data into the database, and the
- 15 documents were photocopied, were developed into the microfilms,
- 16 registered or kept in the cabinets, and this is the very outset
- 17 of how the information could be input into the database.
- 18 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. I'm not going to pretend that I
- 19 understand everything you just told me. It's very technical and
- 20 very detailed, and I'm sure it is very complicated.
- 21 [09.20.11]
- 22 So I'm going to try to ask you a more simple question: For every
- 23 document that comes into DC-Cam, do you write down information
- 24 with regard to the source of the document and former or current
- owners of these documents? It's a yes or no question.

- 1 A. Yes. I stated last week, every document that comes to CD-Cam
- 2 will go through several planning issues. For example, when a
- 3 photo given by Mr. Khieu Kola, I would ask the person the
- 4 question where these photo could have been taken from, and --
- 5 documents could be in different forms. However, we make notes of
- 6 how documents obtained -- for example, we may ask the owner of
- 7 the document to leave us with their addresses or telephone number
- 8 or email contact so that we can refer to them.
- 9 [09.21.45]
- 10 In some cases, we receive a lot of document from the Ministry of
- 11 Interior, huge amounts of documents received, so we need to put
- 12 the documents all together, and I believe that I have already
- 13 made it clear to the Co-Investigating Judges concerning how the
- 14 documents are collected. We already indicated clearly we do our
- 15 best to locate -- to find the real source of information as much
- 16 as possible.
- 17 Q. Thank you. And, indeed, that had been clear from your
- 18 testimony last week.
- 19 And my question today is: The information that you have regarding
- 20 the source of the documents and regarding former and current
- 21 owners-- Do you, when you enter that information in the
- 22 database-- Is this note 317, is that the actual place in your
- 23 database that you store this information?
- 24 A. I think so, but I am not the person who is doing this on a
- 25 daily basis. If documents from the Ministry of Interior, then it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 is in the field of provenance where this database could refer to.
- 2 Q. So you say it's not you who deals with this on a daily basis.
- 3 Who should we ask if we want to know what is entered in this note
- 4 317?
- 5 A. I think, perhaps, there's some misunderstanding.
- 6 [09.23.44]
- 7 I read every document, but this input of data into the database
- 8 is very technical issues, and it Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara who is in
- 9 charge of doing so. I am reading all documents. If you are now
- 10 referring to any particular documents -- for example, documents
- 11 from the Ministry of Interior -- I really can produce some notes
- 12 I kept concerning how these documents could be obtained. But,
- 13 when it comes to technical issue with regard to inputting data
- 14 into the database, I may refer you to our staff member, including
- 15 Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara.
- 16 Because documents, after having been scanned, made into
- 17 microfilm, would be handed to Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara, who will
- 18 input them into the database. So the provenance of the document
- 19 would indeed be input in that field as well.
- 20 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. And I will refrain from asking too
- 21 technical questions, considering that, apparently, your deputy is
- 22 in charge of this particular entering of data. But I think you
- 23 could answer the following question.
- 24 [09.25.15]
- 25 The OCP has provided the parties with a list of all the documents

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 that it relies on, which have been provided by DC-Cam. And my
- 2 question to you is: Would it be possible for you to provide us
- 3 with the information that is stored in this box 317 for each of
- those documents? 4
- 5 I would assume it's a very straightforward IT application, which
- would allow you to share this information with the parties 6
- 7 present here today.
- A. Are you asking whether I can provide you with the sources of 8
- 9 information I provided to the Co-Prosecutors? If so, I can do
- 10 that. I have a copy of such a list of documents that I provided
- 11 to people.
- Q. No, Mr. Youk Chhang. To be clear, I'm not asking you about a 12
- 13 general overview of sources that you have used; I'm asking
- whether it's possible for you, for each document that the 14
- Prosecution relies on, whether you can provide us the information 15
- 16 that is contained in this box 317 regarding the source of the
- item and the former and current owners of the document. 17
- 18 A. I think I have a general list rather than the more specific
- 19 one, for example the list of documents from the Ministry of
- 20 Interior.
- 21 [09.27.19]
- 22 Q. Okay. Maybe you're not the right person to ask this question
- 23 because of your -- I wouldn't say "lack of technical knowledge on
- 24 this issue", but apparently your deputy knows more about this.
- 25 But do you think it is possible to extract from your database the

- 1 information contained in this box 317 regarding the source of the
- 2 document and possible former or current owners?
- 3 A. I think it is possible because, when documents are brought in
- 4 by individuals, then we classify them as the sources of the
- 5 document -- individuals -- and documents that come in with owner
- 6 as an institution, then we would classify so.
- 7 [09.28.24]
- 8 But in some cases, the document had to be put in a batch, a large
- 9 batch, for example, because some owners would not want their
- 10 identity identified.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. I think that clarifies this issue.
- 12 I would like to look on the same page of the same manual, and
- 13 then, if we can show it on the screen, it is the box 316 -- I'm
- 14 not sure if the witness already sees it on his screen, it's the
- 15 box in blue. Now, I'll read it for the people who cannot clearly
- 16 see it. It is "The note relating to the copy being catalogued",
- 17 and there's a number of subfields that can be used. And one is
- 18 "typescripts", one is "handwritten", one is "typescript
- 19 photocopy", the other is "handwritten photocopy", "duplicated",
- 20 or "prints".
- 21 [09.29.29]
- 22 And my question to you is: Am I correct in assuming that this is
- 23 how you classify whether a document you have at DC-Cam is an
- 24 original DK-era document or not?
- 25 A. I think it is correct, because here the term, which is rather

- 1 general in this field, we try to input as much as information as
- 2 possible. And this document has been obtained from the United
- 3 Nations. And we have the field, for example, for inputting the
- 4 letters, and in this bibliography -- And we are trying to insert
- 5 as much as -- information as possible, as indicated.
- 6 Q. Thank you. And then, I will ask you the same question. The OCP
- 7 has provided us with a list of documents that it relies on, that
- 8 have been provided by the DC-Cam.
- 9 Would it be possible for DC-Cam to provide us with the
- 10 information that you have entered in this box 316 with regard to
- 11 whether a document is an original, or a photocopy, or even
- 12 something else?
- 13 A. Normally, the letters we obtain from the Office of
- 14 Co-Investigating Judges, it would contain list of documents, and
- 15 we would ask them whether they put the documents in the scanned
- 16 form or photocopied ones. And we just respond to the -- whatever
- 17 request they made.
- 18 [09.31.50]
- 19 But the method in making such a request, at some point, were
- 20 general. And we received the list. For example, they would like
- 21 40 items to be provided, then I would hand it -- I would hand the
- 22 list to Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara to answer to the request.
- 23 Q. There seems to be some confusion, possibly due to the
- 24 translation, but I'm not asking about the transfer between DC-Cam
- 25 and the ECCC; I'm asking you for the information that you have

- 1 stored at DC-Cam regarding the documents that you have in your
- 2 possession at DC-Cam. Apparently, in this box 316, you store the
- 3 information with regard to whether this document is an original,
- 4 according to you, or a photocopy, or in other -- any other way
- 5 duplicated.
- 6 [09.32.48]
- 7 My question is, to you: Can you provide us with an overview for
- 8 documents as to what information is contained in this box 316?
- 9 A. Are you asking it from me now? But if you are requesting it
- 10 now, I can of course give it to you.
- 11 Q. No, Mr. Youk Chhang. I apologize. I do not expect you to hand
- 12 it over on the spot, but in general my question is: Is DC-Cam
- 13 capable of providing us with that information in due course -- in
- 14 due time?
- 15 A. For example, in subscript "T", it may be a transcript of an
- 16 interview, and it may be in the David Hawk collection, because
- 17 David Hawk conducted interviews. And as for "handwritten
- 18 documents", we referred to the documents written by hand, for
- 19 example David -- sorry Heder, Steve Heder had some interview in
- 20 handwriting. And for "transcript" and "photocopy", we have other
- 21 scholars who provided copies to me, and then they actually make
- 22 some handwritten annotation, or notes on that and duplicate it.
- 23 There may be multiple copies of the document. And as for "print",
- 24 it refers to the documents. For example, documents -- the
- 25 magazines available during the Democratic Kampuchea may take

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 different forms, for example Democratic -- Democratic Kampuchea
- 2 magazines and the -- other than the "Revolutionary Flags" of this
- 3 regime.
- 4 [09.34.58]
- 5 Q. Exactly. So, that sort of information, you have recorded that
- 6 type of information in your database; that is correct, right? Per
- 7 document that you collected.
- 8 A. Yes, we store those documents because our staff have to follow
- 9 such procedures in order to record information relevant to the
- 10 document.
- 11 Q. So would I be correct in assuming that you could provide us
- 12 with that information should the Trial Chamber request you to
- 13 provide that information?
- 14 A. Of course, we can hand over it to you upon request.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 Also on your websites, we found a protocol for the circumstance
- 17 in which someone wants to have forensic testing done on any of
- 18 your documents.
- 19 I will not show you the document, at this moment because it is
- 20 not really relevant, but my question is: Are you familiar with
- 21 the protocol that DC-Cam follows when someone wants to have
- 22 forensic testing done on any of your documents?
- 23 [09.36.41]
- 24 A. Last week, I told the Chamber already about that.
- 25 In 2005, I went to New York. I met with Mr. Sean Visoth, Michelle

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 Lee, and other administrative staff of the Court. We wanted to
- 2 have an agreement, a memorandum of agreement, for example,
- 3 concerning the request for the checking of the provenance or the
- source of documents. And I have sent letters to Tony, and Knut, 4
- 5 and others several times, and I think that the Office of
- 6 Administration, here, have not taken this issue seriously. And
- 7 we, actually, at the DC-Cam, want to have a procedure by which we
- all follow in terms of the verification of the documents against 8
- 9 the sources.
- [09.37.34] 10
- So, if there is a necessity here, then we will have to re-arrange 11
- 12 this procedure again so that we can have all the necessary step
- 13 for you to check against the original documents if that is
- 14 warranted.
- Q. This is an interesting issue, and maybe I'll follow up on your 15
- 16 answer later, but my question related to something else. The
- 17 question relates to forensic testing in general.
- 18 If an outside expert, for example, comes to DC-Cam and would like
- 19 to have one of your documents tested by forensic means, for
- 20 example to establish whether it comes actually from the '75 to
- 21 '79 time period, are you familiar with your own approach to
- 22 requests like that?
- 23 [09.38.43]
- 24 A. I informed you earlier that we had never conducted any
- 25 scientific forensics. We rely on the staff who have had extensive

- 1 experience, working along with the national institution, for
- 2 example the National Archives of Cambodia. So, in recognizing
- 3 those documents, whether or not they are contemporaneous document
- 4 from the DC-Cam, we rely on the date, the author as well as the
- 5 paper presentation. So these are the combination of factors that
- 6 we can come to the conclusion whether those documents were the
- 7 contemporaneous documents from the Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 8 Secondly, I would like to make it clear that, at DC-Cam, we do
- 9 not have the forensic expertise, or machine, or equipment, for
- 10 example, in order to actually conduct or carry out these
- 11 scientific forensics.
- 12 [09.39.53]
- 13 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. And I was hesitant to interrupt
- 14 you, even though you were not quite answering my question -- and
- 15 I'm looking at the Trial Chamber -- also because I'm letting you
- 16 speak, hoping that we can actually use a bit more time than just
- 17 this morning. I hate to interrupt you and I do not want to come
- 18 across as impolite, but I will follow up on this forensic issue
- 19 for a little bit.
- 20 Can you tell us how often someone from outside DC-Cam, for
- 21 example an outside expert or an outside historian, has asked
- 22 DC-Cam to be allowed to do forensic testing on any of your
- 23 documents?
- 24 A. So far, there has been one, a Mr. Touch Bora, from Australia.
- 25 He is a friend of mine and he has ask me how we can actually be

- 1 sure that those documents were from the Democratic Kampuchea
- 2 period. Mr. Touch Bora, from Australia.
- 3 Q. And did he, in fact, have forensic tests conducted on any of
- 4 those documents?
- 5 A. He made a request to me but he has failed to follow up with
- 6 that. But he is the only person who has asked me about the
- 7 possibility of having forensic testing.
- 8 [09.41.41]
- 9 Q. Do you, as Director of DC-Cam, do you know of any -- do you
- 10 know the names of any forensic specialist that could authenticate
- 11 documents that you have in your possession?
- 12 A. You're talking about the paper forensic or the content or
- 13 substance forensic?
- 14 Q. I'm talking about physical forensics, indeed, the paper on --
- 15 for example, on which the documents have been written.
- 16 A. We have so far relied on the National Archives, who has
- 17 actually had their own expertise for more than 30 years.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 I will move on to a few more specific documents. Although I know
- 20 that we are not here to speak about specific documents, I want to
- 21 discuss a few, just to highlight some issues, and I -- they will
- 22 become clear as I ask the questions.
- 23 And my colleague, Mr. Son Arun, has spoken about the "Black Book"
- 24 in the hearing of your deputy.
- 25 And my question to you, Mr. Youk Chhang: As far as you are aware,

- 1 is there an original "Black Book" that has been left over from
- 2 the DK era?
- 3 [09.43.25]
- 4 A. I talk with Mr. Arun during our break because I believe that
- 5 he was interested in this "Black Paper".
- 6 And my deputy was actually confused; he thought that it was about
- 7 the history of Democratic Kampuchea book.
- 8 No, the "Black Paper" you are referring to was the one that was
- 9 translated, so it is a translated version. It was published in
- 10 1978, in New York of the United States. The original text of this
- 11 "Black Paper" was in French, and I think you can go to Gunnar
- 12 Bergström<V>, who is one of the activists supporting the
- 13 Democratic Kampuchea, and he was based in Sweden, or you can ask
- 14 Laura Summers<V>, who is a professor of Hull University, in
- 15 England.
- 16 And there is another document which is in French version; it is
- 17 an original document, and we have in our possession as well.
- 18 And these originals have differing forms from the copied ones.
- 19 And to my recollection, there is a map at the back cover of the
- 20 book; it was the map of Cambodia during 1972-1973, and the
- 21 Ministry of Information used these maps in order to show about
- the areas under their control before 1975.
- 23 [09.44.52]
- 24 So, if you want the original copy in French, we can make copy for
- 25 you and we can hand it over to you any time you like, but, if you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 want the originals also -- And this book was also available in
- 2 Swedish as well, and in other foreign languages other than French
- 3 and English.
- Q. So I understand you correctly when you say that the original 4
- 5 version was in -- was in French; that's your answer?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct, it was in French.
- 7 [09.45.24]
- Q. And can you tell me where, as far as you are aware, the 8
- 9 original French version of this document -- of this one
- 10 particular document -- can be found? You answered just now, but I
- want to be clear about it. 11
- 12 A. I have one, actually, in my possession. If you want, I can get
- 13 a copy for you. And Mr. Gunnar Bergström is also -- has also --
- 14 also has one.
- Q. And where's this second one located, that you just mentioned? 15
- 16 A. Sorry, I have been a bit too fast. I have one copy, the
- 17 original in French, at the Documentation Center of Cambodia.
- 18 Another one with Mr. Gunnar Bergström; he is one of the
- 19 Democratic Kampuchea activists. He came to Cambodia in August
- 20 1978.
- 21 And another source that you can actually seek this document is
- 22 from Madam Laura Summers, from Hull University.
- 23 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. My-
- 24 A. (No interpretation)
- 25 [09.46.44]

- 1 Q. Sorry. I will -- I will ask the following question. You've
- 2 given us your statement about the location of the original
- 3 version of this document.
- 4 And my question to you is: Since when do you know where this
- 5 original document is located?
- 6 A. Well, I think I should take back a bit. Actually, the foreign
- 7 names was quite difficult, actually, for the interpreter to
- 8 translate, I have to admit that, because, sometime, certain names
- 9 have the Spanish origin, and I know that the interpreter have
- 10 worked very hard on that, and I also ask for your understanding
- 11 on that, that foreign names are difficult to understand.
- 12 [09.47.45]
- 13 Well, I actually had a colleague, Sann Kalyan<V>. We worked
- 14 together, went to Sweden, and we went to Mr. Gunnar Bergström,
- 15 and he actually agreed to give us all documents. He asked us to
- 16 even, you know, empty his stock concerning those documents and he
- 17 asked us, too, to collect all the documents. And he actually told
- 18 us, when we were on the -- on the train, concerning the
- 19 provenance of each documents.
- 20 So I learn about the original document, of this particular
- 21 document, sometime in 2005, when I went to visit Sweden. And
- 22 later on, those documents were flown by an airplane to --
- 23 airplane to Cambodia. And there were copies of those originals as
- 24 well.
- 25 And the one you have in English is the copy one, and, of course,

- 1 the original is in French. And sometime people consider the
- 2 English version was the original, but actually, no, they were the
- 3 copy. And those -- this particular document has the serial number
- 4 of D40000 something. So, of course, French is the original, but,
- 5 since you have read it in English language, that's why you got
- 6 this text book in English.
- 7 Q. So your statement here, today, is that you know about the
- 8 location of the French original version of the "Black Book", or
- 9 the "Black Paper" since 2005; is that your answer?
- 10 [09.49.28]
- 11 A. I do not remember the year exactly. Maud Enquist (phonetic) is
- 12 another name; he has passed away and he has been a known activist
- 13 as well of the Democratic Kampuchea. He wrote a book about who
- 14 was Pol Pot, and he actually gave a book to me. And he wrote an
- 15 article published in "Phnom Penh Post", as to why Cambodians were
- 16 executed. But I do not remember exactly when I went there; it may
- 17 be in 2004 or 2005.
- 18 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, after Mr. Dara was questioned here, in this
- 19 courtroom, have you inquired as to -- with people outside DC-Cam
- 20 as to the possible location of the original "Black Paper"?
- 21 A. As I said, this book contains in the list. However, since it
- 22 is in French as the original copy, it was -- it was not a point
- 23 of attention for other readers because many people were
- 24 interested in reading the English version. But if you search
- 25 through our document list, it may be in the serial number between

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 -- around D40 -- 40000 something.
- 2 [09.50.59]
- 3 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang, but that was not my question. My
- 4 question is: After Mr. Dara was questioned here, in this
- 5 courtroom, also regarding the "Black Paper", have you inquired
- 6 with people outside DC-Cam as to where the original "Black Paper"
- 7 might be located?
- 8 A. Sorry, I'm not quite clear. But I think I should open a small
- 9 bracket.
- 10 When he was talking about the "Black Paper", he actually referred
- 11 to the "History of Democratic Kampuchea", which was printed in
- 12 black cover as well.
- 13 But I would like to seek clarification, if you're asking me about
- 14 whether or not I have asked anyone about the possible original
- 15 source of this "Black Paper".
- 16 Q. Exactly, and that would have been -- or that's my question:
- 17 Would you have done that after Dara's testimony here, in Court?
- 18 [09.52.18]
- 19 A. Nobody has asked, nobody has asked about the original of the
- 20 "Black Paper". And actually, the content of this book was also
- 21 published in the magazine "Searching for the Truth", as well as
- 22 the DC-Cam, in Khmer.
- 23 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang, but again, that's not an answer to
- 24 my question.
- 25 After Mr. Dara's testimony, did you approach anyone from outside

- 1 DC-Cam to find out more about the current location of the
- 2 original "Black Paper", or "Black Book", or whatever -- whatever
- 3 name it goes by? The one that you discussed during your
- 4 testimony, last week, I think the French name of this document is
- 5 "Le Dossier Noir".
- 6 A. I did not ask anyone because I have one in my possession,
- 7 already, at the DC-Cam, and I told Mr. Arun earlier on that, if
- 8 he wanted a copy, then I can get a copy for him. I have one with
- 9 me now, and of course this -- this particular book has a map at
- 10 the back -- on the back cover.
- 11 [09.53.48]
- 12 Q. Mr. Chhang, did you send any emails regarding the "Black
- 13 Paper", after Mr. Dara's testimony relating to the location of
- 14 the original document?
- 15 A. I asked Mr. Gunnar Bergström and Laura Summers whether or not
- 16 they still have in their possession the originals of this
- 17 document.
- 18 Q. And what exactly did you ask them?
- 19 A. I can actually forward the email exchange with them, because I
- 20 asked them whether or not they know the location, the current
- 21 location of the original of the "Black Paper", because Mr. Son
- 22 Arun asked me. And I asked them whether or not they know the
- 23 document. And I have one copy -- I have one with me as well. But,
- 24 if you want to see the email exchange, then I can of course
- 25 forward you a copy. But I simply ask Laura and -- and others to

- 1 tell me exactly where they are, if they have any knowledge of the
- 2 current location of this original.
- 3 [19.55.18]
- 4 Q. So let me get this straight: Even though you, yourself, have
- 5 an original French copy of the "Black Paper", you still sent an
- 6 email to the two individuals you just mentioned to find out
- 7 whether they possessed an original version of the "Black Paper";
- 8 is that how I should understand your answer?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. Why could you not just go to your own files and provide us or
- 11 Mr. Son Arun with a copy of this original "Black Paper"?
- 12 A. As a matter of fact, I have told Mr. Son Arun already that the
- 13 original of the -- this paper is available here, but this
- 14 original, I receive it from Mr. Gunnar Bergström, and I asked him
- 15 whether or not he remember that he gave -- he gave it to me back
- 16 then. So, in case we want to track down the chain of custody of
- 17 this document, then I can check with him that he remember that he
- 18 had given that paper "Black Paper" to me.
- 19 [09.56.47]
- 20 And again, this -- this document is available in our document
- 21 list. And this is to, I think, assist me in explaining to you the
- 22 possible question concerning the chain of custody, because I have
- 23 to double check with the person who actually handed the original
- 24 over to me back then, whether or not he remember giving it to me.
- 25 So there was another one who actually had the original, as well,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 but he has already passed away.
- 2 Q. So why did you inquire with Professor Laura Summers?
- 3 A. Laura and I are close friends, and we-- I, myself, have
- translated Om Hem -- Uncle Hem's book, as well. And Laura 4
- 5 actually shared with me a lot of things. For example, anything to
- 6 do with the European aspect of this, I would seek her advice. Of
- 7 course, she is an American origin, but she has resided in Europe.
- Q. Thank you for that, but that was not my question. My question 8
- 9 was: Why would you ask Professor Laura Summers as to where an
- original version of the "Black Dossier", or the "Black Paper" 10
- 11 would be -- could be located?
- 12 [09.58.27]
- A. I can go to my email again. I asked her whether or not she 13
- 14 knows the current location of the "Black Paper" and whether she
- 15 remembers about this "Black Paper". I can actually refer to my
- 16 email concerning the question I asked her.
- 17 Q. And then my question would be: Why did you ask her that
- 18 question?
- 19 A. In order to confirm the authenticity of the document,
- 20 particularly the person who first gave this book to me. So that
- 21 is to avoid any suspicion concerning the original handling of the
- 22 document.
- 23 Professor Laura Summers was the person who actually had extensive
- 24 knowledge about -- about this, and she has been in Europe for
- 25 quite a long time, so she knows where the document goes. So it's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 -- it's (unintelligible).
- 2 [09.59.39]
- Q. I will leave this point be for now, but I reserve the right to 3
- come back to it at a later stage. 4
- 5 I would like to now move to the magazine called "Democratic
- 6 Kampuchea" which is in your -- in DC-Cam's possession; am I
- 7 correct?
- Can you tell us something about the provenance of the "Democratic 8
- 9 Kampuchea" magazine that is available at DC-Cam?
- 10 Let me make it more simple: Are these original "Democratic
- 11 Kampuchea" magazines or are they copies?
- 12 A. We have both copies, the originals and the copied ones. But
- 13 originally they were not called magazines, and we do not really
- 14 want to feed these words, to -- to offense Uncle Nuon. Indeed, we
- used the term "magazine" at our place. And we have these 15
- 16 bulletins published during those times. And we learned that
- 17 people -- the supporters of the regime published several of these
- 18 bulletins, and we obtained both the originals and the copied
- 19 ones.
- 20 Q. Is it correct, Mr. Youk Chhang, that you obtained several of
- 21 -- several microfilms of Kampuchea -- "Democratic Kampuchea"
- 22 magazine from Europe? Do you remember this?
- 23 [10.01.33]
- 24 A. Yes. I think that, perhaps, I need to seek clarification on
- 25 this question, whether you ask what this film come into -- like

- 1 the roll or -- or in what form.
- 2 Q. I'll rephrase the question: Did you receive photocopies or
- 3 microfilms of the "Democratic Kampuchea" magazine from a source
- 4 in Europe?
- 5 A. I received some copied documents from Mr. Gunnar Bergström,
- 6 from Lund University, and the original documents from France,
- 7 through civil parties and other people.
- 8 [10.02.41]
- 9 Q. So you mention the -- that Mr. Bergström provided you with
- 10 those documents, and then the original documents came from
- 11 France.
- 12 Were there any other people that provided you with these
- "Democratic Kampuchea" magazines?
- 14 A. I don't remember their names, but there are other people who
- 15 provided us with these documents. He is a person who worked at
- 16 the "New York Times"; maybe Rosanna Cross (phonetic), who also
- 17 provided me with document concerning the magazine of the DK.
- 18 And we obtained some other documents from individuals whose name
- 19 I don't remember. I like reading magazines and I -- that's why I
- 20 really want them to be collected.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. At the Investigating Judges, you have made a
- 22 statement, and you have stated that, on some original Democratic
- 23 Kampuchea era documents, we find annotations in Vietnamese.
- 24 Can you tell us on what type of documents we find these
- 25 Vietnamese annotations?

- 1 Just for the sake of clarification, I'm moving on to a different
- 2 topic than the one I was discussing with "Democratic Kampuchea",
- 3 so that everyone is clear on this.
- 4 A. So far as I remember, these documents -- these annotations
- 5 were made on the cover of the document.
- 6 [10.04.48]
- 7 Q. And what sort of documents are we talking about?
- 8 A. According to Mr. Ben Kiernan's consideration, it belonged to
- 9 the Santebal, as I indicated.
- 10 Q. You've also stated at the Investigating Judges -- or during
- 11 your interview with the Investigating Judges, that, in 1979, the
- 12 Vietnamese controlled Phnom Penh, and that there were Vietnamese
- 13 experts in all the ministries, including experts that did
- 14 documentation work, and that the Vietnamese had access to all
- 15 places in Phnom Penh, and that they collected documents and
- 16 started doing documentation.
- 17 Can you give me an estimate of for how many years did Vietnamese
- 18 have this effective control over the DK-era documents. Are we
- 19 speaking about one year, two years, three years, longer?
- 20 A. I'm not sure I remember the exact number of years, but when I
- 21 obtained the document, I was trying to ask for the source of the
- 22 document because I noted the Vietnamese annotation. People at the
- 23 Ministry of Interior indicated that, back then, there were
- 24 Vietnamese experts, and one person was asked to collect
- 25 documents, and I was locating that person until -- all the way to

- 1 Hanoi, but he already was deceased, and I could not verify this
- 2 information.
- 3 [10.06.46]
- 4 I don't know how many years the Vietnamese experts were in Phnom
- 5 Penh. You may refer to the Ministry of Interior at the archives
- 6 section, and I remember that another expert -- or two experts are
- 7 still alive, but perhaps they are in Australia now.
- 8 We-- Back then, when the Vietnamese were in Phnom Penh, we worked
- 9 together, people worked together with Vietnamese on
- 10 documentation. And again, although I am trying to locate the
- 11 person who would be the expert on this, I learned that he passed
- 12 away.
- 13 [10.07.37]
- 14 Q. Thank you. And you've stated you do not know the exact number
- 15 of years that the Vietnamese had this total control over the
- 16 documents that were available in Phnom Penh. But, considering
- 17 this control, this effective control over the DK-era documents by
- 18 the Vietnamese, do you think it's possible that Vietnamese
- 19 officials destroyed or perhaps removed evidence and documents
- 20 that would implicate Vietnam in certain atrocities that were
- 21 committed on Cambodian soil?
- 22 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 23 Your Honours, we would to object to this question.
- 24 It calls for the witness to speculate and it goes into an area as
- 25 to which he's indicated he has no personal knowledge.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Objection is sustained.
- 3 Witness is instructed not to respond to this question.
- 4 BY MR. PAUW:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. I will move on.
- 6 And I will speak a little bit about the trial -- the 1979 trial
- 7 of Pol Pot and Mr. Ieng Sary.
- 8 [10.09.15]
- 9 Q. Is it true, to your knowledge, that a lot of documents were
- 10 collected at S-21 in the run-up and preparation for the 1979
- 11 trial?
- 12 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 13 A. I was looking for the documents, and later on I learned that
- 14 these documents were sent from Ministry of Information, when Mr.
- 15 Keo Chanda was the head. And the documents were placed in 40
- 16 boxes. And I also noted other documents, including orders,
- 17 warrants, and a book published on the Democratic Kampuchea. This
- 18 book was handed to my niece when I came to Cambodia first time.
- 19 And you can also find this information published by John -- John
- 20 Quigley<V>, and, indeed, it is also available at the DC-Cam.
- 21 [10.10.36]
- 22  $\,$  Q. It does not exactly answer my question, but I will rephrase
- 23 the question in a more general sense: Do you know -- do you know
- 24 -- how documents that were used for the 1979 trial were collected
- 25 by the Vietnamese?

- 1 A. No, I don't; I was not in Cambodia during those years.
- 2 Q. The 1979 trial is generally considered to have been a sham
- 3 trial which was only aimed at proving the pre-established quilt
- 4 of the Accused, and only inculpatory evidence was shown.
- 5 Do you think it's possible that exculpatory evidence may have
- 6 been destroyed by the organizers of the tribunal or perhaps
- 7 removed to Vietnam?
- 8 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 9 Your Honours, two objections: first, my learned friend is
- 10 testifying, I'm afraid, and then, again, veering into an area
- 11 that's clearly beyond the witness's personal knowledge.
- 12 [10.11.52]
- 13 MR. PAUW:
- 14 I will clearly accept any ruling by your Chamber on this matter,
- 15 but I don't think it's really fair to describe my portrayal of
- 16 the 1979 trial as a sham trial to amount to "testifying". If that
- 17 is the position of the OCP, I think the room for speaking in this
- 18 courtroom would be very limited indeed. So I stand by my
- 19 description of the 1979 trial as a sham trial.
- 20 Other than that, if you think the witness should not answer this
- 21 particular question, I of course abide by your ruling.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Objection is sustained. Witness is instructed not to respond to
- 24 this question. Counsel is now advised not to pose any question
- 25 soliciting speculation or personal conclusion from the witness.

- 1 The Chamber has ruled on this time and again, and we hope that
- 2 the professional, highly-qualified counsel would never resort to
- 3 putting such questions again during the Court proceedings from
- 4 now on until its completion.
- 5 [10.13.28]
- 6 MR. PAUW:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. I will strive to do so, naturally.
- 8 BY MY PAUW:
- 9 Q. To your knowledge, Mr. Youk Chhang, is it true that Helen
- 10 Jarvis went to Vietnam to look for documents that -- the
- 11 suspicion was, at least -- had been carried away by the
- 12 Vietnamese after their invasion of Cambodia?
- 13 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 14 A. If the Chamber wishes me to respond on behalf of Dr. Helen
- 15 Jarvis, I may wish to do so.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The question is more about whether you know or you don't know.
- 18 Indeed, you are not supposed to talk on behalf of the other
- 19 person, so you may respond to the question as yes or no.
- 20 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 21 Indeed, I know that she went there.
- 22 BY MR. PAUW:
- 23 Q. Do you know what the results of this mission were? Did she
- 24 come back with any documents? And if so, which documents?
- 25 MR. YOUK CHHANG:

- 1 A. I was not expecting that she would be qualified in collecting
- 2 documentation -- documents, and we have conflict personalities, I
- 3 may have to say, but I feel that she has gathered some documents
- 4 from Vietnam.
- 5 [10.15.17]
- 6 Q. Just to be clear, I'm asking about your personal knowledge
- 7 Do you know whether she gathered some documents in Vietnam?
- 8 A. I know that she went there, but whether she has gathered
- 9 documents from there is beyond my knowledge.
- 10 Q. Was she there on a mission for DC-Cam, to your knowledge, or
- 11 did she go there on a private visit?
- 12 A. Ms. Helen Jarvis had different roles, and Mr. Ben Kiernan
- 13 asked her to prepare this manual. And she normally liked
- 14 collecting information or documents wherever she could find,
- 15 although her role was as a consultant concerning how data should
- 16 be input in the database. She -- with her role as the consultant,
- 17 she performed other roles instead. Perhaps she went to Vietnam as
- 18 -- in her capacity as our staff, I don't know, but she was a
- 19 consultant on database inputting.
- 20 [10.17.16]
- 21 Q. To your knowledge, did she go one time to Vietnam, or multiple
- 22 times, to collect DK-era documents? To be clear -- sorry.
- 23 A. I don't remember whether she went there to collect documents,
- 24 but she went there several times.
- 25 Q. Did you ever ask her whether she found any DK-era documents in

- 1 Vietnam?
- 2 A. I didn't ask her, but she answered to me what kind of
- 3 documents she collected back from Vietnam.
- 4 Q. So can you tell me what sort of documents she collected back
- 5 from Vietnam?
- 6 A. I think there were printed documents in the forms of books and
- 7 the books are in our library, the books that she brought to us.
- 8 [10.18.41]
- 9 Q. Did she only bring back printed documents such as books or did
- 10 she also bring back other documentary evidence?
- 11 A. In short, I say -- I can say that she did not bring us any
- 12 documents in Khmer language. There were printed documents in
- 13 Vietnamese in the form of brochure. Again, she never located any
- 14 Khmer Rouge or DK-related regime document in Khmer and provided
- 15 to me even a single page in that form, no.
- 16 Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang, for that answer.
- 17 I may follow up on that at a later stage, but for now, you have
- 18 stated that over time DC-Cam has been provided with records of
- 19 the trial proceedings of the 1979 trial, also excerpts of certain
- 20 CPK -- CPK documents, and certain witness statements, among other
- 21 things, relating to the 1979 trial.
- 22 Do you know who guarded these documents immediately after the
- 23 trial proceedings in 1979?
- 24 [10.20.37]
- 25 A. I think you may have been mistaken; I said that I got a nephew

- 1 in Cambodia. He heard about his uncle -- about me collecting
- 2 documents about the Khmer Rouge. Then, he approached me with a
- 3 document of the DK, in particular the document with reference to
- 4 the Tribunal back in 1979.
- 5 [10.21.15]
- 6 I also searched for document and I approached Madam Lim Ky, and
- 7 then I met another person who referred me to the Tribunal-related
- 8 documents. The documents had been collected and kept under the
- 9 Ministry of Propaganda supervised by Mr. Keo Chanda, and the
- 10 documents are still there. So I can say that, apart from the book
- 11 or the document that given to me by my niece -- I correct, not
- 12 nephew -- I had no other collection of the documents from the
- 13 Tribunal back in 1979.
- 14 Q. I just want to be clear on this. I'm just not sure if I
- 15 understood the answer correctly, but are you telling me that you
- 16 were provided with certain documents relating to the 1979 trial
- 17 by or through the involvement of your niece?
- 18 A. Yes, my niece gave me a book. The book was actually available
- 19 at the market, and there's still about a hundred copies at the
- 20 National Archives. This book is the compilation of how the 1979
- 21 Tribunal arranged and I, at a later date from that piece of
- 22 information, tried to locate further information.
- 23 [10.23.18]
- 24 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, you have co-authored an article. And it's
- 25 been discussed in testimony several times and it is called. The

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 article's title is "Documenting the Crimes of Democratic
- 2 Kampuchea" and you wrote it -- you co-wrote it with John
- 3 Ciorciari. It's true that you co-authored this, this article;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. It is true, we co-authored, but I was playing small role in
- 6 that, because the term "author" Mr. John and preposition "with"
- 7 has been used, not "and". So I hope it is clear.
- 8 May I add a few more points, please?
- 9 Q. I will move also looking at the clock and my question. I will
- 10 not show you the actual page, but I will just read it to you; it
- 11 can be found on page 231 of this article. And on this page, you
- 12 state that the principle shortcoming of the 1979 trial document
- 13 is -- and I quote -- "the appearance of political bias"<V>.
- 14 Can you explain what is meant by this observation that the
- principle shortcoming of the 1979 trial document is "the
- 16 appearance of political bias"?
- 17 [10.25.20]
- 18 A. I do not attempt to ask for the production of the document to
- 19 me, but this document was published for the public purpose. And I
- 20 -- when I worked for the UN, in 1992-1993, for the election, I
- 21 noted that several documents were destroyed. In Stung Treng, some
- 22 documents were plunged into the Mekong River to destroy, and
- 23 during the Democratic Kampuchea regime, several other documents
- 24 were destroyed. And for me, we -- I feel that it was politically
- 25 motivated. The reason why documents were destroyed could have

- 1 been linked to political gain or political benefit, and as in
- 2 Stung Treng, documents were destroyed by plunging into the
- 3 riverbed of the Mekong River.
- 4 [10.27.03]
- 5 I normally feel that a piece of document is worth like an old
- 6 stone of the Angkor -- Angkorian regime, so I would like them to
- 7 be well kept.
- 8 And, for the reasons that documents had been destroyed, I can
- 9 conclude that they could have been done on political ground.
- 10 And, with regard to the document that you are now referring to, I
- 11 did not co-author the article; I was interviewed by the author
- 12 and I was the person who provided him with the document -- with
- 13 the statement. It was not an article for a normal newspaper. This
- 14 interview was written for a scholar research paper.
- 15 Q. Do you agree with the contents of this specific article on
- 16 which your name appears?
- 17 A. As I stated, I agree and that the article was written by John,
- 18 and I was the person who provided him with extra information.
- 19 [10.28.50]
- 20 Q. Is it true that you distributed this particular article a mere
- 21 two or three weeks ago? I believe it was during the week of the
- 22 -- Mr. Dara's testimony.
- 23 A. This article was published or written in 2004. I don't know
- 24 why everyone has been taken by surprise because the article was
- 25 published long before the establishment of this Court, and I

- 1 asked that the Co-Prosecutors buy the paper, but they said that
- 2 they could not afford to buy it. So I made copies for Michele
- 3 Lee, and the book, indeed, was available long ago in 2004.
- 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. Yes, we are not surprised by the
- 5 article.
- 6 My question was: Did you redistribute this article in the last
- 7 month by sending it to recipients of your email list?
- 8 A. I sent emails every day. Perhaps the recipients including Mr.
- 9 Michael Karnavas, Andrew Cayley, and other people concerned. I
- 10 just wish to ensure that any piece of information I sent out is
- 11 to ensure whether there is justice here at this Court. And people
- 12 who have received my email are people in the list of my
- 13 recipients were off of more than 4,000 people.
- 14 [10.31.04]
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Thank you, Witness and Counsel.
- 17 Since it is now appropriate time for adjournment, we will take
- 18 the adjournment until 10.50.
- 19 Court officer is now instructed to assist the witness during the
- 20 adjournment and have him returned to the courtroom before we
- 21 resume the next session.
- 22 Mr. Ang Udom, you may proceed.
- 23 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours.
- 25 Due to health reason of my client, Mr. Ieng Sary, has back pain

- 1 and he asked that he be excused from this courtroom the whole day
- 2 and that he can be allowed to observe the proceedings from the
- 3 holding cell.
- 4 [10.32.12]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 We note the request by Mr. Ieng Sary through his counsel that he
- 7 would be asking to be excused from participating in this
- 8 courtroom and that he would follow the proceeding from the
- 9 holding cell, through remote participation, due to his health
- 10 condition.
- 11 The Chamber hereby grants the request and allows Ieng Sary to
- 12 observe the proceeding remotely from the holding cell.
- 13 However, the Chamber would ask that counsels for Ieng Sary
- 14 produce the waiver signed by Ieng Sary or given thumbprint by the
- 15 accused person.
- 16 AV officers are now instructed to ensure that the holding cell is
- 17 linked to the audiovisual equipment so that the accused person
- 18 can observe the proceeding from that holding cell.
- 19 Security personnels are now instructed to take the accused person
- 20 to that holding cell.
- 21 The Court is adjourned.
- 22 (Court recesses from 1033H to 1051H)
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 25 Before we hand over the floor to Nuon Chea defence counsel to put

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 further question to the witness, the Chamber wishes to tell the
- 2 witness that, if you refer to the Accused, you should address him
- 3 by his name. You should not use his alias -- that is -- that
- 4 should be the ways the witness should address so that it is clear
- 5 for the record. For example, Khieu Samphan, or Nuon Chea, or Ieng
- 6 Sary, who are the Accused here, they should be addressed by their
- 7 names.
- 8 So, now, I hand over to the counsel for Nuon Chea to put further
- 9 question to the witness.
- 10 You may proceed.
- 11 MR. PAUW:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President, Mr. Youk Chhang. Before I ask my
- 13 questions -- I know that I have raised this issue at the
- 14 beginning of my questioning, but I'm looking at the clock, and it
- 15 would greatly assist me in my preparation for the following hour
- 16 if you could give me any indication as to whether I would have
- 17 more time this afternoon. Otherwise, I will have to really
- 18 compress my questioning, and that might not be the most efficient
- 19 way to proceed if I then, indeed, get afforded more time in the
- 20 afternoon. So is there any further indication you could give me,
- 21 Your Honours?
- 22 [10.54.30]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 To make it clear regarding the time available for the counsel for
- 25 Nuon Chea, the Chamber decides that one half session in this

- 1 afternoon will be given to the counsel for Nuon Chea to put
- 2 questions this afternoon. So your question time will be finished
- 3 by 2.40, this afternoon.
- 4 BY MR. PAUW:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. That is very helpful.
- 6 Q. So, Mr. Youk Chhang, we -- before the break, we were
- 7 discussing your email list, and you indicated that you send out
- 8 emails -- many emails to many people. And we know this, we've all
- 9 received your email communications.
- 10 But my actual question was: Did you recently resend the article
- 11 which you co-wrote with Mr. John Ciorciari, documenting the
- 12 crimes of Democratic Kampuchea?
- 13 [10.55.53]
- 14 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. So I will assume that you still stand by its contents. And in
- 17 this article it is noted that one of the principle shortcomings
- 18 of the 1979 trial documents is -- and I quote -- "the appearance
- 19 of political bias".
- 20 And I, again, would like you to explain what is meant by this
- 21 "appearance of political bias". And why does it render these
- 22 documents--
- 23 Or now let me ask you another question: Why is that a principle
- 24 shortcoming of the 1979 trial documents?
- 25 A. As I said earlier, we introduced an expert from the United

- 1 Nation, in 1998, to visit the documents at the Tuol Sleng Museum,
- 2 and we-- The Accused were not present at that time. So, at that
- 3 time, we saw that it was more than necessary to have an
- 4 independent tribunal the Tribunal have before us now, and we
- 5 believe that there will be other information that may be of use
- 6 as well. That's why I introduced him to the place.
- 7 [10.57.35]
- 8 Q. You mentioned in your answer earlier, before the break, that
- 9 certain documents had been destroyed by throwing them in the
- 10 water.
- 11 Can you tell us who destroyed documents by throwing them in the
- 12 water?
- 13 A. For documents thrown away into the water, you may enquire the
- 14 officer of the Ministry of Propaganda and Information, in Stung
- 15 Treng province.
- 16 At that time, I thought that more than 100,000 people wanted a
- 17 tribunal. So, at that time, we met with them and we ask where the
- 18 documents had been. And then, at that time, they -- I told them
- 19 that tens of thousands of people have sent information to
- 20 Ministry of Propagandas and Culture to establish a tribunal, and
- 21 they told me that those documents had already been thrown into
- 22 waters. And I had the name list of people who were actually
- 23 responsible for taking care of those documents, and I believe
- 24 that those officers are still working in that place now. And I
- 25 have the name list of them in my center.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 [10.59.02]
- 2 Q. So, Mr. Youk Chhang, I assume you would be willing to provide
- 3 the Court with this list of names, should Trial Chamber request
- 4 you to do so?
- 5 A. Stung Treng province has in their position the name list of
- 6 people who have actually filed a complaint in 1982 or '83. And in
- 7 that document, there were names of people who had prepare
- 8 documents and those whom I ask them about the document that had
- 9 been thrown into the river.
- 10 Q. You just mentioned that you, yourself, possess a list of names
- 11 of people that were involved in handling those documents, or is
- 12 that--
- 13 I hear -- I see you shaking your head; that's not a correct
- 14 rendering of your words?
- 15 A. The list was not prepared by us; it was prepared already by
- 16 people at Stung Treng. And we located the list and we were trying
- 17 to verify it. So, to be clear, the list was prepared by the
- 18 Department of Propaganda and Culture of the province, and we
- 19 asked question to people who took hold of the list, the list of
- 20 people who filed their complaints in 1983 or 1982, and they told
- 21 us that the documents had already been thrown into the Mekong
- 22 River.
- 23 [11.00.48]
- 24 Q. Exactly. And this list is now in your possession, and you
- 25 could give that list to the Tribunal if the Trial Chamber

- 1 requests you to hand over that list?
- 2 A. Yes, indeed.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 Another question relating to a confession that was found at S-21
- 5 and it is the -- a confession of a certain Ros Nhim<V>, and
- 6 apparently his aliases were Nhim Ros or Moul Sambath<V>.
- 7 First of all, do you recognize this name even if I pronounce it
- 8 in the way that I do?
- 9 [11.01.45]
- 10 A. Yes, I do remember this person's name.
- 11 Q. To your knowledge how many versions of his confession exists
- 12 at Tuol Sleng prison?
- 13 A. I don't know for sure, but I am sure that there will be such a
- 14 confessions. And you can also refer to our database to see how
- 15 many pages this confession contains.
- 16 Q. Do you know -- and it may very be that you do not know, but do
- 17 you know whether the confessions that are available at S-21 --
- 18 are they all the confessions that he has delivered, or might
- 19 there be confessions missing? I'm asking for your personal
- 20 knowledge.
- 21 A. I have been trying to locate all the confessions because I
- 22 know that there were more than 10,000 prisoners. Guard gave us
- 23 interviews told us that everyone who entered S-21 had to have
- 24 their photo taken and biography written. So I also found out that
- 25 there is another list kept by Lach Vorleak Kalyan<V>, and to see

- 1 whether any other individuals could have borrowed the confessions
- 2 -- or books and, if so, could we locate those borrowers so that
- 3 the documents be returned to the S-21 and can be verified.
- 4 Until this day, I can say that we cannot gather all the
- 5 confessions. We have roughly -- over 4,000 confessions, and I am
- 6 sure that we can locate further confessions if we're aiming to
- 7 get more.
- 8 Q. Thank you. And, in your quest for finding more confessions,
- 9 have you ever specifically looked for more confessions as
- 10 delivered by Mr. Ros Nhim?
- 11 [11.04.47]
- 12 A. I'm not sure if the documents are from Tuol Sleng. They're -
- 13 this document could be available in microfilm, and there is no
- 14 reason that they must be transfer to be stored at the DC-Cam. And
- 15 I feel that there is a copy of Ros Nhim's confession in our
- 16 office.
- 17 Q. My question was: Have you ever looked for other confessions
- 18 that may or may not have been made by Mr. Ros Nhim?
- 19 A. I'm not sure on this.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 I will briefly move on to a next topic that we also discussed
- 22 with your deputy, and that is the archive of King-Father<V>
- 23 Norodom Sihanouk. And your deputy has testified that this archive
- 24 apparently existed in online form for a short period of time.
- 25 Do you know where the hard copy archive of the King-Father

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 Norodom Sihanouk is located?
- 2 [11.06.39]
- 3 A. I think I need to talk in details a little bit on this. We got
- 4 the archives of the King that was online temporarily. We printed
- 5 the documents from the website. Later on, the King -- the former
- 6 King made the decision that those documents had to be kept in
- 7 Belgium, and people asked why the documents could not be stored
- 8 at DC-Cam. We received images and documents through Mr. Julio
- 9 Jeldres<V>, the person who writes the former King's biographies;
- 10 he is now in Monash University < V>, Australia.
- 11 Q. Just making sure I understand you correctly; are you telling
- 12 us that the actual hard copy archive of King-Father Norodom
- 13 Sihanouk is located in Belgium?
- 14 A. I remember that it was in the Far East (sic) University, in
- 15 Belgium, and there was a debate also why the documents could not
- 16 be stored in Cambodia; instead, they is stored in Belgium. I
- 17 think it's a long story.
- 18 Q. Do you know if this archive is now accessible to outside
- 19 researchers?
- 20 A. Since it is the Far East Institution, it is for the research
- 21 purpose, perhaps the documents could be accessible online; we
- 22 should try.
- 23 Q. Have you tried to access to King-Father Norodom Sihanouk's
- 24 archive in Belgium?
- 25 A. Are you referring to the current situation? If currently, no.

- 1 Q. And when the collection was available online, did you make
- 2 printouts of all the documents that had been posted online by the
- 3 person in charge of that particular website?
- 4 A. Could you please simplify your question and make it shorter to
- 5 be precise?
- 6 Q. You've stated that the archive of the King-Father has been
- 7 posted online for a short time, and you've also stated that you
- 8 made printouts of several of the documents that were available
- 9 online.
- 10 My question is: Did you make printouts of all the documents that
- 11 were available online?
- 12 A. No. At that -- at one moment, we -- I tried to copy the whole
- 13 website, and I also learned that the King would like to have it
- 14 sold to other institution. I tried to copy the whole website, but
- 15 the internet was very slow, it was not successful. We only
- 16 obtained some documents that could be printed out back then, not
- 17 the whole document.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 We'll leave this topic for what it is, and I would like to
- 20 briefly come back to something you stated this morning, because I
- 21 was unclear about one -- regarding one particular issue. It came
- 22 up when we spoke about forensic testing of documents, and you
- 23 described a conversation you had had with representatives of this
- 24 tribunal, in 2005, regarding the possible authentication of
- 25 documents. And you seem to say -- and I don't want to put words

- 1 in your mouth, but you seem to say that the Court representatives
- 2 seemed not very keen to establish proper procedures to have these
- 3 documents authenticated.
- 4 [11.11.54]
- 5 Can you tell us a bit more about those talks you had with the
- 6 Court representatives?
- 7 A. Normally, I never had oral conversation with a oral
- 8 conversation with a -- people at the Court; I normally
- 9 communicated through writings. I also did so with the Royal
- 10 Government of Cambodia. I stated clearly that it is really
- 11 important that there should be mechanism to ensure the
- 12 authenticity of documents because the purpose of having the
- documents is to ensure that it will be properly used.
- 14 And these communications or correspondences that I sent to people
- 15 have been well kept at my place. I have received -- I talk -- I
- 16 wrote to the Office of Co-Investigating Judges and the Office of
- 17 Co-Prosecutors, and we told them that documents would be given to
- 18 them. But so far there has not been -- there have not been any
- 19 memorandums sign jointly.
- 20 And when Ms. Michelle Lee and Mr. Sean Visoth were still in
- 21 office, I talked to them and asked them whether we can -- could
- 22 establish any procedure to ensure the authenticity of the
- 23 documents. And I was a little bit angry or disappointed, back
- 24 then, because people whom I contacted did not even respond to me
- 25 whether we should have such mechanism in place because I was

- 1 trying my best to ensure that we got the proper mechanism in
- 2 place. I was trying to contact the Office of Administration in
- 3 particular. I didn't even talk to Office of Co-Prosecutors
- 4 directly, in the first place. We never received any comments from
- 5 the Office of Administration, but, indeed, we received only one
- 6 response from the Office of Administration, thanking us for
- 7 providing the Court or Office of Administration with roughly
- 8 500,000 pages of document.
- 9 [11.14.33]
- 10 And at our place, any piece of document to be copied needs to be
- 11 calculated the cost -- need to be calculated locally and we
- 12 wanted a process, in particular an agreement with the Court. For
- 13 example, if defence counsels wish to verify the document or
- 14 challenge any authenticity of the document, then we could have
- 15 the agreement in place, but so far we have never had such paper
- 16 signed.
- 17 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. That is clear.
- 18 I would like to ask a few questions as to what you do with
- 19 documents once you have received them. And you've already stated
- 20 last week that DC-Cam does not assess whether a document contains
- 21 inculpatory information, and I would like to explore this a
- 22 little bit further.
- 23 And I would like to first start by showing the cover page for the
- 24 book "Seven Candidates for Prosecution", and it's E -- document
- 25 E3/48, and it's ERN -- English ERN 00393471 until 3641, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 French ERN 00729651 until 9662; and the Khmer ERN 00742603 until
- 2 2623. Can we--
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Counsel, could you repeat the question? Because you were too fast
- 5 when reading out the ERN numbers. Please be slower for good
- 6 record.
- 7 MR. PAUW:
- 8 My apologies, Your Honour. I have not actually asked the
- 9 question, but I would like to have the cover page for this book,
- 10 "The Seven Candidates for Prosecution", shown on the screen so
- 11 the -- so that the witness can testify as to whether this is
- 12 indeed a DC-Cam publication. So, with your permission, if it's
- 13 necessary, I can repeat the ERN numbers, and I will speak more
- 14 slowly.
- 15 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 16 A. As counsel notes, this document has been republished by a
- 17 university in the United States, and we got a page called
- 18 disclaimer; it was the research done by Mr. Steve Heder and
- 19 another author. And because we would like to publish this
- 20 document again that we ask them to do so and normally we use the
- 21 term "disclaimer", and for this reason, it is the authors who
- 22 shall be referred to. And these documents are relevant to the --
- 23 to the -- telegrams, rather.
- 24 [11.18.50]
- 25 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. And it's true that this book

- 1 contains a disclaimer basically saying that "the views expressed
- 2 in this book are the views of the authors" -- in this case, Mr.
- 3 Stephen Heder and Mr. Brian D. Tittemore < V>.
- 4 I just point out to you that this book can be found on the DC-Cam
- 5 website, and it's listed under "Publications". So you have been
- 6 involved in the publication of this book, as, indeed, the title
- 7 page shows.
- 8 But I would actually-- I'm not that interested in the book
- 9 itself, but I'm interested in the foreword. If we can scroll to
- 10 the foreword to the second edition, which can be found on ERN
- 11 00393483?
- 12 [11.19.52]
- 13 I can tell you that's-- Well, maybe it's for your -- for your
- 14 overview, it's best if we scroll to the bottom of the second
- 15 page, and there we see the -- see who wrote this foreword. It
- 16 would be Mr. Youk Chhang, Director of DC-Cam, and Sir John D.
- 17 Ciorciari, DC-Cam Legal Advisor.
- 18 So, if we scroll back to the first page of the foreword and look
- 19 at the first paragraph of this foreword, you state that the
- 20 Accountability Project is "now represents one of the core
- 21 activities of the Center"<V>.
- 22 And in the second paragraph, you state that the Accountability
- 23 Project "has two major goals". And the first goal, according to
- 24 this foreword, "is to produce information that can serve as
- 25 potential evidence in the prospective criminal trials of certain

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 former CPK officials"<V>.
- 2 And the third paragraph -- I will quote -- you will -- you state
- 3 that "the Accountability Project has two major parts. The first,
- 4 which is related to the publication of this report, involves
- 5 analysis of documentary evidence."<V>
- 6 And I just note for the record that, according to this foreword,
- 7 you -- or DC-Cam analyzes evidence, and this analysis leads to or
- 8 it's related to this report, which is called "Seven Candidates
- 9 for Prosecution".
- 10 [11.21.45]
- 11 Can you tell us in what way you analyze documentary evidence, as
- 12 you state in this foreword to this book?
- 13 A. As I indicated with the relevant disclaimer, it is Steve Heder
- 14 and the other co-author who are responsible for the content of
- 15 the article.
- 16 And as a person -- evidence in this text, I may say that law does
- 17 not monopoly "evidence". And Steve Heder used documents that
- 18 relevant to the interviews, telegrams, and confessions.
- 19 And if you look at the first paragraph and the second paragraph
- 20 about a cancellation, the part which you skipped -- you didn't
- 21 read it out -- if you look at section 2, I remember very clearly
- 22 that we discuss with John that our position was a national
- 23 reconciliation. So you may refer to that text again.
- 24 [11.23.28]
- 25 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, I do not dispute that one of the goals of

- 1 DC-Cam is national reconciliation. I just note that, according to
- 2 your own foreword, the first goal of the Accountability Project
- 3 "is to produce information that can serve as potential
- 4 evidence"<V> and the second goal "is to foster
- 5 reconciliation"<V>. I'm not saying that there is a certain level
- 6 of hierarchy here, I'm just noting that you note -- you comment
- 7 on the producing of potential evidence as your first goal.
- 8 Secondly, I agree with you that there is a disclaimer in this
- 9 book and that it is the views of Mr. Steve Heder and Mr. Brian
- 10 Tittemore that are reflected in this book. I'm not asking you
- 11 about this. I am looking at the third paragraph, and there it
- 12 states that "the Accountability Project has two major parts". The
- 13 first involves analysis of documentary evidence. It is not Steve
- 14 Heder analyzing, it is not Brian Tittemore analyzing; this is
- 15 people at the Accountability Project analyzing documentary
- 16 evidence.
- 17 [11.24.42]
- 18 What type of analysis do you perform regarding this evidence?
- 19 A. I think this section may be relevant to the translation of the
- 20 telegrams, because we would like to have telegrams printed and we
- 21 asked for funds to print -- to translate all the telegrams into
- 22 English. But the situation was not favourable and the documents
- 23 could not be printed, and during the course of translation of the
- 24 telegrams, we made request to the Court. We asked our former
- 25 staff, Mr. Sour Bunsou<V>, to write a brief summary of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 telegrams, and all the documents that had been summarized would
- 2 need to be sent to the Court to expedite the proceedings. And I
- 3 believe that these portions may be relevant to the telegrams that
- 4 are translated, I quess.
- 5 Q. So your answer is that the analysis that is done as part of
- 6 the Accountability Project relates to the translation of
- 7 telegrams; that is your answer?
- 8 A. (No interpretation)
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Witness, could you please wait?
- 11 International Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 12 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President. We were reluctant to intervene for a
- 14 while, there, but we do object now because I think my friend is
- 15 returning to a topic which was examined last week, on the 2nd of
- 16 February, and it relates to DC-Cam's objectives and scepticism, I
- 17 think, which my friend might hold in relation to their work and
- 18 their outlook in relation to the tribunal. And I think Your
- 19 Honours ruled that you understood the position that the Defence
- 20 was taking but that you had heard enough and were directing my
- 21 friend to move on. I think we're back to that same ground.
- 22 And I we refrained from objecting, but I think my friend is
- 23 continuing that line of questioning, and I think Your Honours
- 24 should direct him to move on.
- 25 [11.27.29]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 MR. PAUW:
- 2 Mr. President, if I may respond, I am not, at this point, asking
- 3 about possible bias on the side of DC-Cam or reflecting my
- 4 scepticism as to their motives; I am specifically asking about
- 5 the way that DC-Cam processes its evidence, how does it organize
- 6 it, and -- I will get to that point -- how is the information
- 7 then transferred to third parties, more specifically the ECCC. So
- 8 I'm not asking about possible ulterior motives that DC-Cam might
- 9 have, I'm asking about actual work that is being done in DC-Cam,
- 10 when dealing with these documents.
- 11 And we are dealing with the processing and transfer of documents,
- 12 during this morning's session, so I would like the witness to
- 13 answer this question.
- 14 (Judges deliberate)
- 15 [11.29.58]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 International Co-Prosecutors' objection is sustained because it
- 18 was properly reasoned.
- 19 The witness is instructed not to respond to that particular
- 20 question.
- 21 And counsel is advised to proceed with other questions instead.
- 22 BY MR. PAUW:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 24 Q. I will move on then to a third line in that same paragraph. If
- 25 it can be shown to the witness? And it is -- the line reads:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 "Since 2001, members of the DC-Cam staff have worked to compile
- 2 documentary collections related to each of ten former CPK
- 3 officials."<V>
- 4 Can you give us the names of these 10 former CPK officials, or is
- 5 that confidential information?
- 6 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 7 A. As I said earlier, there are two lists. One was established by
- 8 Ben Kiernan, it was published by "Genocide and Democracy in
- 9 Cambodia". Actually, he named 20 CPK officials. And there is
- 10 another list, the biographies of the former CPK leaders, and
- 11 there were more than 20 such biographies. And if you look at page
- 12 14 13 and 14 of this book, you will see all the names of those
- 13 individual CPK officials which I indicated last week.
- 14 [11.31.50]
- 15 Q. So I was not asking about Ben Kiernan -- Kiernan's list, but I
- 16 was asking about the 10 former CPK officials that staff at DC-Cam
- 17 has compiled documentary evidence on.
- 18 I repeat my question: Can you give us the names of those 10
- 19 former CPK officials?
- 20 A. I remember only five -- at least, at least five of them, but I
- 21 don't remember others, but you can refer to that document. Those
- 22 five has the precise position, namely: Mr. Nuon Chea, who is a
- 23 member of the Standing Committee; Ieng Sary; Khieu Samphan; Ieng
- 24 Thirith. There are information about them, about the position
- 25 they held, and there are also certain information that may be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 helpful for them as well. And there are also information about
- 2 their biographies as well, starting from their historical
- 3 activation until their later life as well.
- 4 So, of course, those five individuals were in the name list as
- 5 well.
- 6 [11.33.08]
- 7 Q. Mr. Chhang, I did not doubt that those five individuals would
- 8 be named, but can you or can you not give us the names of the
- 9 five other--
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 The Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 12 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 13 Your Honours, objection as to relevance.
- 14 We just see no relevance to this line of questioning, whatsoever.
- 15 MR. PAUW:
- 16 Mr. President, it's simply a question as to, again, how DC-Cam
- 17 organizes its work and how it organizes documents in certain
- 18 specific filings. It's -- we are here to explore how DC-Cam
- 19 processes evidence, how it handles evidence. I refrain from using
- 20 the word "analyze" because I'm not allowed to speak about this.
- 21 But this is a very straightforward question. Apparently, DC-Cam
- 22 organizes its evidence according to certain individual persons.
- 23 And I do not see why this question would be problematic in any
- 24 way.
- 25 [11.34.20]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 (Judges deliberate)
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The objection by the International Co-prosecutor is sustained
- 4 because this question is not relevant to the subject matter of
- 5 the discussion now.
- 6 So the witness is directed not to answer this question.
- 7 BY MR. PAUW:
- 8 Okay. Then I- Thank you, Mr. President. I will refrain from
- 9 questions that relate to the analysis of evidence by DC-Cam or
- 10 the way that DC-Cam organizes its evidence, and I will move on to
- 11 yet another topic, which is, again, a publication by DC-Cam that
- 12 can be found on the website. And the title of this publication is
- 13 something that was prepared by DC-Cam for the purpose of
- 14 outreach, and it is called "Genocide -- Who Are the Senior Khmer
- 15 Rouge Leaders to Be Judged? -- The Importance of Case 2". And if
- 16 we can show that on the screen?
- 17 [11.36.15]
- 18 And if we can scroll to the first page to see who the authors of
- 19 this publication are, it is- And it's hard to read. Is it
- 20 possible to-That's better. So the authors are Jaya
- 21 Ramji-Nogales<V> and Anne Heindel.
- 22 Can you first tell who these individuals are?
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. I actually like the format of this book.
- 25 Jaya Ramji is a consultant, and Anne Heindel is also our

- 1 consultant whom we have engaged in order to provide some
- 2 consultancy on the issue relating to the ECCC.
- 3 Q. Thank you. And if we then scroll to the first page of this
- 4 publication, we see another foreword, and it is written, this
- 5 time, just by you, Mr. Youk Chhang. If you can show the first
- 6 paragraph of that foreword on the screen? Maybe try to make it
- 7 legible.
- 8 And I will just read from this paragraph. You speak about Nuon
- 9 Chea, Ieng Sary, Ieng Thirith, and Khieu Samphan, and you state:
- 10 "These leaders have not admitted any responsibility for the
- 11 crimes of that period but instead blame the lower cadre and
- 12 others. Their trial offers an important chance to show that the
- 13 DK regime made decisions that caused the deaths of nearly 2
- 14 million Cambodians."<V>
- 15 And, in the second paragraph, you write:
- 16 "There is a lot of information that can be used in court to show
- 17 that these leaders are guilty, including many documents that
- 18 describe what they did and witnesses who can come to court and
- 19 talk about what the leaders did."<V>
- 20 [11.38.56]
- 21 When I read this, it sounds like you have already reached an
- 22 answer on an issue to which this Court still needs to provide a
- 23 decision, and that is that the leaders were responsible for what
- 24 happens in the country and are in fact guilty. And, to me, it's
- 25 also clear that one can only come to such a conclusion after the

- 1 analysis of the evidence. Do you understand that I got that
- 2 impression?
- 3 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 4 Your Honours, we'll object to that question.
- 5 Again, I think my friend is returning to the opinions held by the
- 6 witness, and Your Honours have ruled that those issues bear no
- 7 relevance to the topic of these proceedings. So the question is
- 8 irrelevant, in our view.
- 9 MR. PAUW:
- 10 Mr. President, if I if I may briefly, this is -- this goes
- 11 directly to the heart of the matter, and that is the personal
- 12 opinion of Mr. Youk Chhang, who is, let's say, the most important
- 13 gatekeeper of all the evidence, or the majority of the evidence
- 14 that is available in this case. If these are in fact the
- 15 convictions of Mr. Youk Chhang, it is relevant for us to know.
- 16 [11.40.07]
- 17 As I stated last week or two weeks ago, we do not hold Mr. Youk
- 18 Chhang at fault for having those convictions, but it needs to be
- 19 established in Court that he has them, that he has been dealing
- 20 with these issues with a certain biased -- and I mean that in a
- 21 neutral sense of the word, but that he has been dealing with
- 22 these issues with a biased view of the matter, and that is that
- 23 senior leaders are guilty and that the documentary evidence
- 24 actually establishes that.
- 25 And, again, I am trying to establish what Mr. Youk Chhang's

- 1 personal opinion on these matters is, because it is clear that he
- 2 takes these opinions with him when he goes to work as Director of
- 3 DC-Cam.
- 4 So, again, I would like Mr. Youk Chhang to simply comment on
- 5 these words that he, himself, wrote. He is the best person to
- 6 provide us clarification on this issue.
- 7 (Judges deliberate)
- 8 [11.41.50]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The objection by the Prosecution is fairly substantiated, so the
- 11 objection is sustained.
- 12 So the witness need not answer the last question.
- 13 And, again, the same matter arises. We have advised the parties
- 14 already in terms of question of this line of questioning, and
- 15 this was actually directed by Judge Cartwright on Thursday, last
- 16 week.
- 17 BY MR. PAUW:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 19 Q. I will move onto a further topic then, and that is the
- 20 following: Your deputy, Mr. Dara, has told us that DC-Cam has
- 21 been free to look for information anywhere in Cambodia; do you
- 22 agree with that assessment?
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. I agree with this statement but I have to be precise that
- 25 certain areas where we went to visit encounter some difficulty as

- 1 well. For example, there are different working procedures at
- 2 different institutions, so, by getting to that institution, we
- 3 have to follow certain processes and procedures. That's why we
- 4 address those problems from time to time.
- 5 [11.43.51]
- 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Dara has also spoken about the archives of the CPP,
- 7 and I believe he indicated that you are free to do research in
- 8 the archives of the CPP; is that correct?
- 9 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 10 Your Honours, if I may, again, I think my friend is fishing in
- 11 the dark.
- 12 These matters may be of interest for the sake of newspapers and
- 13 external observers but they bear no relevance to the documents
- 14 that are before Your Honours.
- 15 The purpose of this hearing is to deal with the specific
- 16 documents, and it was in response to Nuon Chea's request that we
- 17 provided a table of all of the documents which are on the case
- 18 file and which originate from DC-Cam.
- 19 Now, if my friend wishes to deal with categories of those
- 20 documents and explore the areas of relevance and reliability by
- 21 reference to DC-Cam's methods for recording and treating a
- 22 document, then we would not object. But to engage in a broad
- 23 enquiry about where DC-Cam collects documents, that has no
- 24 bearing, that has no relevance for the documents that are in that
- 25 table, we think is a waste of this Court's time and completely

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 irrelevant for the purposes of this hearing.
- 2 [11.45.24]
- 3 MR. PAUW:
- 4 Mr. President, if I may, I can only say that I am baffled by the
- 5 OCP's intervention -- I hope that is not too impolite a word.
- 6 But we are dealing here, again, with the methods of evidence
- 7 collection by DC-Cam. I am not talking about the bias of DC-Cam;
- 8 I'm not talking about the personal opinions of Mr. Youk Chhang. I
- 9 am asking a very simple question, and that is whether DC-Cam was
- 10 allowed to do research in the CPP archives.
- 11 [11.46.01]
- 12 If I recall correctly, the OCP has actually explored this very
- 13 issue in its own line of questioning, where it's tried to
- 14 establish where DC-Cam had been looking for documents and what
- 15 cooperation they got from the specific other institutions.
- 16 I am not sure why OCP is allowed to ask about access to the
- 17 National Archives or the Minister of Interior and I am not
- 18 allowed to ask questions about access to the CPP archives.
- 19 It's a very straightforward question and relates directly to the
- 20 access that DC-Cam has been given by a third institution.
- 21 So I would invite the witness to respond to this question, with
- 22 your permission.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 The witness, please hold on.
- 25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 [11.48.35]
- 2 The objection is not sustained.
- 3 The witness should respond to the last question posed by the
- 4 defence counsel.
- 5 [11.48.49]
- 6 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 7 A. Thank you, Mr. President. I am very -- I'm more than happy to
- 8 answer this question.
- 9 I think everyone has been confused because, sometime in 1993, the
- 10 Cambodian People's Party has an archive. This archive actually
- 11 was established by a joint committee between Vietnam, Laos, and
- 12 Cambodia, and this was actually modeled from the Stalin
- 13 Institute. And this, actually, archive was closed. And I try--
- 14 Mr. Chey Sophea (phonetic) and (sic) Chey Sophorn (phonetic) were
- 15 the members of this committee. And I went to Vietnam, to Laos as
- 16 well. I would like to explore how the committee worked -- how the
- 17 committee worked together, but, well, since this is not an
- 18 institution, it does not have a building or so, so I decided to
- 19 incorporate this information on our website, indicating that we
- 20 actually have been to certain places but we cannot get all the
- 21 necessary information. But the Court may have better access due
- 22 to its power. And I approached His Excellency Say Chhum<V>, and
- 23 he told me that, of course, this archive had already been closed.
- 24 [11.50.13]
- 25 There was a committee for the history of the revolutionary party

- 1 of the three countries, and they exchanged information in order
- 2 to construct the history of the revolutionary party of the two --
- 3 of the three countries. But there was no building whatsoever,
- 4 now, because it was it had been closed and I approach
- 5 individual archive.
- 6 And when I collected this document, the document was scattered,
- 7 and I went to Vietnam and Laos. And the two countries, Vietnam
- 8 and Laos, issue a books on the history of their own revolutionary
- 9 party. But in Cambodia, there have been change in political
- 10 lines, and then the general election was held in 1993.
- 11 And I actually posed this question publically with the hope that
- 12 sooner or later the Court may be able to find information as
- 13 well. That's why I believe that party's question about that,
- 14 because I have so far posed that question on the website as well.
- 15 So it arouses curiosity by parties, I believe.
- 16 [11.51.27]
- 17 BY MR. PAUW:
- 18 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. I believe that you may have been
- 19 speaking slightly too fast for the translators, and I it's not
- 20 my task to ask you to speak more slowly, but I certainly didn't
- 21 understand everything that you were saying.
- 22 So let me restart by asking a simple question: Is there such a
- 23 thing as the CPP archives? Is there a collection of documents
- 24 that you would refer to as the CPP archives?
- 25 MR. YOUK CHHANG:

- 1 A. This archive was closed in 1993. It was named the History of
- 2 the People Revolutionary of Kampuchea, and actually is establish
- 3 a committee, a tripartite committee of Vietnam, Laos, and
- 4 Cambodia. And it modelled the History Institute of the Former
- 5 Soviet Union. I tried to approach Mr. Chey Sophorn (phonetic),
- 6 who was the chairman of this, and I ask him -- when I met him,
- 7 actually, this institution was already closed down.
- 8 [11.52.59]
- 9 I was not satisfied with the answer he gave me, so I went to
- 10 Vietnam because I believed that there must be Vietnam -- there
- 11 must be documents of Cambodia in the custodies of Vietnam. And I
- 12 also went to Laos as well. But what I learned was that, of
- 13 course, in Laos and Vietnam, they published one joint history
- 14 book on the history of the revolutionary party of Vietnam. And I
- 15 was not I did not content with that, and so I decided to pose
- 16 this question publically.
- 17 I hoped that, once we have tribunal, as we are having today, the
- 18 Court might have a better ways in order to find documents
- 19 particularly to clear the doubt for the public as how it was
- 20 going about; because I was the one who have tried to get all of
- 21 this information.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. So I understand -- if I understand
- 23 you correctly, the original CPP archive closed in 1993.
- 24 To your knowledge, does the CPP still have documents relating to
- 25 the DK era in its possession?

- 1 [11.54.34]
- 2 A. Are you talking about its existence today? Or--
- 3 Q. Indeed, I'm talking about today. Do you know whether the CPP
- 4 still possesses DK-era documents?
- 5 A. When the Court was established, in 2005, I made an open
- 6 announcement to the world. I actually wrote an open appeal in
- 7 Khmer, in English, and French, and I posted on our website, and I
- 8 send it to all embassies in Phnom Penh to give documents to the
- 9 tribunal. I mention in my letter that, of course, the Court
- 10 should be the first place to receive the documents given by them.
- 11 So I have actually publicized such an open appeal. I sent emails
- 12 and sent this letter to embassies as well. And I think that it is
- 13 the sole role of the tribunal in order to find those documents.
- 14 We cannot affirm that we have a hundred percent of the documents,
- 15 and we believe that there would be other documents in possession
- 16 of others in other places as well, that's why we have tried our
- 17 utmost, so far, to collect up to around 1 million documents. But
- 18 this is not exhaustive; we believe that there will be others -
- 19 others who may have in their possession as well, so it is up to
- 20 the Court to find those documents. And we do not consider our
- 21 place as a judicial body to collect this document.
- 22 [11.56.14]
- 23 And even though we have permission from the government to conduct
- 24 research, but we do not have all of the documents we may want to.
- 25 Q. It's a very long answer, but it's not an answer to the

- 1 question I asked you.
- 2 The question I asked you is: Do you know whether the CPP still
- 3 possesses documents that relate to the DK era? It's a simple yes
- 4 or no answer that would do.
- 5 A. Your question cannot be answer with a yes or no because you
- 6 talk about documents relating to the Democratic Kampuchea and the
- 7 other types of document are contemporaneous documents of the DK.
- 8 If you talk about the relevant documents to the DK period, there
- 9 are plenty of them because, even today, people talk about
- 10 Democratic Kampuchea.
- 11 [11.57.096]
- 12 So I need to give you explanation on that when you ask this
- 13 question. It cannot be a yes or no answer.
- 14 Q. You're totally right. And I will then rephrase my question and
- 15 make it into an actual yes or no question: Do you know whether
- 16 the CPP possesses documents that actually stem from the 1975 to
- 17 1979 era, original DK-era documents?
- 18 [11.57.48]
- 19 A. I think if -- since the archive was closed, then, even if
- 20 those documents was available, it must be within the individual
- 21 archives.
- 22 Q. Sorry, I did not understand your question (sic). Can you
- 23 rephrase your answer? Sorry, I-
- 24 A. I am of the view that government officials may have those
- 25 contemporaneous DK documents personally. But if you talk about

- 1 the archive as an institution, it is no longer available because
- 2 this institution was closed, because the archive of the History
- 3 of the People's Revolutionary Party was closed in 1993. And so
- 4 far, individuals who are now members of the Royal Government have
- 5 given me documents as well, personally.
- 6 Q. Thank you. That is a clear answer. And continuing on that
- 7 topic, Mr. Khieu Kanharith has been mentioned several times as a
- 8 donor of certain documents.
- 9 And my question to you: Has Mr. Khieu Kanharith provided you with
- 10 all the original DK-era documents that he has in his possession,
- 11 or don't you know?
- 12 [11.59.20]
- 13 A. I do know about this.
- 14 Q. Can you provide us with an answer?
- 15 A. I was trying to be brief, and now you ask me to be long.
- 16 The documents concerning the CPK was obtained from Mr. Khieu
- 17 Kanharith<V>, and I was asked where the provenance of the
- 18 document could have been. So we received the response in writing,
- 19 and I think I communicated this to the Office of Co-Investigating
- 20 Judges already.
- 21 Mr. Khieu Kanharith said very clearly, when I asked, where the
- 22 document could originally come from, and he said he was sure that
- 23 he handed the documents to Mr. Ben Kiernan. And I made
- 24 communications to him in writings, and I kept good records of
- 25 such correspondences.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 [12.00.30]
- 2 This was the -- of course, the note of the meetings of the
- 3 Standing Committee which we refer to.
- 4 Q. Thank you. And to your knowledge, has Mr. Khieu Kanharith
- 5 provided you with all the original DK-era documents that he has
- 6 in his possession?
- 7 A. To me or to other people?
- 8 Q. To DC-Cam.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. This is a short answer, and I appreciate it, but I'm not sure
- 11 what the answer relates to. Do you know if Mr. Khieu Kanharith,
- 12 today, still possesses original DK-era documents that DC-Cam does
- 13 not have in its possession?
- 14 A. I don't know. You may ask him. I once talked to him about
- 15 this. I said that document was sent to Mr. Ben Kiernan. I just
- 16 quoted from him.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you, Counsel. And thank you, Witness.
- 19 It is now an appropriate time for lunch adjournment. The Court
- 20 will adjourn until 1.30 p.m., for lunch.
- 21 During the adjournment, court officer is instructed to assist
- 22 witness so that he can have a rest and that he be returned to the
- 23 courtroom before 1.30.
- 24 Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may now proceed.
- 25 [12.02.40]

- 1 MR. PESTMAN:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. I have in my hand the waiver for my
- 3 client regarding this afternoon's session. He kindly requests to
- 4 follow the remainder of the session downstairs, from the holding
- 5 cell.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 We note the request by Nuon Chea through his counsel and ask that
- 8 the client be excused from this courtroom and follow the
- 9 proceeding from the holding cell.
- 10 The AV equipment has already been put in place so that the
- 11 accused person can participate remotely.
- 12 The Chamber grants such request. Nuon Chea counsel is now
- 13 instructed to present this waiver signed by -- or thumb printed
- 14 by the accused person and that AV equipment AV officers are
- 15 instructed to make sure that the AV equipment is well linked to
- 16 the holding cell so that the accused person can observe the
- 17 proceedings remotely.
- 18 Security personnels are now instructed to take Khieu Samphan and
- 19 Nuon Chea to the holding cell and return Khieu Samphan back to
- 20 the courtroom before 1.30.
- 21 The Court is adjourned.
- 22 (Court recesses from 1204H to 1327H)
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 The Court is now back in session.
- 25 We would like to now hand over for counsels for Nuon Chea to

- 1 proceed with remainder of the questions.
- 2 You have one hour and 20 minutes. So you can proceed until 2.40.
- 3 BY MR. PAUW:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 5 Mr. Youk Chhang. Just to announce the order of things, I will
- 6 finish at my line of questioning and then I will, with your
- 7 permission, give the floor to Mr. Son Arun, the national counsel
- 8 for Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 9 [13.37.06]
- 10 Q. I would like to start, Mr. Youk Chhang, by showing you an
- 11 article, an academic article by Mr. Stephen Heder. It is called
- 12 "Reassessing the Role of Senior Leaders and Local Officials in
- 13 Democratic Kampuchea [...]", and the ERN is 00661455, and it runs
- 14 until 00661491. And if we can maybe show the first page of this
- 15 article on the screen, then you can see what I'm talking about.
- 16 This is the first page. I'm not sure if you, Mr. Witness, see the
- 17 first page. And then, if we move down a bit to page 36 and look
- 18 at footnotes 207 and 208 of this article --there, we see it in
- 19 the screen -- and those footnotes read:
- 20 "Various evidence implicates Heng Samrin in war crimes --
- 21 massacres of Vietnamese of civilians -- committed by troops under
- 22 his command during cross-border raids into Vietnam in 1977."<V>
- 23 [13.38.34]
- 24 And footnote number 208 reads: "Chea Sim has been accused of
- 25 responsibility for murders of new people and others in the

- 1 district of which he was Secretary, Ponhea Kraek in Sector 20 of
- 2 the East zone."<V>
- 3 And then, a bit further down, it continues:
- 4 "[...] local informants estimate that thousands of people were
- 5 killed at the district security office while Chea Sim was
- 6 district secretary, with the worst killings under his rule coming
- 7 in late 1977 and early 1978[...]."<V>
- 8 [13.39.03]
- 9 This, again, comes from an article by Mr. Steve Heder. We've
- 10 spoken about him a lot during the last hearings. He is widely
- 11 considered to be an expert on DK-era documents.
- 12 And my question to you is: Was DC-Cam allowed to access
- 13 government archives regarding these alleged crimes that Mr. Heder
- 14 is referring to in these footnotes?
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Witness, hold on, please.
- 17 (Judges deliberate)
- 18 [13.40.05]
- 19 The Chamber decides that witness shall not respond to the
- 20 question, as it is irrelevant. The question was irrelevant.
- 21 BY MR. PAUW:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 23 Q. The Accountability Project that we've spoken about a lot has
- 24 been doing research throughout Cambodia.
- 25 And my question is :To your knowledge, has the Accountability

- 1 Project conducted interviews in the Ponhea Kraek<V> district,
- 2 which is the district where, according to this footnote, crimes
- 3 were committed, or there has been accused -- Chea Sim has been
- 4 accused of committing crimes in this particular district.
- 5 Has DC-Cam conducted research on these alleged crimes?
- 6 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 7 We object, Your Honours.
- 8 [13.41.07]
- 9 You've just ruled against this line of questioning.
- 10 I would invite my friend -- I would invite for the direction that
- 11 he move on from this.
- 12 MR. PAUW:
- 13 Mr. President, if I may respond, I mean, I'm not sure what the
- 14 line of questioning is that my colleague is referring to, but I
- 15 do wish to state that it's incredibly important that these issues
- 16 get the proper attention.
- 17 I think they are three reasons why we need to explore this.
- 18 First reason is that we are trying to find out how DC-Cam manages
- 19 and processes the evidence that it actually possesses. And the
- 20 question is: Does it make it available to the public or, more
- 21 specifically, to the OCP, every piece of evidence that it
- 22 possess? And does it make available to the OCP, evidence that
- 23 might be exculpatory for our client? That is what I'm trying to
- 24 assess by these questions.
- 25 Second thing -- and this will come up, so I might as well address

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 it right -- straight away -- we need, today, to explore possible
- 2 government interference in the work of DC-Cam. This is simply a
- 3 very relevant issue, considering the realities of everyday
- 4 Cambodian society. It is undisputed that the Cambodian Government
- 5 does not shy away from interfering with this Court. It does not
- 6 even hesitate to directly interfere in the work of a duly
- 7 appointed International Co-Investigating Judge. And it would be
- 8 naïve to assume that the Government would shy away from
- 9 interfering in the activities of a purely Cambodian NGO which is
- 10 tasked with investigating the history of the Democratic--
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Counsel, could you please be reminded that you are now given this
- 13 opportunity to put questions with relation to the documentation
- 14 cataloguing of other relevant documents by the DC-Cam? The
- 15 Chamber does not allow you to make any further statement straying
- 16 from this confined topics.
- 17 [13.41.31]
- 18 If you do not have further questions, then we may end it now.
- 19 The prosecutor objection, just now, is sustained, and that you
- 20 are not allowed to proceed with the same line of questions.
- 21 Please be advised that you are now asked to put questions that
- 22 are relevant to the main object or subject matters for the
- 23 discussion during these hearings, and you need to put questions
- 24 relevant to the methods in collecting documents, cataloguing
- 25 them, and storing.

- 1 [13.44.28]
- 2 And witness is not supposed to be put questions concern each and
- 3 particular or specific document. Any challenge to a specific
- 4 document will be done at a later date.
- 5 The Chamber hopes that the message has already been clear.
- 6 BY MR. PAUW:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. I will move away from this specific
- 8 body of evidence that would implicate current high-ranking
- 9 officials, and I will move on to the next topic.
- 10 Q. Mr. Stephen Heder, in this article, develops the theory, based
- 11 on his research of the evidence, that a substantial part of the
- 12 killing committed in Cambodia was committed by lower-ranking CPK
- 13 officials without specific instructions of the central
- 14 leadership.
- 15 And just to introduce my question, let me just as you: Are you
- 16 familiar with this particular theory of Steve Heder?
- 17 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 18 A. That Steve's Heder's theory and his analysis, I'm difficult to
- 19 talk about this. And people we interviewed -- more than 1,000
- 20 people who have been interviewed -- never admitted that they have
- 21 killed anyone.
- 22 [13.46.28]
- 23 Q. Thank you. And from your own publications, we know that you do
- 24 research to illuminate the chains of command in order to hold the
- 25 senior leaders accountable for the crimes were committed.

- 1 Has DC-Cam conducted any specific research to corroborate Heder's
- 2 theory that a substantial part of the killing was committed by
- 3 lower-ranking CPK officials, without specific instructions of the
- 4 central leadership?
- 5 A. As stated, this project was carried out when we interviewed
- 6 former combatants of the DK, following the biographies of them we
- 7 obtained. We were wanting to know who killed the people back
- 8 then. We did not follow Mr. Steve Heder's idea, and whether he
- 9 used our idea to be integrated into his paper, it is his own
- 10 decision.
- 11 [13.47.58]
- 12 And as I already said, more than 1,000 people we interviewed;
- 13 none of them committed such crime or have ever killed anyone.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Then, I would like to end this particular segment
- 15 by showing you the last page of Mr. Heder's article. If our -- it
- 16 can be shown on the screen, in the red box?
- 17 And I will read it for you, the last part. And Mr. Heder opines
- 18 that "a proper legal and historical accounting requires much
- 19 broader and deeper investigations that do not exempt from
- 20 scrutiny CPK-era 'small fish', regardless of their current place
- 21 in Cambodian society"<V>.
- 22 [13.48.54]
- 23 And my-- Or let me put it to you that Mr. Heder has studies all
- 24 this evidence for several decades now. Much like you, he has made
- 25 the DK era his life's work, and, after studying all this

- 1 evidence, he concludes that "a proper legal and historical
- 2 accounting requires much broader and deeper investigations "<V>.
- 3 You, as a director of a historical research organization, do you
- 4 agree with this assessment by Steve Heder?
- 5 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 6 We would object to this, Your Honours.
- 7 Again, Mr. President was very kind to read out the Chamber's
- 8 instructions with respect to this hearing. I think the Chamber's
- 9 being very generous in granting counsel extra time, but I feel
- 10 we're wasting time now, and we're just veering into areas which
- 11 have nothing to do with the documents that are on the case file
- 12 -- the origin, authenticity, and reliability -- and exploring
- 13 matters which are way beyond the purposes of this hearing.
- 14 And if counsel has run out of ideas, I would encourage Your
- 15 Honours to simply ask him to hand over the floor to his
- 16 colleague.
- 17 [13.50.10]
- 18 MR. PAUW:
- 19 If I may respond to that briefly, Your Chamber has indeed been
- 20 kind to offer us extra time, and this is, again, what we feel is
- 21 an incredibly important issue. It is, again, the approach by
- 22 DC-Cam to the collection of evidence.
- 23 I will clearly accept your ruling on whether this question should
- 24 be answered or not, and I'm happy to move on, but it is an issue
- 25 that, we feel, needs to be addressed sooner or later.

- 1 So I will now conclude my part of the questioning, because too
- 2 many questions that I want to ask, I cannot ask because of your
- 3 directions. We have, as far as we are concerned, barely scratched
- 4 the surface of the topics that we want to discuss, which is,
- 5 again, DC-Cam's possible bias in collecting evidence and the way
- 6 it has been analyzing this evidence.
- 7 [13.50.20]
- 8 We would already announce that we would want--
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The Chamber has already made this clear that you are not allowed
- 11 to make any further statement. You are allowed to only put
- 12 questions to the witness, questions that are relevant to the
- 13 agreed subject matter.
- 14 We have so far had problem to do with the documents, and you are
- 15 supposed to address this issues in this courtroom. And if you
- 16 insist moving with the questions that are banned by the Chamber,
- 17 then we suggest that your request for additional time will now
- 18 end here.
- 19 The question that you just put, and objected by the Prosecutor,
- 20 has been taken by the Chamber as -- the objection itself is
- 21 sustained, and witness cannot be forced to respond to any
- 22 questions or any issues or opinion by any other author.
- 23 And the Chamber notes that even the witness may be allow to do
- 24 so, but, if the topic is outside the subject matter, such issue
- 25 shall also be disallowed.

- 1 MR. PAUW:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. I understand your instructions, and I
- 3 am actually ending my part of the cross-examination of this
- 4 witness at this stage.
- 5 I just have two procedural points that I want to make, and I
- 6 think it's convenient if I do it before Mr. Son Arun takes the
- 7 floor.
- 8 One thing is that we do request the Trial Chamber to request Mr.
- 9 Youk Chhang to provide the information that we discussed this
- 10 morning, and which is the information that can be found in those
- 11 so-called note 316 and note 317, which is information as to
- 12 whether the document at DC-Cam is an original or not, and, in box
- 13 317, the information as to the provenance of the document and
- 14 earlier custodians.
- 15 [13.54.03]
- 16 We think this would clarify a lot of issues, and we would want
- 17 Youk Chhang to do that for all the documents that appear on the
- 18 list that has been provided by the OCP, the list of documents
- 19 that come from DC-Cam. So that would be our first request.
- 20 The second request, I'm not sure if now is the time and place to
- 21 do so, but just in case, we announce that we would want to hear
- 22 this witness again on the issues that we have not been allowed to
- 23 ask him questions on, which is -- I'm not going to repeat myself,
- 24 but these issues are of crucial importance. It's an unprecedented
- 25 situation that a private organization has been so instrumental in

- 1 the collection of evidence in a criminal case--
- 2 [13.54.52]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Counsel, the Chamber has made this clear, and again that the
- 5 floor is not for you to make any general statement. It is about
- 6 the questions concerning subject matter of documentation at the
- 7 DC-Cam. You cannot take the advantage of this venue to put other
- 8 statements which are not relevant to this particular time given.
- 9 You, indeed, can do so in another session, when it is reserved
- 10 for such purpose, but not during this session.
- 11 MR. PAUW:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President, for your instructions.
- 13 And with your permission, I would give the floor to my national
- 14 colleague, Mr. Son Arun.
- 15 (Judges deliberate)
- 16 [13.58.33]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 To clarify matters, the Chamber would like counsel for Nuon Chea
- 19 to be on his feet, and we would like to hand over to Judge
- 20 Lavergne to put some questions to counsel for clarification.
- 21 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 22 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. The Chamber would like you to make
- 23 some clarifications regarding the motion you have just made
- 24 before the Chamber with a view to obtaining information regarding
- 25 the provenance and the state of documents from DC-Cam and that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 are on the record.
- 2 [13.59.41]
- 3 Our first question to you is whether this request for information
- 4 was made while the three years of preparatory investigations and
- 5 if you did not have the opportunity to go to DC-Cam to obtain
- 6 such information.
- 7 Secondly, your motion may have to do with the application of the
- 8 Internal Rules, particularly Rule 87.4 regarding new evidence, in
- 9 respect of which the request would be made for you to provide
- 10 information before the Chamber. And it states as follows: (In
- 11 English:) "The requesting party must also satisfy the Chamber
- 12 that the requested testimony or evidence was not available before
- 13 the opening of the Trial."<V> (End of intervention in English)
- 14 [14.01.03]
- 15 MR. PAUW:
- 16 Thank you, Judge Lavergne. I will try to be brief in my response.
- 17 First, answer to -- the answer to the first question would be:
- 18 No, we did not try to establish the provenance of every document
- 19 that is on the OCP list that was provided two weeks ago, simple
- 20 because we had no way of knowing which documents the OCP would be
- 21 relying on at this point of the trial, especially considering the
- 22 fact that clearly we had no idea that the trial would be
- 23 organized in this way with a mini-trial and a subsection of
- 24 documents.
- 25 If the question is whether or not we were able to verify the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 provenance of these documents earlier, I think this is exactly
- 2 what the hearing last week was about and the hearing this morning
- 3 was about: finding out what DC-Cam did, how they collect and
- 4 store documents. And it's only this morning that we established
- 5 that DC-Cam indeed enters this information in such a database,
- 6 and that these box 316 and box 317 exists.
- 7 [14.02.25]
- 8 Today, I clarified with Mr. Youk Chhang that, indeed, this box
- 9 contains the information that we have all been looking for for
- 10 the past few weeks. Even Mr. Youk Chhang is not entirely clear on
- 11 what can be found in those boxes, but it seems to me that today
- 12 we established that there is good hope that what we are looking
- 13 for here, today, which is, again, the provenance of the documents
- 14 and the chain of custody of the documents, can indeed be found in
- 15 this particular database and in this particular box 316 and 317.
- 16 So that's the reason that I would ask DC-Cam to provide this
- 17 information today.
- 18 I would submit that, in fact, it was not for us to come up with
- 19 this line of questioning and to establish what the provenance of
- 20 these documents was. I would have submitted -- or I submit that
- 21 it would have been up to the OCP or, at a later stage, the OCIJ
- 22 to establish that, indeed, this information is available at
- 23 DC-Cam.
- 24 [14.03.38]
- 25 So if-- And this is how I understand your question, but I don't

- 1 want to read too much into it: if the line of thinking would be
- 2 that we are late in making this request, I would say: a) we only
- 3 established today that this information is likely available; and,
- 4 b) it was not for us to come up with this information, it is for
- 5 the party that wants to use this information as evidence.
- 6 [14.04.90]
- 7 Two, I think this partly answers the question -- the second
- 8 question that you ask, which is whether this information was
- 9 available before the trial started again. We discovered it today,
- 10 that this is in fact information that is contained on these boxes
- 11 316 and 317, and today's questioning has led us to make this
- 12 request. This -- I hope this answers your question.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The International Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 15 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 16 Your Honours, I don't wish to interrupt Judge Lavergne's
- 17 questioning, so I just wish to indicate that we wish to respond
- 18 to these submissions.
- 19 There are matters of fact that are on the record that relates to
- 20 these very submissions, and I can either correct the record now
- 21 or, if Your Honours wish to hear me, following questioning by
- 22 Judge Lavergne. I'll proceed as you prefer.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 The International Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 25 MR. ABDULHAK:

- 1 Thank you, Mr. President. I'll be very brief, but I do wish to
- 2 correct the record or at least state for Your Honours some of the
- 3 relevant developments.
- 4 [14.06.09]
- 5 Just as a as a starting point, my friend insists on an
- 6 apparently comprehensive inquiry into provenance and chain of
- 7 custody of each document. Of course, Your Honours have ruled
- 8 recently that that is not required for the purposes of admission.
- 9 And one only need read your latest memorandum of 31st January
- 10 2012, at paragraph 2; Your Honours make it clear that documents
- 11 need only satisfy the prime facie standards of relevance,
- 12 reliability, and authenticity, and, unless documents appear to be
- 13 forgeries or unrepresentative of the originals, that the Chamber
- 14 will consider them to be put before it.
- 15 And our submission is that that standard has indeed been met.
- 16 If my friend were to challenge specific documents, as the origin
- 17 of which he puts in question or relevance and reliability,
- 18 perhaps we would show some -- we'd certainly show a degree of
- 19 understanding -- but this tendency to insist on a wide-ranging
- 20 inquiry into documents is simply not in accordance with Your
- 21 Judges' rulings and is completely beyond what is required for the
- 22 purposes of admission.
- 23 And further to that, on the issue of due diligence, one only need
- 24 look at the date of this manual. It's dated 1999, and one
- 25 presumes it's been on the DC-Cam website this entire period.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 And continuing in relation to counsel's knowledge of documents
- 2 arriving from DC-Cam, again, if you look at the case file or it's
- 3 phase that relates to the judicial investigation, you'll find any
- 4 number of filings that relate to the collection and use of
- 5 documents from DC-Cam, and just one of them was by the OCP,
- 6 number D313, where we indicated, at paragraph, I believe, 25,
- 7 that acting in accordance with the directions of the
- 8 Co-Investigating Judges, we availed ourselves of the opportunity
- 9 to visit DC-Cam and to look at the records there and collect
- 10 relevant documents, since DC-Cam was a general, publicly
- 11 available database, or archive, in respect of which we were
- 12 instructed by the Co-Investigating Judges that all parties were
- 13 allowed to conduct inquiries, collect documents, etc.
- 14 [14.08.30]
- 15 We filed-- This particular filing was dated 31st of December
- 16 2009, well before the closure of the judicial investigation.
- 17 There was no response from counsel, then, to say that with
- 18 respect to the documents that we collected at DC-Cam that we
- 19 needed to indicate -- I'll slow down for the interpreters; I
- 20 apologize. There was no indication, then, from counsel for Nuon
- 21 Chea or, for that matter, from any other defence team, that the
- 22 OCP should go back and obtain evidence as to the provenance and
- 23 chain of custody.
- 24 [14.09.08]
- 25 We were, of course, alleging that all of these documents were

- 1 relevant, and they're among the documents that are in the table
- 2 that we've been discussing.
- 3 Our position is simply that the test which Your Honours have -
- 4 have stated has been met in respect of these documents. You've
- 5 now heard, I believe, five days of evidence on origin, chain of
- 6 custody, processing, etc. To now suggest that this is the first
- 7 opportunity that counsel have had to carry out a broader enquiry
- 8 and that such a broader enquiry is warranted is simply
- 9 disingenuous. All of these documents have been in the case file
- 10 for two or three years or longer, and I believe there were plenty
- 11 of opportunities for requests of this type to be made, and my
- 12 learned friend has indicated that they that such requests were
- 13 not made by them.
- 14 And I would suggest that, at this stage, this type of a request
- 15 can only tend to prolong the proceedings. Thank you.
- 16 MR. PAUW:
- 17 If I may respond to these matters, again, I think that my
- 18 colleague at the OCP turns around the burden of proof, on this
- 19 issue.
- 20 [14.10.29]
- 21 It is not for us to authenticate documents that the OCP wants to
- 22 rely on. If the OCP wants to use documents as evidence, they need
- 23 to provide us with enough information to make sure that we can
- 24 verify whether these documents are authentic and reliable. This
- 25 is what the hearing, in the past few weeks, has been about. And

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 during this hearing, as could have been expected, important
- 2 information has surfaced as to the way that DC-Cam has stored and
- 3 managed its information.
- 4 [14.11.10]
- 5 For example, we have noted that, apparently, no written notes
- 6 have been taken when DC-Cam spoke to people that handed over
- 7 certain documents. That is relevant information that only
- 8 surfaced during this hearing. It is for this reason that, now,
- 9 this issue has become urgent and we need to be able to establish
- 10 it further.
- 11 And the OCP refers to it as a comprehensive re-investigation of
- 12 the issue of provenance. This is exactly what I am not asking.
- 13 This is the reason that I inquire today whether this information
- in box 316 and box 317 is readily available.
- 15 And, as far as I understand IT, it should be very easy to simply
- 16 link specific documents with this box 316 and 317 and just
- 17 provide us two columns: one column with box 316 information, one
- 18 column with box 317 information. Then, we will be able to verify
- 19 whether the chain of custody and earlier custodians have been
- 20 adequately documented.
- 21 I will say that I doubt that is the case for many documents, also
- 22 considering what I just mentioned with regard to earlier notes
- 23 that had not been taken relating to the collection of evidence.
- 24 And this is what we need to establish. And, again, it was up for
- 25 the OCP to establish this when they wanted to rely on these

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 documents. If we speak about due diligence, I think it's the OCP
- 2 that has failed to be due diligent in this issue, and it's
- 3 actually the whole reason that we now need to have this hearing
- 4 that has cost this Court many, many days of questioning already.
- 5 It's now simply not fair to look at the Defence and place the
- 6 burden on us.
- 7 Again, it is up to the OCP, and we are now offering the OCP an
- 8 opportunity to, basically, help it, in the authentication of the
- 9 documents, by simply providing us that information in boxes 316
- 10 and 317.
- 11 [14.13.57]
- 12 We're here to talk about the truth. The truth regarding these
- 13 documents is crucial, and this truth is easily findable in
- 14 DC-Cam's archives.
- 15 So we would request to have that information added to the case
- 16 file to properly verify the authenticity of these documents.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 (Judges deliberate)
- 20 [14.16.05]
- 21 With respect to the request made by the defence counsel for Nuon
- 22 Chea, the Chamber would respond to it in due course.
- 23 Now, the floor is given to Mr. Son Arun to continue putting
- 24 questions to the witness.
- 25 MR. SON ARUN:

- 1 Good morning, Mr. President. And good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 2 afternoon.
- 3 For Your Honours' information, I have 20 questions to ask.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 You have up to 2.40, today, to put your questions, so you should
- 6 use the remaining time for your questions. And the Chamber did
- 7 advise party in relation to the time available for the defence
- 8 counsel this afternoon.
- 9 [14.17.24]
- 10 MR. SON ARUN:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President, for your direction. And I will remit my
- 12 questions to the time allotted.
- 13 QUESTIONING BY MR. SON ARUN:
- 14 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Chhang Youk. I would like to begin with my
- 15 first question.
- 16 In a statement taken by the OCIJ, document D2.2/7 (sic), dated
- 17 2007, I would like to know -- I would like to check with the
- 18 documents that were stored in the DC-Cam.
- 19 [14.18.10]
- 20 You mentioned that those documents are the contemporaneous DK
- 21 documents.
- 22 So my question is: Do all -- are all the documents you have in
- 23 your possession original documents?
- 24 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 25 A. We have some original documents and some copied documents.

- 1 Q. In your last line of the statement, you said that those
- 2 documents are authenticated. In other words, they are copied
- 3 properly from the originals.
- 4 What did you mean? Can you clarify?
- 5 A. I referred to the documents from the Swedish collection. We
- 6 had them copied and transported it to the DC-Cam, and that's
- 7 where are the documents that we discovered later on that Cornell
- 8 University made into microfilm from the documents left in Tuol
- 9 Sleng, and we made copy of those documents and kept them at the
- 10 DC-Cam, and we leave the originals in the Tuol Sleng Museum.
- 11 Q. If I understand correctly, when we talk about original
- documents, it means that they are the originals of the documents.
- 13 [14.19.44]
- 14 A. Original documents refer to the ones which have not been
- 15 copied. For example, a document originated from the Democratic
- 16 Kampuchea, and they have not been copied by others, and the paper
- 17 presentation reflects the one used during the regime. But if
- 18 those papers were scanned, they look different.
- 19 When we talk about original documents, they may be in colours,
- 20 and there were some written notes on those original papers as
- 21 well.
- 22 [14.20.21]
- 23 Q. When you say that they were the original, what was your
- 24 criteria for assessment of the original documents?
- 25 A. Are you referring to the Swedish collection?

- 1 Q. Well, both, Swedish collection and other documents.
- 2 A. For documents located domestically, I got them copies by the
- 3 DC-Cam, so we work with the Tuol Sleng personnel and we kept some
- 4 at the DC-Cam and left some with Tuol Sleng as well. So we have
- 5 "TSL" with serial numbers to identify certain documents, then we
- 6 can verify any time.
- 7 Q. You said, in your statement with the OCIJ, that those
- 8 documents were consistent and they were contemporaneous DK
- 9 documents.
- 10 What do you mean by "consistency"? Was it your sole conclusion of
- 11 that or are you based on any criteria or evidence? Because, now,
- 12 people can manipulate or fabricate a document in whatever way
- 13 they like, taking into account availability of technology.
- 14 A. I can give you an example. A document that is considered the
- 15 DK contemporaneous document, they use certain types of paper, and
- 16 at that time they used typewriter to type documents.
- 17 And in each document there is an author as well. And some authors
- 18 from that regime are still alive now, and there is a connection
- 19 of documents. And they can track the chains of documents as well,
- 20 if you want to actually -- want to find out who wrote it in the
- 21 first place.
- 22 [14.22.20]
- 23 And, in addition to that, we also look at the content, the words
- 24 used in the documents, so we have to actually understand the
- 25 context as well. For example, Bophana's (phonetic) confession

- 1 consisting of more than 1,000 pages long, and it involved love
- 2 stories and other stuff as well, so it is a long story of that,
- 3 and it was quite difficult for anyone to actually fake such
- 4 document.
- 5 Q. Have you ever received any written -- handwritten documents or
- 6 any other documents that were handwritten, whatever documents?
- 7 Have you ever received such documents?
- 8 A. Are you talking about documents from the before -- pre-1975 or
- 9 during the DK period?
- 10 Q. Well, from the DK period.
- 11 A. Well, I have received some. There was written records of the
- 12 DK comrades, and I read one of them and I noted that one of them
- 13 was not from the Democratic Kampuchea because that written note
- 14 was describing about a story of lady who migrated from France and
- 15 she was executed. And I wrote another note as well, a record as
- 16 well, about other story, and those notes were actually
- 17 handwritten.
- 18 Q. Is this the only notes or records you have received in
- 19 handwriting form?
- 20 A. Those records, 450 records, were all handwritten records of
- 21 the former combatants of the Democratic Kampuchea, and certain
- 22 record was written in 1972 or 1973.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 You told the OCIJ that for the prefix "B" were the documents
- 25 received from the government as well as personal donations.

- 1 Are you certain that those documents are the contemporaneous DK
- 2 documents?
- 3 A. Are you referring to letter K or--
- 4 Q. It was letter "Kor" [K]; it may refer to K in English.
- 5 [14.25.11]
- 6 A. If they refer to the K documents, they are biography
- 7 documents.
- 8 We have never used the Khmer initial in order to code the
- 9 document; we use the English alphabet. In this case, we used "K".
- 10 [14.25.29]
- 11 Q. For documents collected from S-21, they are marked "I"
- 12 documents. They are documents concerning the confession of the
- 13 prisoners, or the biography?
- 14 A. They -- of course, they are biography; I documents are the
- 15 biography.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Of course, you may continue your question and answer, but the
- 18 problem is that you are at times using the channel
- 19 simultaneously. It is difficult for the interpreting team to
- 20 render your questions and answers properly, so please pause in
- 21 between question and answer.
- 22 BY MR. SON ARUN:
- 23 I'm sorry. Just now, I have not finished my question. I would
- 24 like to repeat my question.
- 25 Q. You told the Co-Investigating Judges that the prefix K you

- 1 attached to the documents which you said you received from the
- 2 government offices as well as individual donation.
- 3 Are you certain that these documents are the contemporaneous DK
- 4 documents? Are you certain, or it is only your presumption?
- 5 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 6 A. As I informed the Chamber, we do not use the Khmer alphabet to
- 7 code the documents; we use English alphabet instead. For example,
- 8 letter I, letter K or so. But when you refer to documents marked
- 9 with "Document Kor [K]", I don't know. Probably, there was a
- 10 translation issue over there, but if it is the K document, it
- 11 refers to the biography document.
- 12 And when we talk about biography documents, there are different
- 13 types as well: there are biographies of the former CPK officers
- 14 who actually wrote their own biographies, and others are
- 15 autobiographies. And, as I said, Mr. Suong Sikoeun, or Comrade
- 16 Kong, he wrote his own biographies, and others are biographies
- 17 with the mug shot of the comrade or any personnel working in any
- 18 unit or organization.
- 19 Another biography belongs to the victims, and it reads the
- 20 history or biography of the prisoners, and it consists of around
- 21 four pages long, and it contains a mug shot of the prisoners as
- 22 well. And these kinds of documents are I documents. And for K
- 23 documents from Tuol Sleng-- And I's are for biographies that we
- 24 actually collected from Ministry of Interior; then, we marked
- 25 those documents "I documents", which refer to the biographies we

- 1 obtained from the Ministry of Interior.
- 2 Q. For documents you collected from S-21, you said that they are
- 3 I category documents, so they are -- they refer to the biography
- 4 of the personnel of the CPK as well as the prisoners of S-21.
- 5 And how can you distinguish between the biography kept at S-21 or
- 6 the confession or biography you obtained from Ministry of
- 7 Interior?
- 8 A. As I informed the Chamber last week, that whoever received the
- 9 confession or hears about confession, they only consider that
- 10 confession from the S-21, and there was an article written by
- 11 Douglas (phonetic), who specified the number of confessions.
- 12 [14.29.50]
- 13 At S-21, there are some 4,000 confessions, and certain
- 14 confessions from the Ministry of Interior we have collected up to
- 15 around 1,000 or so, and then we have verified two types of
- 16 confessions and biographies. We have noted that there are
- 17 overlapping biographies as well, but there are also some
- 18 different types of confessions and biographies. But normally,
- 19 what I found from Ministry of Interior contains more detail
- 20 biography or confession than the one found in the S-21.
- 21 So there are two kinds of confession: one from Ministry of
- 22 Interior, the other from S-21, and they consist of around 5,000.
- 23 [14.30.46]
- 24 O. You said that confessions could come from two different
- 25 sources. Is it fair to say that the person could be interviewed

- 1 at the Ministry of Interior and also at S-21?
- 2 A. No, no one was ever interviewed at the Ministry of Interior,
- 3 it was the confessions we obtained from the MOI, or Ministry of
- 4 Interior; there's a confession of Koy Thuon. I guess these
- 5 confessions were transferred to Santebal Office, and I guess
- 6 people at Santebal office could have interviewed the persons
- 7 further after they obtained the brief confessions from S-21
- 8 previously.
- 9 Q. I do not -- if I have already read all the documents, but my
- 10 question is that during the Democratic Kampuchea there was no
- 11 ministry such as Ministry of Interior. Mr. Son Sen was the
- 12 Minister of National Defence and Internal and External Security
- 13 Affairs?
- 14 A. I think there is a misunderstanding here. I am referring to
- 15 the documents of the Democratic Kampuchea at the Ministry of
- 16 Interior, I'm not talking about the Ministry of Interior that was
- 17 established during the Democratic Kampuchea.
- 18 [14.32.26]
- 19 What I am referring to is that the confessions could have been
- 20 transferred to Santebal office, and then, at Santebal office,
- 21 people could have interviewed the person who originally gave
- 22 these testimonies for a second time.
- 23 Q. You say that there were millions of documents that was placed
- 24 on your desk and that you would enjoy reading each document.
- 25 My question is: If the documents belonged to the DK or to the

- 1 government or to the senate, have you ever observed any
- 2 signatures on each document?
- 3 A. I think I have.
- 4 [14.33.26]
- 5 Mr. President, if you allow me to do so, I would like to talk
- 6 further on this.
- 7 To me, documents -- reading documents is one of my most favourite
- 8 hobbies. Any piece of document is more like a grain of rice one
- 9 could pick up during the Democratic Kampuchea, because everyone
- 10 was so hungry, so we would not waste any grain of rice.
- 11 And I noted that I read some documents with stamps. And I noted
- 12 signatures by Khieu Samphan and also signatures by Duch and
- 13 Comrade Khieu, so I have seen such marks.
- 14 [14.34.22]
- 15 Q. I have noted some stamps on documents, the stamps representing
- 16 military divisions and also stamps representing ministries, but
- 17 that's all I have noted.
- 18 Have you seen other stamps from other locations?
- 19 A. I think I have seen a few more other stamps representing other
- 20 places, but not much -- or not many.
- 21 Q. During Democratic Kampuchea regime, the policy was very
- 22 strict.
- 23 My question is: How could DC-Cam obtain information or documents
- 24 from all level -- from the grass root or local grass root level
- 25 to the central centre?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 A. I think that's a good question why such document is kept in
- 2 one place. I should refer the questions to your client as well,
- 3 why such documents are kept. I think I talked to a person and --
- 4 who knows a thing about the Khmer Rouge regime. They got their
- 5 documentation team, and Sous Thy<V> was a member of the
- 6 documentation team, and he also gave testimonies before the
- 7 Chamber. I asked him some questions about why -- or he was asked
- 8 why such a huge volume of document was kept, but he also said
- 9 that there was a team who was tasked with compiling or keeping
- 10 document and that we only feel that the document we obtain today
- 11 is only a drop in the ocean. There's still plenty of other
- 12 documents that could be available elsewhere.
- 13 [14.36.38]
- 14 And back then, the Khmer Rouge Government was a well established
- 15 one, so it could have been an institution where documents could
- 16 be properly managed.
- 17 Q. I think I used to talk to Nuon Chea and asked him some
- 18 question to learn that; during the Democratic Kampuchea, people
- 19 minded their own businesses and that a senior person only was
- 20 confined to understand his or her own personal affairs, rather
- 21 than knowing more about other institution.
- 22 So I can go back to the question at S-21; and the question
- 23 remains: Why should document or number of documents stored at
- 24 S-21, which was only a small location?
- 25 [14.37.38]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 A. I am very happy that you put question to me because, when you
- 2 put question in Khmer, it's really easy for me to respond.
- 3 Indeed, you perhaps misunderstand this. Documents at DC-Cam are
- 4 not only collected from S-21. Documents from Ben Kiernan was
- 5 obtained through Mr. Khieu Kanharith, and such documents were not
- 6 stored earlier at S-21. And we obtained only confessions and
- 7 images from S-21. Other documents are obtained from other
- 8 locations.
- 9 In the Khmer Rouge regime, when three people were grouped, they
- 10 would be called "Angkar" or (words in Khmer), upper echelon
- 11 organization. So even our group leaders in the mobile unit would
- 12 also be regarded as "Angkar". So that was an institution.
- 13 Q. You said from 2005 there has never been any agreement
- 14 concerning documents with the tribunal.
- 15 My question is: What do you think about this? Why you keep
- 16 providing document to the ECCC? Do you think that such act is not
- 17 legal?
- 18 A. I think I have to answer to requests by the ECCC as a judicial
- 19 institution, and I provide documents discriminate --
- 20 non-discriminately. I give document to any parties to the
- 21 proceedings, including the public, and documents collected at
- 22 DC-Cam has been done so through permission from the Government of
- 23 Cambodia as well.
- 24 [14.39.48]
- 25 Q. I think my question is more relevant. Indeed, it has been

- 1 asked time again, but I would like to seek clarification.
- 2 ECCC is a judicial body. I don't really care whether who you send
- 3 these documents to. DC-Cam is a centre, centre that is
- 4 responsible for providing documents to ECCC, which is a judicial
- 5 body.
- 6 [14.40.21]
- 7 A. I think ECCC has made request for documents, so we need to
- 8 provide documents to them.
- 9 Q. But how could you provide documents to the ECCC without
- 10 memorandum being signed?
- 11 A. It was my initial intention that I fully support the Court,
- 12 the tribunal, so, as an outsider of the Court, I would do my best
- 13 to feed the Court with documents available at my centre that has
- 14 been collected so far.
- 15 We discussed with our expert, including Kate Wilson<V>, at ICTY,
- 16 and that's why we proposed the idea of having a memo being signed
- 17 with Michelle Lee representing the Court, back then, because we
- 18 would like to make sure that it is proper and-- However, although
- 19 we have not signed the contract, we kept people who requested for
- 20 the document informed of the cost in producing the document to
- 21 them. I talked to Knut and Tony Kranh<V> concerning my request
- 22 because I was very concerned that this would prolong the
- 23 proceedings, and I -- as indicated, I did my best to support the
- 24 Court and that we would like everything to be done in a --
- 25 legally.

- 1 Q. When you receive documents from a foreign country, the
- 2 documents would only be in a foreign language.
- 3 Now, with regard to the "Black Paper" or the "Revolutionary Flag"
- 4 in particular, my client said that the "Flag", at the beginning,
- 5 was written by -- was written by hands, but then, when the
- 6 documents went all the way to Sweden, then it was converted into
- 7 French. Can we call it French original documents?
- 8 A. I have never seen "Revolutionary Flag" in French or in
- 9 English. Perhaps you have mistaken. According to my observation,
- 10 I think even in the "Revolutionary Flag" magazines themselves
- 11 there were photos of your client, Nuon Chea, in particular, and
- 12 these documents were produced in 1975. And they remain -- and in
- 13 my office, you can find those copies.
- 14 [14.43.38]
- 15 So I would like to make it clear that Mr. Son Arun don't -
- 16 misunderstand. We have the magazines, and bulletins, and
- 17 journals. I think Uncle Nuon -- I prefer you say Uncle Nuon
- 18 because I respect him as a senior person -- refer to 1950s, when
- 19 such magazines could have been produced in handwriting. But later
- 20 on, they developed.
- 21 And, again, I would like to make it clear: there is no
- 22 "Revolutionary Flag" magazine, at DC-Cam, that is in Swedish.
- 23 Q. I would like to also question you concerning this
- 24 "Revolutionary Flag". Nuon Chea is not here, but he was here this
- 25 morning. He said-- And he always challenged the production of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 "Revolutionary Flag" when Co-Prosecutor raised the -- or put the
- 2 document before the Court or show it to him because he said that
- that document was not original, so he said that he did not want 3
- to answer to any question with reference to the copy of document 4
- 5 that lack its originality. So that's why I, myself, tried to
- 6 locate those documents so that, if we can trace the original
- 7 documents, then my client would be more cooperative to respond to
- questions put to him. 8
- 9 [14.45.34]
- 10 A. I think maybe -- maybe we put it this way to help his memory.
- 11 I know that these things happened many years ago. People need to
- 12 stimulate his memory.
- 13 I think there is another book -- novel. Even during the Khmer
- 14 Rouge regime, there -- there was also novel. Comrade Sy
- 15 (phonetic), who wrote the book, the book was handwritten. Perhaps
- 16 we need to collect some other documents to help promote his
- 17 memory or refresh his memory of the events. If he still argues
- 18 that the "Revolutionary Flag" were only written by hand, we may
- 19 look at the novel concerning the person named Chao Say
- 20 (phonetic).
- 21 [14.46.54]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Counsel, could you please indicate how much time would you need
- 24 to put the remainder of the question? Because it's already 10
- 25 minutes past your allocated time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 We also note that Nuon Chea may have mistaken for the
- 2 "Revolutionary Flags" that produced during the 1960s that he
- 3 claimed were handwritten, but then there also were magazines that
- 4 were later on produced in August 1975, through printed media.
- 5 He indicated that it was impossible to locate people who had
- 6 knowledge of publishing the book back then; that's why only later
- 7 that "Revolutionary Flags" could be made available in printing
- 8 rather than by handwriting, as indicated.
- 9 We suggest that counsel did some homework very well with regard
- 10 to the sources of these documents before putting the question to
- 11 witness. Otherwise, you would drag on, and there will be more
- 12 confusion.
- 13 [14.48.31]
- 14 How much time would you need further on this?
- 15 MR. SON ARUN:
- 16 If the Chamber allows, I would need only 15 more minutes. Or I
- 17 can also be stopped.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Indeed, the Chamber gives you 12 minutes.
- 20 Please make the most of your allocated time; use it effectively,
- 21 wisely, and try to avoid repeated questions. And the questions
- themselves shall be precise to save you time.
- 23 BY MR. SON ARUN:
- 24 Q. In the same document, you stated that the five different kind
- 25 of documents -- paper-based document, minutes of the meeting,

- 1 biographies, and also the locations of the pits-- We want to know
- 2 whether all these documents produced before the Khmer Rouge or
- 3 after the Khmer Rouge regime?
- 4 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 5 A. I think all images have been obtained from the Democratic
- 6 Kampuchea regime.
- 7 [14.50.09]
- 8 Q. I think I heard somebody talking in the background. Could you
- 9 please make sure the mic was not activated when you talked?
- 10 Well, I would like to continue. I think the images were from not
- 11 only S-21, but from the collection of senior leaders who could be
- 12 taken by photographers whose -- whose photo could have been taken
- 13 by photographers on their trips to other location, like on trains
- 14 or in other locations. It's not difficult to identify them
- 15 because their photos were there.
- 16 [14.50.55]
- 17 Some photos have been traced all the way to France. They
- 18 disappeared from Cambodia, but they were located in France
- 19 including the films. We have some films that produced after the
- 20 Khmer Rouge regime by the East Germany and Yugoslavia. These
- 21 prints have been returned to us on the condition that they shall
- 22 not be reproduced because we do not want to create the image that
- 23 the movies or the film could have been perceived to have been
- 24 produced after the regime.
- 25 Richard Rogers<V> visited our office to inspect the films and we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 also made them available to them, but on the condition that the
- 2 films must not be reproduced or copied because we want to avoid,
- 3 as I indicated, the notion that people would say the films could
- 4 have been produced after the regime.
- 5 And documents concerning films were returned to Cambodia, and we
- 6 obtained several other images, not necessarily from S-21.
- 7 [14.52.39]
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 I've got another question to pose, but before that, I would like
- 10 to explain a bit.
- 11 According to the statement, D204/2, you indicated that the
- 12 original documents that relevant to Kraing Ta Chan were missing;
- 13 only the copied versions remain. And the question was put to you
- 14 why such documents were missing, were they stolen, and where are
- 15 they now, and when -- when they went missing.
- 16 A. I think if you read the whole transcript itself, you would see
- 17 who I met first. I met His Excellency Sou Phirin. I met Ting
- 18 Sokhai locating the original documents.
- 19 After the regime collapsed, documents were scattered and that
- 20 some documents were copied and placed at Tuol Sleng for its
- 21 archive. And my approach is to locate people who may have
- 22 knowledge of the documents and among the many prisons all across
- 23 the country, people said that only at Tuol Sleng that documents
- 24 were kept.
- 25 [14.54.35]

- 1 We tried to contact victims or relatives of those who were
- 2 detained at Kraing Ta Chan Prison to locate the relevant
- 3 documents and its provenance. I think my first impression with
- 4 regard to this document was when I read Ben Kiernan's book
- 5 concerning that prison, but there was no more documents left that
- 6 pointing to Kraing Ta Chan. The Deputy Chief of Kraing Ta Chan
- 7 also named Duch, but Eap Duch<V>. And another artist still alive.
- 8 Also, the former staff at Kraing Ta Chan who was asked, but still
- 9 we could not locate the original documents.
- 10 Q. In 1979, the Vietnamese troop controlled the country, and at
- 11 each ministry there were Vietnamese experts, including experts
- 12 who managed documentation. Vietnamese entered Phnom Penh and
- 13 started collecting and organizing documents.
- 14 [14.56.12]
- 15 My question is -- the question, back then, was that could the
- 16 Vietnamese fabricate the documents collected, its substance, for
- 17 example. So this question can be now put to you, whether it is
- 18 possible.
- 19 A. I think it is impossible. Only the very, very good expert who
- 20 could really fabricate such document in huge amount. For example,
- 21 when it comes to the term "dialectical materialism", no one could
- 22 ever imagine of how such terms could be used during the Khmer
- 23 Rouge regime, and these terms appear in several other documents
- 24 that identifying the terminology used during the regime.
- 25 I think, back in the Democratic Kampuchea, although people were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 forced to work at the labour sites or at later date when the
- 2 Vietnam in part of Phnom Penh, there were people who had to work,
- 3 although they had very little food, but they -- they worked very
- 4 hard to collect documents along with the Vietnamese. And the
- 5 documents were written in Khmer, so I could not really imagine if
- 6 any Vietnamese expert could produce very detailed information on
- 7 those hundred thousand pages of document. And, as I indicated,
- 8 the very jargon used by the Khmer Rouge, "dialectical
- 9 materialism", the term in Khmer is not really understood by many
- 10 of us here.
- 11 [14.58.25]
- 12 MR. SON ARUN:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President. I have no further questions.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Thank you, Counsel.
- 16 And it is now appropriate time for the adjournment, as well.
- 17 The Chamber would like to thank you, Mr. Chhang Youk, very much
- 18 for your time and effort to give testimonies before the Chamber.
- 19 The Chamber notes that the hearing or your testimonies today
- 20 comes to a completion and that you will be excused.
- 21 [14.59.05]
- 22 So the process concerning the documentation at DC-Cam and how
- 23 documents are collected have already been heard, and we thank you
- 24 very much, indeed, for your time again.
- 25 Court officer is now instructed, along with the WESU, to assist

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

- 1 witness so that he can be returned home safe and sound.
- 2 According to our schedule, we should conclude the session by 4
- 3 p.m., today. However, since counsel for Ieng Sary indicated that
- 4 they would not wish to put question, that's why we had to adjourn
- 5 this hearing earlier.
- 6 So the next session will be resumed on Wednesday, at 9 a.m.,
- 7 proceeded with Nuon Chea, questioning to Nuon Chea.
- 8 Counsel for the civil party, you may proceed.
- 9 [15.00.26]
- 10 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 11 Excuse me, Mr. President, could the Chamber enlighten me about
- 12 Wednesday and Thursday's hearings?
- 13 I had understood that there might be hearings in the morning on
- 14 Nuon Chea, but I would like to be sure that those are limited to
- 15 the morning sessions.
- 16 If the Chamber could clarify, I would be most grateful.
- 17 (Judges deliberate)
- 18 [15.01.45]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you. With regard to the scheduling of the hearing, indeed
- 21 there will be changes occasionally. And the Chamber has already
- 22 indicated to the parties that the management of Case File 002 is
- 23 complicated and that we would do our best to inform to the
- 24 parties to the best of our knowledge of the future scheduling of
- 25 any hearing.

00778180

E1/39.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 27 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 06/02/2012

110

And on Wednesday and Thursday, this week, the Chamber will 1 2 proceed with the hearings on Nuon Chea, and that Nuon Chea's 3 counsel will proceed with questions allocate -- according to the allocated time to the counsels for the morning session. Then, the 4 5 Chamber will proceed to discuss matters concerning documents 6 issue to be put before the Chamber. 7 And for the following week, the Chamber has already indicated to the parties, in particular pursuant to the Scheduling Order and 8 9 also with relevance to the filing of documents. 10 The subject matters remain the historical background of the 11 Democratic Kampuchea, and we will also proceed to the structure of the administration and communications of the Khmer Rouge 12 13 communication system. So these are the orders of the facts to be discussed during the following sessions. 14 15 [15.04.11]16 Security personnels are now instructed to bring the accused 17 persons back to the detention facility and return them to the 18 courtroom by Wednesday, 9 p.m. (sic). 19 The Court is now adjourned. 20 (Court adjourns at 1505H)

21

22

23

24