

सञ्चर्धश्रेर्धः इक्षाक्षण्यास्य व्यव्याद्यास्य स्था

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះរាទាំរសាចក្រកម្ព ទាំតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាតុក្រុ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អចិន្ទមុំស្រិះមារបាន្យត់១

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

16 February 2012 Trial Day 33 ឯកសារជើម

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date):24-Feb-2012, 13:21

Trial Day 3

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

Claudia FENZ YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve) Silvia CARTWRIGHT (Absent) Lawyers for the Accused:

The Accused:

SON Arun

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

IENG Sary

Michiel PESTMAN Andrew IANUZZI Jasper PAUW

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSÉ

DUCH Phary

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

Natacha WEXELS-RISER

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

SENG Bunkheang Andrew CAYLEY

Allulew CATLLT

Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL

Tarik ABDULHAK

Falguni DEBNATH

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

HONG Kimsuon CHET Vanly MOCH Sovannary

VEN Pov

LOR Chunthy
Olivier BAHOUGNE

For Court Management Section:

KAUV Keoratanak

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
MS. DEBNATH	English
JUDGE FENZ	English
MR. IANUZZI	English
MR. KARNAVAS	English
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. NUON CHEA	Khmer
MR. PAUW	English
MR. PESTMAN	English
MR. PICH ANG	Khmer
MR. SENG BUNKHEANG	Khmer
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0903H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 As scheduled, today hearing is dedicated to hear parties'
- 6 arguments concerning the documents as referred to in the
- 7 footnotes of the Closing Order concerning the communications and
- 8 administrative structures.
- 9 [09.04.30]
- 10 Parties are now advised to refer to document E170, starting from
- 11 paragraph 5 onwards.
- 12 However, yesterday, we left off with some certain things that
- 13 have not yet been complete and that we are going to hear the
- 14 statement by the accused person who would wish to respond to
- 15 documents put before the Chamber by the Co-Prosecutors and the
- 16 Lead Co-Lawyers for the civil parties. The Chamber therefore,
- 17 during this morning's session, provides -- the accused persons
- 18 with the opportunity to do so.
- 19 To help the Chamber be informed, we would like to ask Nuon Chea
- 20 counsels how much time they would need to respond to the
- 21 documents put before the Chamber by the Co-Prosecutors and the
- 22 Lead Co-Lawyers.
- 23 Mr. Nuon Chea or counsel for Nuon Chea, please advise the Court
- 24 on how much time you need first, before you can be given the
- 25 floor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 2 [09.06.11]
- 3 MR. PAUW:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 5 morning, everyone in and around the courtroom. We will be very
- 6 brief.
- 7 This morning, we would like to start, with your permission, with
- 8 Nuon Chea, who wants to speak for, I understand, about 15 to 20
- 9 minutes about certain issues relating to documents. And then my
- 10 colleague, Mr. Andrew Ianuzzi, would speak for another 15 or 20
- 11 minutes. So, in short, we would expect to be done in 45 minutes.
- 12 (Judges deliberate)
- 13 [09.07.18]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Counsel for Nuon Chea -- international counsel, according to our
- 16 schedule, you have already been informed that today's session is
- 17 for -- in the morning session, we will commence the hearing by
- 18 giving the opportunity to the accused person, Nuon Chea, to
- 19 respond to documents put before the Chamber by the Co-Prosecutors
- 20 and the Lead Co-Lawyers for the civil party. And yesterday we had
- 21 already been informed that that would take 15 -- 10 to 15
- 22 minutes, but just now you indicated that you would like to have
- 23 some more time.
- 24 The Chamber would like to know what would you wish to address
- 25 further than that. As I indicated early, that we are now

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 complying with the document E170 with regard to the subject
- 2 matters being discussed. Could you please, therefore, advise the
- 3 Chamber what kind of topic you are going to ask the Chamber to
- 4 discuss on top of the time you asked for your client to respond
- 5 to documents?
- 6 [09.09.10]
- 7 MR. PAUW:
- 8 Excuse me. Thank you, Mr. President. I think we're in total
- 9 agreement.
- 10 Indeed, as you indicated, our client would like to speak for
- 11 about 15 minutes. I understand this morning that it might run
- 12 into 20 minutes. I hope you allow our client to speak for that
- 13 amount of time.
- 14 And the rest of the submissions that we would like to address are
- 15 indeed related to this document E170, for which we would need
- 16 another 20 minutes.
- 17 And also I want to announce that probably, after Nuon Chea has
- 18 spoken, I will ask for two or three additional minutes of your
- 19 time to raise a procedure issue, but again, that can be very
- 20 short, so we should be done in about 40 to 45 minutes, including
- 21 the reference to the E170 document.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 Since the accused person Nuon Chea may take 15 to 20 minutes to
- 25 make his statement, the Chamber allows Nuon Chea to make such

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 statement when remain seated at his seat.
- 2 The Chamber would like Nuon Chea now to proceed with the
- 3 statement in response to the documents put by the Co-Prosecutors
- 4 and the Lead Co-Lawyers.
- 5 [09.10.57]
- 6 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 7 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours.
- 8 First of all, allow me to tell Mr. President that I truly have
- 9 difficulties in reading all the documents effectively because I
- 10 am a gentleman of advanced age. In particular, my concentration
- 11 and memory are too poor to allow me to understand fully the texts
- 12 I have read.
- 13 Even though I am represented by my counsels, I feel the need to
- 14 have an appreciation of every inculpatory document parties put
- 15 before the Chamber against me as stated by my counsels.
- 16 In order for me to effectively respond to documents put before
- 17 the Chamber, may I, therefore, request that I and my team be
- 18 offered more time so that we can have significantly looked at
- 19 documents put before the Chamber before they are examined?
- 20 [09.12.23]
- 21 I would like to now discuss about the documents put by the
- 22 Co-Prosecutors. And I would like to briefly refer to document IS
- 9.1, the Statute of the CPK.
- 24 It is my observation to the Chamber that Article 7, sub-paragraph
- 25 1, indicates very clearly the supreme power organization --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 organization that has the supreme power, as follows: "The Party
- 2 organization has the supreme power, the power that governs the
- 3 whole country and this power is adopted or was adopted by the
- 4 general Congress."
- 5 This is the official Party document, the only Party document
- 6 about the organizational structure of the Party. Any document
- 7 concerning the Standing Committee or the Military Committee that
- 8 only adopted by some individuals are not appropriate.
- 9 [09.13.57]
- 10 With regard to the interviews with me, I would like to access to
- 11 the record of the interview to see whether it was I who spoke in
- 12 the interview.
- 13 With regard to IS 20.34, the prosecutors indicated that the
- 14 interview was conducted in English, and I would like to specify
- 15 that I am Cambodian. I have devoted myself. I have to abandon
- 16 everything for the purpose of my nation, and there is no point
- 17 that I had to give interview in a foreign language which is not
- 18 my -- in my mother tongue. In short, I never gave any interviews
- 19 in English. I feel that I never gave such interview. If it were
- 20 some interview as claimed, I would like the content the interview
- 21 to be reviewed because I am Cambodian and I believe that the
- 22 interview could have been conducted in Khmer.
- 23 [09.15.25]
- 24 Among the interviewers, there is Mr. Ea Meng-Try, the person who
- 25 has written two books discussing his ill will towards the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

6

1 Democratic Kampuchea regime. And the worst thing is that, at --

- 2 or on one occasion, this author had worked at the Office of
- 3 Co-Prosecutors, the office that is looking for inculpatory
- 4 evidence against me.
- 5 With regard to the remaining documents, including the
- 6 "Revolutionary Flag" magazines, I would like to inform to the
- 7 Chamber that I am not able to comment or to respond effectively
- 8 unless the authenticity of these documents have been ruled on by
- 9 the Chamber first. My request on this is very simple because,
- 10 after having heard the testimonies of two witnesses representing
- 11 the Documentation Center of Kampuchea, in particular the
- 12 testimonies of Mr. Chhang Youk, who had good will in cooperating
- 13 with the Chamber in verifying all the documents -- the copied
- 14 documents against the original ones that he claims are being
- 15 stored at the DC-Cam.
- 16 May I, therefore, insist that the Chamber verify the documents
- 17 placed before the Chamber, the documents that have been
- 18 transferred from the DC-Cam? So these hard copies of the
- 19 documents before the Chamber shall be verified again the original
- 20 documents to ensure that it authenticity has been well considered
- 21 before the topics can be examined before the Chamber.
- 22 [09.18.04]
- 23 If the Chamber notes that this request is too ambitious or is too
- 24 big, I would like the Chamber to review the documents that are
- 25 being put before the Chamber during this first segment of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 trial. Indeed, the prosecutors have the rights to investigate --
- 2 to conduct a preliminary investigation finding evidence against
- 3 me. In the contrary, I rely heavily on the Office of
- 4 Co-Investigating Judges, who have already been biased and not
- 5 trustworthy. By and large, this office is playing the same role
- 6 as the role performed by the Office of Co-Prosecutors. Your
- 7 Honours may have been already familiar with the statement by the
- 8 former senior officers of the office -- of this office concerning
- 9 the biasness in their investigation. I would like not to
- 10 reiterate this again.
- 11 It is therefore beyond reasonable doubt that the documents
- 12 presented by the prosecutors, who claimed that they are accurate
- 13 and authentic documents as opposed to the original one. Such
- 14 assertion by the Prosecution only fits their needs, not the whole
- 15 Chamber.
- 16 [09.20.11]
- 17 We, parties, would like to also access to the original documents.
- 18 I feel that there is only the Chamber who has the appropriate
- 19 authority to provide me -- or to grant me this request in order
- 20 to facilitate the proceedings in ascertaining the truth, justice
- 21 that benefits all parties in the courtroom -- in the proceedings.
- 22 I am hopeful that the Chamber will consider my request. This
- 23 request is very appropriate in order to enable me to effectively
- 24 participate in this mission to find the truth for the whole
- 25 nation. I think that this request is legitimate and plausible,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 and there is no obstacle, whatsoever, to stop the Chamber from
- 2 granting such a request, having considered the position of the
- 3 DC-Cam.
- 4 I would like to also make my observation before the Chamber that
- 5 I strongly believe that, according to Cambodian laws, I have the
- 6 right to put evidentiary documents -- additional evidentiary
- 7 documents from now until the completion of the proceedings. I,
- 8 therefore, hope that the Chamber will allow me to exercise this
- 9 right more effectively, pursuant to the laws.
- 10 [09.22.27]
- 11 Moreover, I see that some witnesses who already had given their
- 12 testimonies are not significant, it is not significant to
- 13 conclude the topic on the historical background of the Party
- 14 before 1975, because all these witness -- or the majority of whom
- 15 were requested to be summoned by the Co-Prosecutors -- and there
- 16 was lack of cooperation among these witness to the Chamber.
- 17 The witnesses our team had requested to be called to give
- 18 testimonies concerning this context have not been asked to come
- 19 to the Court. We have not heard any witness testimonies yet. I,
- 20 therefore, can see that there are still holes, or gaps in the
- 21 context of the historical background of the Democratic Kampuchea
- 22 and that the general public has not been well informed of what
- 23 happened back then.
- 24 [09.23.58]
- 25 Furthermore, pursuant to the scheduling concerning the first

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 segment of this trial, I can see that this is already, as stated,
- 2 the conclusion of the historical background of the Democratic
- 3 Kampuchea; what happened before 1975.
- 4 To me, it should not be concluded now because we seem to only
- 5 have understood portion of the story. We only got the head of the
- 6 crocodile, not the whole body, and we failed to discuss the
- 7 policy of the Americans who dropped bombs on Cambodia. Why have
- 8 we not discussed these documents -- or relevant documents as
- 9 well? The bombings, the intention to wipe out Cambodian race from
- 10 Cambodia, these sort of things have not been discussed. It is
- 11 simply put.
- 12 I can say that the Office of Co-Prosecutors are longing for
- 13 nothing less than establishing an incomplete version of history.
- 14 However, I hope that the Chamber will rule on these matters
- 15 freely and independently.
- 16 [09.25.51]
- 17 Once again, I would like the Chamber to provide me with an
- 18 opportunity which makes me have some faith in the Chamber in
- 19 finding the truth and justice that benefit all parties equally. I
- 20 thank you very much, Your Honours.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 23 Next, we would like to ask whether any of other accused person
- 24 wish to respond to any of the documents put before the Chamber by
- 25 the Co-Prosecutors and the civil party lawyers.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Khieu Samphan has nothing to
- 4 respond for the time being, but he reserves his right to respond
- 5 at a later date.
- 6 [09.27.15]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 Next, we would like to proceed to counsel for Nuon Chea to have
- 10 an opportunity to raise their arguments concerning the documents
- 11 relevant to the footnotes of the Closing Order, as already
- 12 indicated by the Chamber, the documents that relevant to the
- 13 communication and administrative structures of the CPK and as
- 14 guided in E170, starting from paragraph 5. You may now proceed.
- 15 MR. PAUW:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President. As indicated, my colleague, Mr.
- 17 Ianuzzi, will be speaking about those specific documents.
- 18 But I would like to ask you for the opportunity to speak for two
- 19 or three minutes on an issue that is directly related to what
- 20 Nuon Chea just spoke about, his feeling that not enough attention
- 21 has been given to the broader historical context. And I will not
- 22 repeat or again enter into submissions like I did yesterday; I
- 23 will just ask you for an opportunity to make these arguments at a
- 24 later stage.
- 25 [09.28.31]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 I want you -- I want to ask you to not close the
- 2 historical-context segment yet.
- 3 Basically, I will ask you to set aside 45 minutes at a later
- 4 stage. I will -- I will wait. I'll see -- I see that--
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Counsel, you can continue.
- 7 MR. PAUW:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President. As I stated, the Nuon Chea defence team
- 9 would like this Chamber to set aside 45 minutes, no more,
- 10 somewhere in the near future, for us to make submissions on the
- 11 importance of historical context. We want to explain why it is
- 12 important that this Court looks at the--
- 13 [09.29.39]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Unfortunately, the Chamber does not allow you to make such a
- 16 statement. This opportunity is given to you only to raise
- 17 arguments concerning the relevant issues as indicated, the
- 18 documents relevant to the footnotes of the Closing Order with
- 19 regard to the communications and administrative structures of the
- 20 CPK. This topic will be examined in the trial segment 2. You
- 21 already stated on this yesterday, and that the -- that the
- 22 Chamber already ruled on that, and you are not allowed to take
- 23 the opportunity given to address other irrelevant issues.
- 24 [09.30.39]
- 25 The Chamber has already made it clear concerning how the Chamber

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 would see arguments raised by the counsel in response to
- 2 documents by the other parties. Counsel for Nuon Chea had already
- 3 been advised to submit their request in writing and that the
- 4 Chamber will rule on the request in due course, no later than
- 5 next week.
- 6 So, again, we would like to advise him -- counsel that the topic
- 7 being discussed this morning is about the footnotes -- relevant
- 8 footnotes of the Closing Orders. I hope that my message has been
- 9 well conveyed by the interpreters to you already, I hope.
- 10 [09.31.50]
- 11 MR. PAUW:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. And I understand what you're saying
- 13 today, but indeed there might be a translation issue because, as
- 14 far as I am aware, we have not been ordered to file written
- 15 submissions on the importance of historical context.
- 16 And I would say that this lack of transparency and this reliance
- on written submissions if simply unacceptable. The public--
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The issue has already been ruled upon, so you are not permitted
- 20 to raise any issue concerning this matter. And if you have other
- 21 observation on this historical background, you may make a written
- 22 submission on that.
- 23 And as for the four documents you intended to place before the
- 24 Chamber, the Chamber will rule on this matter at a later stage.
- 25 As for witnesses who may testify on the historical background,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 that may be someone at a later stage, then it will be done at a
- 2 later stage as well.
- 3 So the issue is dealt with, so you are not allowed to make any
- 4 other comment other than complying with the memorandum of the
- 5 Chamber from paragraph 5.
- 6 [09.33.56]
- 7 MR. PAUW:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President. I understand your message.
- 9 And will cede the floor to my colleague, Mr. Ianuzzi.
- 10 Just in relation to the witnesses that you just mentioned, we
- 11 feel also on that point -- we want to make it very clear that we
- 12 want to have an open debate in a public courtroom as--
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Counsel, you are not allowed to make any other observation on
- 15 this issue.
- 16 [09.34.31]
- 17 MR. IANUZZI:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. Pauw. Thank you, Your Honour. Good morning.
- 19 If I could just clarify for a moment before I begin addressing
- 20 the objections regarding the communication and administrative
- 21 structures, I'd like it to be very clear -- we'd like a clear
- 22 direction from the Chamber that the contextual issue is still
- 23 open -- it's still open -- and we may submit written submissions
- 24 in due course. And in fact it seems like you're expecting that.
- 25 So that's clear.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 I hand over to Judge Fenz to clarify the issue to the defence for
- 3 Nuon Chea.
- 4 JUDGE FENZ:
- 5 I was under the impression that it was clarified yesterday, when
- 6 the issue was raised by counsel. We clarified that, at this
- 7 point, we not foreseeing in Court debate on that, but obviously
- 8 counsel can file submissions in writing, which we will take into
- 9 consideration, on this issue as on many others.
- 10 MR. IANUZZI:
- 11 Thank you, Judge Fenz. That's that's quite clear now.
- 12 [09.35.50]
- 13 And moving along, I will begin to address the objections
- 14 regarding the communication and administrative structures as set
- 15 out in document E170. As usual, I'll be very brief. I have three
- 16 main points to make today.
- 17 First, I would simply reiterate the general objections made by
- 18 our team at the previous hearing on documents -- I believe that
- 19 was 16th January -- and I would reserve our right to make further
- 20 objections to the documents in writing, as stipulated by the
- 21 senior legal officer in her memo of 9th February.
- 22 [09.36.25]
- 23 One of those points that was made previously, which I'd like to
- 24 reiterate today, is that we say, we argue, we submit that, where
- 25 witness statements go to either the acts or the conduct of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Accused or -- or a pivotal issue in the case -- and I would
- 2 submit that communication and administrative structures appears
- 3 by your own assessment to be such a pivotal issue -- that in such
- 4 cases, the makers of those statements, the individuals who made
- 5 those statements, where available, should appear in this
- 6 courtroom for examination.
- 7 And, as I recall, the OCP has more or less agreed with this
- 8 position. In fact, I believe they're the ones who've advanced it
- 9 in the first place.
- 10 I do notice that counsel for the Prosecution is on her feet.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The representative of the Prosecution, you may proceed.
- 13 MS. DEBNATH:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President. And I apologize for interrupting.
- 15 [09.37.27]
- 16 I want to clarify that witness statements are excluded, by the
- 17 terms of the memorandum from the senior legal officer, from
- 18 discussion in this part of the hearings regarding the footnotes
- 19 for administrative, communications structure.
- 20 We are awaiting a decision from the Court with respect to witness
- 21 statements, and so that part should not be a part of objections
- 22 at this time. Thank you.
- 23 MR. IANUZZI:
- 24 If I could respond very briefly to that, I'm using "witness
- 25 statements" in a very general sense.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 And I'm looking now at a document distributed by the Chamber on
- 2 the 10th of February, with a long list of the documents for
- 3 discussion today, and the second document on this list, IS
- 4 19.111, which was the first document I was going to deal with, is
- 5 an interview with Meas Muth, former Secretary of the Central
- 6 Committee for Division 164, former commander of the Navy -- as we
- 7 all know, a suspect in Case 003. I see this on the list.
- 8 Am I to be -- understand now that we cannot discuss that?
- 9 (Judges deliberate)
- 10 [09.39.36]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The International Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 13 MS. DEBNATH:
- 14 Thank you. If I could clarify, there are two lists with regard to
- 15 this particular document hearing.
- 16 The first list was provided by the OCP, and it contains 15
- 17 documents.
- 18 Subsequently, the senior legal officer provided another list of
- 19 120 documents.
- 20 Both lists actually contained documents that were in fact the
- 21 subject of the first document hearing. For example, both lists
- 22 contain documents that were referred to in the footnotes with
- 23 respect to historical documents and, as such, have already been
- 24 the subject of debate.
- 25 Furthermore, some of those documents on both lists appear on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Annex 1 to 5, also the subject of debate in the first document
- 2 hearing. Those documents should therefore not be a subject of
- 3 debate again at this time.
- 4 [09.40.45]
- 5 The document that has been referred to, IS 19.111, is one such
- 6 document because it's a witness statement which also is excluded.
- 7 In fact, the next document is also a witness statement, and there
- 8 are many documents on the list which are witness statements, were
- 9 in the footnotes for historical background or are in Annexes 1 to
- 10 4. Thank you.
- 11 [09.41.13]
- 12 MR. IANUZZI:
- 13 Your Honour, if I may, I'm incredibly confused.
- 14 As I understood it, this document was circulated by the Chamber
- 15 as a list of documents to be discussed today. Now, if I'm wrong,
- 16 I stand to be corrected, but this document was circulated
- 17 earlier, it was re-circulated in an abbreviated form. The one I'm
- 18 looking at was circulated on the 10th of February.
- 19 Maybe my colleagues can assist me here, if I'm off-base, but this
- 20 is clearly, clearly, what I perceived to be the agenda for today.
- 21 (Judges deliberate)
- 22 [09.45.52]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 I hand over to Judge Fenz to explain on this particular matter.
- 25 JUDGE FENZ:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 It's true that, in the memorandum dated 19 of February, we have
- 2 restricted today's debate to the documents on the list of 2nd of
- 3 February 2012 -- this is paragraph 5 of this of this document
- 4 -- so the Chamber wishes to stick within these limits in order to
- 5 avoid further confusion.
- 6 [09.46.29]
- 7 We have however taken note of the general comment of the Defence,
- 8 which, if I understood it correctly, is to call the authors of
- 9 reports or documents in relation to this -- to this segment of
- 10 the trial as witnesses in Court.
- 11 MR. IANUZZI:
- 12 Perhaps I could request a clarification.
- 13 Are you telling me that I cannot refer to this table of
- 14 documents? Because I'm looking in the same document, E170 at
- 15 paragraph 5, and it says: "...a table showing the limited number of
- 16 documents that are therefore intended for adversarial argument
- 17 during [the] hearing (since amended by the Chamber...)", which is
- 18 the version I'm looking at, the amended version. It seems to me
- 19 that that is very clearly the agenda for today.
- 20 Unless I'm completely mistaken and this table in my hands is not
- 21 the table referred to in the memo.
- 22 (Judges deliberate)
- 23 [09.48.08]
- 24 JUDGE FENZ:
- 25 The Chamber will take a break of a couple of minutes to clarify

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 it, get hold of the -- get hold of all the documents that are
- 2 actually mentioned in here, and we'll clarify, hopefully beyond a
- 3 reasonable doubt, afterwards, exactly what will be allowed today.
- 4 So we'll take 15 minutes break.
- 5 MR. IANUZZI:
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 (No interpretation)
- 9 [09.48.40]
- 10 MS. DEBNATH:
- 11 Thank you. Would it assist Your Honours if we provided our list,
- 12 which is the list of 120 provided by the SLO? But we did
- 13 double-check to make sure there are no documents overlapping from
- 14 the first hearing, and we have that list and we are happy to
- 15 provide it to you in the break if it would assist. Thank you.
- 16 MR. IANUZZI:
- 17 And if I may just add for the record, we did prepare today to
- 18 debate these documents, so I would insist, to the extent I'm able
- 19 to insist in this courtroom, that I'd like to discuss these
- 20 documents. Thank you.
- 21 [09.49.27]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 The Defence Counsel for Ieng Sary, Mr. Karnavas, you may proceed.
- 24 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 25 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours, and good

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 morning to everyone in and around the courtroom. Perhaps I could
- 2 be of some assistance as well.
- 3 There were some lists; the Prosecution provided a list, then
- 4 there was another list, and then, on the 2nd -- on the 10th, we
- 5 noted to the senior legal officer that there was some duplication
- 6 and we pointed out what we believed were the duplicates.
- 7 We then received an email back from the senior legal officer, who
- 8 then provided us with a comprehensive list, reducing the total
- 9 amount of documents to 95 -- 95 documents that we were supposed
- 10 to discuss.
- 11 So we're all under the impression that it is this list that is
- 12 the controlling list, the one that the senior legal officer
- 13 prepared after we pointed out that the previous list, which was a
- 14 hundred and some documents, 120 or whatever, contained some
- 15 duplicates.
- 16 [09.50.42]
- 17 So that's our understanding, Your Honours. I believe we received
- 18 this list last Thursday or Friday. Thank you.
- 19 MR. IANUZZI:
- 20 And, Your Honour, excuse me, If I may -- and this may assist in
- 21 your deliberations -- I intend to refer to six documents on this
- 22 list, only. I'm more than happy to read those out now if that
- 23 would assist in your deliberation.
- 24 [09.51.11]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 The Defence Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to make an observation in
- 4 respect of the requests made by the Prosecution on the new list
- 5 of documents.
- 6 The acceptance of the new list is not possible because, if we
- 7 have to admit the new list, then certain time should be given to
- 8 other parties to study the lists of the documents.
- 9 However, with regard to the document sent by the senior legal
- 10 officer containing 95 documents, if we take out certain documents
- 11 I don't think it poses any question. However, if any additional
- documents or documents different from the list of 95 documents,
- 13 time should be given to parties so that we can study those
- 14 documents.
- 15 [09.52.12]
- 16 So, once again, I would like to object to any proposed addition
- 17 of new lists. Thank you.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you for all parties for your observation.
- 20 And it is now an appropriate time to take adjournment. We will
- 21 break until 10.30.
- 22 The Court is now adjourned.
- 23 (Court recesses from 0952H to 1038H)
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 [10.39.28]
- 2 We have had some issues concerning the documents to be put before
- 3 the Chamber. However, we have had a deliberation on this.
- 4 In my capacity as the President, I would like now to hand over to
- 5 Judge Lavergne to enlighten these matters to the parties so that
- 6 it can be clarified. Judge Lavergne, you may now proceed.
- 7 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 8 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 For the purposes of this hearing, the Chamber published or
- 10 issued a memorandum dated 9 February 2012. And in addition, to
- 11 facilitate the preparation of this hearing, different lists of
- 12 documents that might be debated today have circulated among the
- 13 parties. The latest list is a list that was addressed to the
- 14 parties on the 10th of February, and this list contains 95
- 15 documents. So it is possible that some of these documents
- 16 contradict or are at odds with the indications that were
- 17 initially listed in the memorandum, but this latest list,
- 18 however, is the basis on which each of the parties were invited
- 19 to work with. And therefore objections will be heard regarding
- 20 the 95 documents included in this list.
- 21 And the Chamber also wishes to remind that the purpose of this
- 22 hearing is to discuss the possibility or not to put these
- 23 documents before the Chamber. So the point is not to examine the
- 24 content of these documents, but to see if these documents are
- 25 reliable, or authentic, because the documents are are listed in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 the footnotes, and to see to debate on this question.
- 2 So, I hope that this makes the Chamber's position clear.
- 3 And now I will give the floor back to the parties.
- 4 [10.42.31]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 The Counsel for Nuon for Ieng Sary first, rather.
- 7 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours. My sincere apologies that
- 9 I have to be on my feet to put this request to the Chamber again,
- 10 concerning my client's request to be excused from this courtroom
- 11 due to his lumbago and that he asked the Chamber to grant him
- 12 permission to observe the proceedings from his holding cell.
- 13 [10.43.22]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 The Chamber has noted the request by counsel representing Ieng
- 16 Sary, asking that Ieng Sary be excused from this courtroom and
- 17 that he be allowed to observe the proceedings from his holding
- 18 cell because of his lumbago problem.
- 19 The Chamber, therefore, grants such a request. Ieng Sary is
- 20 therefore allowed to observe the proceedings from his holding
- 21 cell for today hearing.
- 22 However, the Chamber asks that counsels for Ieng Sary produce the
- 23 waiver signed by Ieng Sary or give a thumbprint by the accused
- 24 person.
- 25 The AV officers are now instructed to ensure that his holding

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 cell is linked to the courtroom so that he can observe the
- 2 proceedings through remote participation.
- 3 Security personnels are instructed to bring Ieng Sary to the
- 4 holding cell.
- 5 Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 6 MS. DEBNATH:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I would ask the Chamber
- 8 to remind all counsel to refrain from using the actual names of
- 9 potential witnesses on our trial witness list in open Court.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 [10.45.27]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Thank you for this.
- 14 And the Chamber also reminds the parties that we are now
- 15 discussing the documents concerning the decision rendered by the
- 16 Chamber on the 16th of February 2012. And during this discussion,
- 17 parties shall only raise concerns about the general documents and
- 18 refrain from referring to any full names real names of
- 19 witnesses involved. If needed, then only their pseudonyms may be
- 20 cited.
- 21 You may proceed, Counsel.
- 22 [10.46.29]
- 23 MR. IANUZZI:
- 24 Thank you, Your Honour. Thank you, Judge Lavergne, for the
- 25 clarification. Just to be clear, I did not intend to delve into

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 the contents of these statements, just to merely identify the
- 2 ones on my list that we take issue with.
- 3 And I'll certainly be able to finish before the lunch break.
- 4 So I would just like to read the titles of six documents from
- 5 this list. One, I've read already. I'll just quickly repeat it:
- 6 it's document number 19 IS 19.111, that's the interview with
- 7 Meas Muth, former secretary of Central Committee for Division
- 8 164.
- 9 Second document is D210/2. That's a letter of reply from Steven
- 10 Heder, dated 11-08-2009, regarding Ouk Bunchhoeun and Sim Ka,
- 11 among others.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Counsel, could you slow down a little bit for interpreters and
- 14 good record.
- 15 And indeed, we missed your last message; you could repeat.
- 16 [10.47.42]
- 17 MR. IANUZZI:
- 18 I will indeed. I apologize.
- 19 Second document is D210/2. That's a letter of reply from Steven
- 20 Heder, dated 11 August 2009, regarding Ouk Bunchhoeun and Sim Ka,
- 21 among others.
- 22 The third document is D248/2.2. That's a transcription of an
- 23 interview between Ouk Bunchhoeun, conducted by Steve Heder.
- 24 The fourth document is D269/9/1.15. That's a handwritten English
- 25 translation of Ouk Bunchhoeun's interview with Ben Kiernan.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 The fifth document, D269/9/1.15.1; that is a handwritten English
- 2 translation of an interview with Ouk Bunchhoeun with Ben Kiernan,
- 3 and this one is indicated as a typed version.
- 4 And the final document is D313/1.2.406. And that is an interview
- 5 with Chea Sim, Heng Samrin, dated -- Phnom Penh, 2nd December
- 6 1991.
- 7 [10.49.12]
- 8 So, with respect to these six documents that I've identified,
- 9 documents relating to Meas Muth, former commander, suspect in
- 10 Case 003, Ouk Bunchhoeun, Sim Ka, CPP senators, two individuals
- 11 that we've requested to be called as witness, and Chea Sim, of
- 12 course the president of the Senate, and Heng Samrin, of course
- 13 the president of the National Assembly, all of these individuals
- 14 we have said should be here in Court to testify.
- 15 And getting back to the general point I made earlier that is,
- 16 the point of what weight, if any, to give to the statements of
- 17 these individuals as to the acts and conduct of the Accused or as
- 18 to a pivotal issue in this case in this case, for purposes of
- 19 today's hearing, that would be the communication and
- 20 administrative structures but we would also say that these
- 21 individuals are relevant to the has now been clarified -
- 22 un-closed historical context section of the trial.
- 23 So, with respect to these five individuals, these six documents,
- 24 we have two objections.
- 25 First, we object to the fact that the Chamber has not placed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 their names on the provisional witness list in Case 002. As I've
- 2 said, we've called for their testimony, but to date they have not
- 3 been scheduled to appear.
- 4 And, secondly, we object to the fact that the Chamber has not
- 5 taken any remedial action in response to the fact that four of
- 6 these individuals four of those individuals that I've named
- 7 have previously ignored, at the urging of the government, I might
- 8 add, have previously ignored (unintelligible) issued summonses to
- 9 appear before this tribunal. So, with respect to those six
- 10 documents, those five individuals, those are our submissions.
- 11 [10.51.02]
- 12 And, finally, I'd like to inform the Chamber that we've decided
- 13 to take up a suggestion made by the Presidents on the 8th of
- 14 February: we've prepared a written application pursuant to Rule
- 15 35, with respect to the public comments made by Hun Sen recently
- 16 in Viet Nam. Of course, I am referring to his remarks that Nuon
- 17 Chea is "a deceitful killer and perpetrator of genocide". That
- 18 application will be filed--
- 19 [10.51.27]
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The Chamber has already ruled on that matter. We are now
- 22 referring to the documents to be discussed before this Chamber.
- 23 Other irrelevant issues or the issues that have already been
- 24 ruled upon by the Chamber shall not be allowed to reiterate
- 25 again. The Chamber will in due course rule on the matters at a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 later hearing.
- 2 Could counsel, therefore, be advised that he should not step
- 3 further than what has already been asked to do?
- 4 MR. IANUZZI:
- 5 Thank you, Your Honour, for that clarification. Indeed, I will
- 6 not step any further. I just wanted to alert the Chamber that we
- 7 would be taking up your suggestion: that motion will be marked
- 8 urgent, and we hope you will deal with it in due course, as you
- 9 have just said.
- 10 And one last point. I understand that Dr. Kissinger will be
- 11 travelling in the region in the next few months, so perhaps the
- 12 Chamber would consider whether or not he could grace us with his
- 13 presence. I think his testimony would be quite relevant to the
- 14 un-closed historical segment of the trial, and we would certainly
- 15 support his appearance here.
- 16 Thank you very much. That's all.
- 17 [10.53.03]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Lead Co-Lawyer for the civil parties, you may proceed.
- 20 MR. PICH ANG:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Your Honours.
- 22 Counsel for Nuon Chea was talking about Mr. Kissinger. I would
- 23 like to enquire: Would Kissinger's name appear in one of the 95
- 24 documents being listed?
- 25 [10.53.55]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 MR. IANUZZI:
- 2 I believe it does not appear in one of the 95 documents. I'm not
- 3 sure. He certainly appears on--
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 It should be stopped there.
- 6 And indeed the Chamber allows only matters relevant to the 95
- 7 documents being discussed. You may be seated.
- 8 Next, we would like to proceed to counsels for Ieng Sary.
- 9 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 10 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours, again,
- 11 and good morning to everyone in and around the courtroom.
- 12 [10.54.35]
- 13 Because we are dealing with 95 documents and we have so little
- 14 time, rather than go through documents individually, I will do
- 15 them in groups and primarily raise our concerns or our
- 16 observations or objections to the documents as part of a group.
- 17 And I think that would facilitate the discussion and allow me to
- 18 finish perhaps within the next half hour. There are some
- 19 documents that I will definitely spend a little bit of time on.
- 20 So, starting off with, would be document D177/3.1. This is a 16
- 21 February document in the objection to the Closing Order material
- 22 related to the administration and the communications structures.
- 23 As we understand it, this is a timeline that was prepared by the
- 24 OCIJ, by a staff member, Richard Moore M-O-O-R-E. We don't
- 25 believe he is still working with the OCIJ. This timeline

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 purportedly shows when Mr. Ieng Sary was travelling and out of
- 2 the country and tries to somehow show when individuals working
- 3 for the MFA went to S-21. Presumably, this study -- or this
- 4 timeline was being prepared to show when individuals would have
- 5 gone to S-21 while Mr. Ieng Sary was in the country.
- 6 [10.56.23]
- 7 We object to this document on several grounds.
- 8 First and foremost, we do not know whether the OCIJ ever made any
- 9 real, substantive efforts to obtain documentation from China as
- 10 to when the Mr. Ieng Sary would have been travelling abroad. I
- 11 mention China because, at the time, China was the only one that
- 12 had an aircraft and was servicing Cambodia. So we don't know
- 13 exactly what information he looked at, both inculpatory and
- 14 exculpatory.
- 15 In the event the Trial Chamber were to admit this document, we
- 16 certainly would request that Mr. Moore be called -- be summoned
- 17 to give additional information on this particular document and to
- 18 be cross-examined. In the event he is not called but the document
- 19 is admitted, we submit, unless there is additional information
- 20 that would validate the timeline, that little or no weight be
- 21 given to it.
- 22 [10.57.38]
- 23 Next, our group of documents which relate to telegrams in
- 24 Commerce Department documents. You will note that it is very easy
- 25 to spot them when you look at the headings on these documents.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Our primary concern is that, unless we have witnesses that come
- 2 and at least give some indication as to how telegrams and these
- 3 documents were produced, that little or no weight be given to
- 4 them should they be admitted. Simply admitting documents,
- 5 admitting a telegram by itself without any further testimony as
- 6 to how it was generated, how it was you know, the mechanics of
- 7 it, and of course, once it was received on one end, how it would
- 8 be distributed, not to the individual to whom it was actually
- 9 addressed, but also to those who might have been copied as
- 10 recipients of such telegrams -- And of course a Commerce
- 11 Department document -- It is our position that some testimony has
- 12 to be elicited as to why the documents are relevant.
- 13 We've already indicated in the past, I think, when we've had our
- 14 discussions concerning our concerns as whether documents are
- 15 authentic and reliable; there's no need for me to go into that.
- 16 We take it that documents that seem to have been generated by the
- 17 CPK at that time will automatically come in. However, the Trial
- 18 Chamber has indicated that it would entertain objections to
- 19 individual documents should a party question the authenticity or
- 20 reliability of it. So, in other words, as I've indicated once
- 21 before, it is our understanding that there is a presumption of
- 22 admissibility in authenticity, but it is a rebuttable
- 23 presumption, and the burden is on the party who is actually
- 24 challenging the document.
- 25 [11.00.01]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 The next block of documents are material which we call the Heder
- 2 material. As you all know, Heder first worked for the
- 3 Prosecution, assisted in drafting the Introductory Submission,
- 4 then switched over to the OCIJ, and then began -- supposedly, I
- 5 guess -- investigating to authenticate and to validate the
- 6 Introductory Submission which he had drafted, and over the course
- 7 of the years, he has conducted several interviews. We have raised
- 8 already, I believe Mr. Ang Udom quite nicely pointed out that
- 9 there are there may be some problems with the translation of
- 10 some of these documents, so we are looking into them.
- 11 We understand, from the Court's decision on that -- on that
- 12 request made by us, that it is up to us to go through them and
- 13 then point out specifically where we believe there are errors in
- 14 the translation.
- 15 [11.01.12]
- 16 Specifically, I am referring to documents D248/2.2. We understand
- 17 this one is not available in English. This is our understanding,
- 18 and, you know, we could be wrong. D210/2 is a letter from Steven
- 19 Heder to the OCIJ. Of course, we leave it up to you to decide how
- 20 much weight, if any, to give to that, should you find it
- 21 admissible. And frankly, it's our position that such -- these
- 22 sorts of communications are the sort of documents that you are
- 23 perfectly capable, as professional judges, of considering.
- 24 [11.02.07]
- 25 So we try to be measured in our objections. At least, we strive.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 We don't always achieve it, but we strive for it.
- 2 And then, of course, there are interviews with Mr. Ieng Sary,
- 3 which are in the case file. They are: D29-Attachment 01; D29/I, I
- 4 believe, Attachment 33; and D366/7.1.562.
- 5 It is our understanding that the first two documents are
- 6 identical -- are identical -- that is 29 -- D29-Attachment 01 and
- 7 D21 -- 29/1-Attachment 33. The third is identical, except for
- 8 some handwritten notes, at the top of document, which say quote
- 9 -- "for internal use only" close quote -- and then "May 10
- 10 2000". And then another quote, a handwritten note: "censored
- 11 script by S.H.", and then "Phnom Penh". "S.H.", we believe, would
- 12 be Steven Heder. So, in any event, there may be some duplication.
- 13 The next batch of documents we refer to, Your Honours, are Duch
- 14 material. And here I would invite the Trial Chamber to a couple
- 15 of submission that we filed, so that our position is -- our
- 16 position is very clear.
- 17 The-- We initially made a filing, back on 24 February 2001, where
- 18 we indicated that, should Duch come and testify, he would have to
- 19 do so as a witness, and not give unsworn testimony and be subject
- 20 to cross-examination as a regular witness.
- 21 [11.04.37]
- 22 Your Honours, on 9 April 2011, did so indicate that would he --
- 23 if he were to come, then he would have to be sworn in as a
- 24 witness. And -- but then, in April, 26 April 2011, we filed
- another motion, and it was a two-pronged motion.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 One is where both, we understand, the Prosecution and the Trial
- 2 Chamber had found that Duch had been less than candid when he was
- 3 giving evidence in his own case. In other words, he was either
- 4 economical with the truth or he just outright provided false
- 5 testimony. And at that point, we indicate that, since both the
- 6 Trial Chamber and the prosecutor -- Prosecution had mentioned
- 7 this, that it would be exquisite, you know, for the Defence at
- 8 least, to know exactly what parts of his testimony -- of his
- 9 evidence is where it was believe to -- Duch had misled the Trial
- 10 Chamber. And we did not receive an answer from the Prosecution,
- 11 although they did indicate that it was not their intention to put
- 12 forward any -- or to try to elicit any evidence from from Duch.
- 13 If he were called as a witness, they would not elicit any
- 14 testimony which they believe Duch had been untruthful in the
- 15 past.
- 16 [11.06.27]
- 17 We also had indicated that, in that particular motion which went
- 18 unanswered -- and I don't believe we have received an answer from
- 19 the Trial Chamber -- that none of his statements that he provided
- 20 -- and he provided numerous, numerous statements prior to coming
- 21 to Court in his trial -- that none of those statements should be
- 22 admitted without Duch being called as a witness, allowing the
- 23 parties the opportunity to cross-examine Duch.
- 24 And we say this because it is our firm conviction -- and we've
- 25 indicated this in the past -- that Duch -- the Duch trial was not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 really a trial, although it's considered a trial in the civil -
- 2 in the civil code system. It was more what we would call a change
- 3 of plea hearing, although it was a long one, where the Accused
- 4 admits to quilt, and then the Trial Chamber tried to establish
- 5 the facts in order to render an appropriate -- in order to accept
- 6 the plea of quilt -- although at the end there was some confusion
- 7 as to whether he was admitting guilt or not admitting guilt --
- 8 and of course for sentencing purposes.
- 9 [11.07.43]
- 10 Now, why is that important? It's important because we believe,
- 11 and we submit that, when Duch was providing this -- all these
- 12 statements to the OCIJ, and in light of the fact that both the
- 13 Prosecution and the Trial Chamber you, yourselves, that is --
- 14 have already indicated that he was less than candid, that, of
- 15 course, Duch, in trying to get the best possible sentence, it is
- 16 -- would have been in a position to be economical with the truth
- 17 and to have misled the Trial Chamber, either by putting more
- 18 blame on others or minimizing his own his own affairs. Be that
- 19 as it may, we firmly believe that he is such an important witness
- 20 that any statements of his should not come in unless the parties
- 21 have an opportunity to fully cross-examine him under oath.
- 22 And it's for those reasons why we file these motions well in
- 23 advance: first, to make sure that you would agree that, should he
- 24 come, he has to take an oath, and second, of course, that none of
- 25 his statements come in. And of course we did indicate that you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 had acknowledged, on the record, that he had been less than
- 2 candid.
- 3 [11.09.07]
- 4 So, for those reasons, Your Honours, we object to any Duch
- 5 documents coming in without him appearing in Court.
- 6 So, now, the next set of documents deal with S-21 confessions.
- 7 This issue has been litigated in the past. There is one
- 8 confession on the list -- I believe it's IS 5.30--
- 9 We are very clear in our position and we are consistent, it is
- 10 our firm belief that confessions should not be used in this
- 11 Court. We understand the Prosecution's position that there are
- 12 portions of the confessions that can be used, and of course
- 13 confessions can be used to show that somebody actually was at
- 14 S-21, undergoing the process of giving a confession. We
- 15 understand that. But be that as it may, when it comes to the
- 16 substance of what's in the confession, we have maintained,
- 17 throughout our representation of Mr. Ieng Sary, that these
- 18 confessions should not be used.
- 19 And again, should they -- should a confession be used, the
- 20 Defence maintains -- for Mr. Ieng Sary -- that we should have the
- 21 opportunity to question Duch if it is relevant and necessary. And
- 22 of course we -- if you do admit such confessions, it would be our
- 23 position that you give appropriate weight only to that which is
- 24 not offensive and is consistent with international jurisprudence
- 25 concerning the use of confessions. And I think, on this, we're

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

37

1 pretty much on the same page, not only with the Prosecution, but

- 2 also with the Trial Chamber, from your earlier rulings.
- 3 [11.11.06]
- 4 The next are witness interviews not conducted by the OCIJ. And if
- 5 I may preface my remarks by first noting our position, even
- 6 interviews conducted by the OCIJ we find to have -- to be less
- 7 than credible, especially since what is actually produced and
- 8 used in Court is a mere summary, and not the entire not the
- 9 entire interview-- And of course we have raised in the past the
- 10 methodology used by the OCIJ, and it's our firm belief that not
- 11 all investigators working for the OCIJ are qualified as one would
- 12 find in their national jurisdictions. But be that as it may, here
- 13 we're dealing with witness interviews not conducted by the OCIJ.
- 14 We take a firm position that none of these interviews be admitted
- 15 unless the individual who provided -- who gave the interview --
- 16 the witness, that is -- is actually called and is subjected to
- 17 cross-examination. So that's our position concerning that.
- 18 [11.12.32]
- 19 On the list, as well, there are some videos. We leave it to the
- 20 Trial Chamber's discretion. We understand that there are all
- 21 sorts of videos. We also understand that it is quite easy to
- 22 mislead in a video by choosing the subject matters, the
- 23 composition, editing, montage, and what have you, but we also
- 24 understand that, in these sorts of cases, a video footage can be
- 25 useful. And we also understand that you have a great deal of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 discretion in this area, and so, when it comes to videos, we
- 2 leave it up to your wise discretion to determine which videos to
- 3 admit and how much weight to give to those to those videos.
- 4 The next batch of documents is what we call the FBIS reports --
- 5 F-B-I-S reports. On this list, there is one, D262.3-- In the
- 6 past, I've -- there has been some debate -- spirited, might I add
- 7 -- concerning these sorts of reports. We maintain our position
- 8 that these sorts of reports, which are CIA-generated, may not
- 9 necessarily be the best of evidence. We're not trying to cast
- 10 aspersions on the CIA. Occasionally, they do good work, but also
- 11 they have been known to be engaged in the dark art of
- 12 confabulation and misinformation.
- 13 [11.14.28]
- 14 Now, should the Trial Chamber should the Trial Chamber find it
- 15 necessary to accept and to admit FBIS reports, we certainly would
- 16 caution the Trial Chamber to give little or no weight to those
- 17 reports unless, of course, the content in the reports can somehow
- 18 be confirmed or triangulated by other evidence. In other words,
- 19 if I had to -- by way of analogy, if we treat this like hearsay
- 20 evidence, an out-of-court statement offered for its truth--
- 21 Hearsay evidence, in the civil law system which we find ourselves
- 22 in, is admitted with great gusto. In fact, little if any of it is
- 23 ever kept out. Be that as it may, the judges do not necessarily
- 24 accept it at face value unless it is independently established
- 25 through other evidence. And so we would say, take the same

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 approach in this.
- 2 And by the way, when I'm making comparisons, I am not casting
- 3 aspersions on the civil law system. I'm merely pointing out the
- 4 differences. And we do realize that we're dealing with
- 5 professional judges.
- 6 [11.15.52]
- 7 The next batch of documents -- and there's one of this nature --
- 8 is the "Revolutionary Flag". This is D243/2.1.19. Again, there
- 9 has been debate as to whether this is the authentic, the real
- 10 one, the colour of the flag, and what have you.
- 11 Our position, of course, is as follows. Naturally, we find as the
- 12 best evidence the original "Revolutionary Flag". That's our
- 13 starting point. That is the best evidence. So, if it is
- 14 available, obviously, it would be -- it may be useful to have
- 15 that and to present it to the witnesses if that is the case.
- 16 We also understand -- and we take a very pragmatic approach --
- 17 that these sorts of official documents are what they are, you
- 18 know, and we're not trying to make them, somehow, disappear or
- 19 come up with some creative story that, somehow, these are all
- 20 manufactured. We take the position, however, that, should they be
- 21 used and should they be admitted, that they be examined very
- 22 carefully and that you take a very measured approach in
- 23 determining how much weight, if any, to give to whatever is in
- 24 the "Revolutionary Flag".
- 25 [11.17.17]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

40

1 And we also suggest that, again, you treat this very much like

- 2 hearsay evidence. So simply because you have someone saying
- 3 something in the "Revolutionary Flag" does not necessarily make
- 4 it so. In other words, triangulate it with other documentation,
- 5 other witness testimony. And, upon a showing that, you know,
- 6 something that is in the "Revolutionary Flag" can be
- 7 substantiated through independent evidence, then, of course, you
- 8 would provide more weight to that. But we take a very measured
- 9 approach in that. We understand that even copies -- it's our
- 10 position that even copies can be used, especially after we've had
- 11 this sort of monumental hearing on the admissibility of evidence.
- 12 So that's that.
- 13 Standing Committee meetings -- minutes of meetings; there's one,
- 14 it's D248/6.1.6.
- 15 Again, the same refrain as with the "Revolutionary Flag". We
- 16 understand your previous ruling that, unless we can show that a
- 17 particular document lacks authenticity, it is admitted. We do
- 18 however take the position that, even though these documents can
- 19 be admitted, you should not take at face value and at full weight
- 20 what is in -- what is contained in the minutes of these meetings
- 21 without some independent indicia of reliability. So, in other
- 22 words, simply because you see it doesn't necessarily make the
- 23 content of it so reliable that you need not do any further
- 24 search. We maintain, very much like hearsay evidence, you should
- 25 triangulate this.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 [11.19.33]
- 2 But we do understand and we do take the position that these are
- 3 considered official documents, they've been pre-screened by the
- 4 OCIJ, and unless we are able to challenge -- or, in fact,
- 5 challenge any one of them, that they will be admitted. But of
- 6 course my comments go to the weight of the documents.
- 7 And I apologize if I'm repeating myself; I'm trying to make my
- 8 record on all of these documents without, well, testing your
- 9 patience as well. I understand that.
- 10 The next set of documents are documents that are not in an
- 11 official language of the Court. We believe that there are two.
- 12 I'm not a German speaker, but I understand that one of our Judges
- 13 is. D359/1/1.1.41 and D359/1/1.1.44; we believe that these are in
- 14 German. It's not an official language, so, if they are going to
- 15 be used, they should be translated, and then, perhaps, when
- 16 translated, we should be given an opportunity to raise any
- 17 further objections.
- 18 I understand that the onus, you could say, would be on the
- 19 parties to find a German speaker to go through these documents --
- 20 or whatever the language these documents would be. We-- to that,
- 21 we say we simply don't have the resources.
- 22 But, in any event, it is our understanding that they have not
- 23 been translated into English, French, and Khmer.
- 24 And of course, if, in the event, I misspeak at any point in time,
- 25 I hope that I will have your indulgence, and realize that it is a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 simple mistake, and not an attempt to mislead the Trial Chamber.
- 2 [11.21.52]
- 3 The next document is a declaration by Mr. Ieng Sary. It's D219.1.
- 4 Of course, there are no objections to that document.
- 5 The next group of documents are what we call speeches. These
- 6 include a speech by Pol Pot on the 10th anniversary of the
- 7 Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea, and that is D108/28.168. We
- 8 leave it to your discretion, Your Honours, to admit it and to
- 9 give it whatever weight you deem necessary, again with the same
- 10 proviso that I've indicated in the past.
- 11 The next speech is D56-Doc. 103. It's a speech that's attributed
- 12 to Mr. Ieng Sary at a welcoming dinner of Daniel Burstein. I
- 13 believe we saw-- This gentleman's name was mentioned this week,
- 14 and there was a document that was produced. Apparently --
- 15 apparently -- and I have no independent indicia of reliability of
- 16 this, and I can't find any apparently, based on the
- 17 documentations that were generated by this Daniel Burstein, he
- 18 was in-country, he was in Cambodia on or about April 1978, April
- 19 1978.
- 20 [11.24.00]
- 21 We would object to the admission of this purported speech, unless
- 22 some independent indicia of reliability can be established or in
- 23 the alternative Mr. Burstein is called as a witness. I googled
- 24 him; apparently, back then, he was what was known as a "fellow
- 25 traveller", a communist sympathiser. Today, he's a venture

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 capitalist and he can be found on -- through the web. So, should
- 2 he -- the Trial Chamber wish to have this speech admitted, we'd
- 3 ask that Mr. Burstein be called as a witness. Otherwise, we
- 4 object to that.
- 5 The next document is what we would refer to as NATO material; at
- 6 least, that's what we understand it to be. This is document
- 7 D100/26.2.174; it's titled "Recent Political Developments in
- 8 Cambodia". We believe it's a NATO document, French being the
- 9 original.
- 10 Again, we would object to the admission of this document, unless
- 11 there's some corroborating evidence as to its authenticity.
- 12 Should the Trial Chamber wish to admit it and does admit it, we'd
- 13 ask that little or no weight be given to it, unless the content
- 14 of what is it in the document can be corroborated through
- 15 independent indicia.
- 16 Then, we have the next batch, it's what we call DK government
- 17 statements, and they include D108/43/7. This is the DK government
- 18 statement to the Cambodia Army and peoples on aggression by SRV
- 19 armed forces against DK, read by State Presidium Chairman, Khieu
- 20 Samphan.
- 21 [11.26.47]
- 22 Then, there is document D108/43/9. This is a statement by the
- 23 Government of Democratic Kampuchea quote: "Cambodia's Temporary
- 24 Severance of Relations with Vietnam", and it's dated 3 January
- 25 1978.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 And document D56-Doc. 236, "Circular Letter by the Assembly of
- 2 the People's Representatives of Kampuchea, the Government of
- 3 Democratic Kampuchea, and the Patriotic and Democratic Front of
- 4 the Great National Union of Kampuchea".
- 5 Same refrain, Your Honours, we understand that these are --
- 6 purportedly, are DK Government statements. We submit that, should
- 7 they be admitted by the Trial Chamber, that weight be limited,
- 8 unless independent indicia or testimony can be provided.
- 9 The next set of documents is what we call "media statements", and
- 10 these are: D56-Doc. 066, International Media Report, "9th
- 11 Anniversary of Founding of Revolutionary Army"; and then
- 12 D29-Attachment 86, "Nuon Chea Denies Role in Mass Murder during
- 13 the Democratic Kampuchea Regime", by Sralanh Khmer; it's
- 14 23-07-07.
- 15 Again, we would object to any media statements coming in. We
- 16 don't -- we submit that media statements tend to be unreliable.
- 17 Should you admit these statements, again, there should be some
- 18 independent indicia of reliability.
- 19 [11.29.16]
- 20 I'm almost through, Your Honours, so-- I know I'm testing your
- 21 patience here.
- 22 The next set of documents is what we call French MFA and embassy
- 23 material. It would appear that the French Foreign Ministry and
- 24 the embassy and I believe it was in Thailand -- was monitoring
- 25 the situation -- may have, as many countries then, as today --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

45

1 were monitoring the radio transmissions, listening in, and, from

- 2 there, trying to divine what is happening. A good example in
- 3 today's world would be what is happening in North Korea, where
- 4 they sort of try to read the tea leaves as to -- whenever they
- 5 hear something, as to what it really means, how significant it --
- 6 that event may be.
- 7 There are several documents. I'm going to simply read out the
- 8 documents, so we have the list.
- 9 But our fundamental position is again, as with everything else,
- 10 we understand that these are documents that would have been
- 11 generated by the French Foreign Ministry not for dissemination
- 12 outside -- in other words, for the purposes of convincing others
- 13 -- but rather, it would appear, for their own purposes.
- 14 And, for that, normally, we would submit -- and we accept -- that
- 15 a government, under normal circumstances, does not try to mislead
- 16 itself. I say "normal circumstances", because, at least in the
- 17 United States, there was a lot of misinformation going on with
- 18 respect to the bombing of Cambodia, with Nixon denying that it
- 19 was ever happening, while there was Operation Menu going on. But
- 20 these documents, these French MFA documents seem to be documents
- 21 generated in good faith, attempting to try to figure out what is
- 22 happening. And for those reasons, we think that, while they may
- 23 have been generated in good faith, they may not necessarily be
- 24 reliable. And, unless independently -- unless there's independent
- 25 indicia, that little or no weight be given to them, though we do

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 realize that they come from a reliable source.
- 2 [11.31.52]
- 3 These documents are: D199/26.2.38 -- I'm not going to read the
- 4 titles, to save time, Your Honours, unless you unless you would
- 5 like me to do that; D199/26.2.70; D199/26.2.68; D199/26.2.65,
- 6 D199/26.2.28, D199/26.2.154, D199/26.2.147, D199/26.2.143, and
- 7 D199/26.2.105. And of course I could make a copy of the list of
- 8 all of these documents -- the numbers, that is -- to facilitate
- 9 anyone who may not have had a chance to put them down.
- 10 The next list, or block of lists, is what we call books and
- 11 articles. And in this batch, we're particularly referring to
- 12 D288/6.5/2.29, titled "The Last Joint Plan" -- "The Last Joint
- 13 Plan". It appears in the Closing Order in two separate places:
- 14 footnote 2246, which cites page 10, and then footnote 3782,
- 15 citing page 313.
- 16 We wish to bring to the Trial Chamber's attention a couple of
- 17 matters.
- 18 First, we believe that this is an article that was generated by
- 19 Mr. Carney, in Cambodia, 1975 to '78. We're not quite sure, but
- 20 that's what we think it is. And perhaps the Office of the
- 21 Prosecution -- the Co-Prosecutors could shed some light on that.
- 22 [11.35.12]
- 23 We also see that there are two different documents under the same
- 24 under the same number; they look differently. And so, for the
- 25 purposes of this hearing and for what is the next phase of this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 trial, we're dealing with what is in footnote 3782, but we invite
- 2 the Trial Chamber's attention that two documents in two different
- 3 formats with the same number have appeared. And we have them, and
- 4 we can share them with the Prosecution, should they wish to look
- 5 at it. One is an appendix to a book, and the other one is a
- 6 typewritten document with some handwriting on it and some
- 7 corrections. It looks like a rough draft of the document that was
- 8 ultimately printed, and if I look at it correctly -- and it also
- 9 has some Khmer writing to it, as well as some notations.
- 10 [11.36.23]
- 11 We would object to the admission of this particular document,
- 12 unless we know more about it, who generated it. And of course,
- 13 once we have that information, we might have -- we'd like to have
- 14 the opportunity to make further submissions. Of course, if the
- 15 Trial Chamber were to admit this document as it is, without any
- 16 further clarification as to who generated it, then we would
- 17 suggest that little or no weight be given to it.
- 18 [11.37.06]
- 19 I believe, Your Honours, that, with that, I have concluded my
- 20 presentation, unless there are any questions from the Trial
- 21 Chamber. I have nothing further on this matter. I hope my
- 22 presentation was sufficiently clear. Thank you.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Thank you, Counsel.
- Next, we would like to proceed to counsels for Khieu Samphan.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. Your Honours, before I proceed to
- 3 present the documents, I would like to inform the Chamber that we
- 4 have the hard copies of the document I am going to present. If
- 5 parties wish to obtain the copies, they can approach our
- 6 assistant, and we are pleased to hand them over to you, indeed,
- 7 to facilitate our discussion.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Could counsel advise the Chamber what kind of document counsel is
- 10 wishing to put before the Chamber? Are they among the 95
- 11 documents indicated to be debated in this hearing?
- 12 [11.39.05]
- 13 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 14 Mr. President, the documents have been prepared pursuant to the
- 15 list of documents asked by the Chamber for party to prepare, and
- 16 they are in the realm of the 95 documents, as indicated.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 If so, you may proceed.
- 19 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like now to present the general
- 21 rebuttal statements concerning the documents presented.
- 22 It is the task of the Chamber to examine each and every document
- 23 put before the Chamber. Parties are somehow supposed to fulfil
- 24 their mission to present the documents before the Chamber for the
- 25 consideration of the Bench. However, it is not advised to put a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 great number of documents that lack grounds or relevance for the
- 2 purpose of the hearing. Any document put before the Chamber must
- 3 focus on the evidentiary value of each evidence. The counsel
- 4 would like to draw the attention of the Bench to documents in the
- 5 footnotes cited.
- 6 [11.41.13]
- 7 We would like the Chamber to only refer to the relevant substance
- 8 as cited from the footnotes because, on some occasions, reference
- 9 to the footnotes seem to be -- refer to several pages, but the
- 10 actual essence of the page is only in particular portion.
- 11 Now, I give you another example: the video clips that have been
- 12 referred to. The reference of the video have been put before the
- 13 Chamber, but with reference to the whole video. At some point,
- 14 they -- only one portion of seconds or minutes of the video could
- 15 be relevant. So we ask that parties have to stick to only that
- 16 relevant parts rather than referring to the whole reference.
- 17 Counsel would like to oppose the following -- and this is the
- 18 general presentation. I will resolve to present any particular
- 19 item if clarification is needed.
- 20 [11.43.07]
- 21 First, I am referring to documents that placed in the case file
- 22 -- Case File 001, documents that had already been rejected by the
- 23 Trial Chamber. Such documents shall not be the subject for debate
- 24 again.
- 25 Point number two, the records that -- taken from individuals

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 outside judicial process shall not be regarded as legitimate
- 2 documents before this Chamber because the mechanism or procedures
- 3 in obtaining such statements were not properly checked to see
- 4 whether they conform with the judicial proceedings.
- 5 Thirdly, with regard to the assertions or statements by
- 6 individuals regarding activities or the characters of Mr. Khieu
- 7 Samphan, I would like the Chamber allow Khieu Samphan the
- 8 opportunity to respond to such statements with -- relating to the
- 9 comments concerning Khieu Samphan's characters and activities.
- 10 [11.45.18]
- 11 Fourth, some documents whose original documents are in Khmer,
- 12 including the interviews of the senior leaders of the Democratic
- 13 Kampuchea. However, even though such interviews could have been
- 14 conducted in Khmer originally, but in the case file we find no
- 15 Khmer transcript or text of such interviews. For that reason, we
- 16 find it very difficult to verify whether the original documents
- 17 in Khmer could have been the same or consistent with the content
- 18 rendered in English.
- 19 The fifth point is relating to the maintenance, or to the storing
- 20 of documents, how documents are kept. As the Chamber is already
- 21 quite familiar, we are of the opinion the documents had not been
- 22 properly stored, and we failed to establish a good or proper
- 23 chain of custody of the documents. In light of that, we do not
- 24 have proper ground to believe that the documents are reliable.
- 25 [11.47.20]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 I next would like to present to you the first document in our --
- 2 in the list of the Chamber, document IS 18.21. This document
- 3 fails to shed light on the chain of custody of the document. We
- 4 only obtained the information that the document was transferred
- 5 -- was obtained from the National Archives of Cambodia, and then
- 6 stored at the DC-Cam. We somehow could not establish the other
- 7 sources of the information.
- 8 Document IS 19.111. This document is objected by counsel for
- 9 Khieu Samphan because it has been obtained outside the judicial
- 10 process. This document is not one of the records conducted or
- 11 kept by the Court. There is, further, no assurance concerning the
- 12 authenticity of the document, so it is impossible to verify its
- 13 content. The only way to verify the document is to have it put
- 14 before person who engaged in the interview, and then ask them to
- 15 clarify things. I am referring to document 19.111.
- 16 Now, document 19.210; this document is not different from the
- 17 previous one I mentioned. It is the account of an individual,
- 18 gathered aside of a formal judicial context. This document shows
- 19 biasness because it was created by the biased organization, the
- 20 Documentation Center of Kampuchea -- Cambodia, the organization
- 21 looking for inculpatory evidence against the accused persons.
- 22 There is no safeguards provided as to how the interview was
- 23 conducted, and it is impossible to verify the content.
- 24 [11.51.08]
- 25 On top of that, the Chamber has no plan to summon this person who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 has made such statement to appear before the Chamber.
- 2 Consequently, Mr. Khieu Samphan is deprived of his opportunity to
- 3 contest the document or challenge the person who made the
- 4 document.
- 5 Document 20.19. This document was objected in Case File 001
- 6 already, and the reason for the rejection still valid, and this
- 7 decision can be referred to under document E43/4, "Decision on
- 8 Admissibility of Material on the Case File as Evidence",
- 9 paragraph 20.
- 10 If the Chamber wishes that this document be admissible, Khieu
- 11 Samphan would like to request that the person engaged in this
- 12 record appear before the Chamber so that questions can be posed
- 13 to him or her. According to the code name by TC -- by the Trial
- 14 Chamber, the person engaged here is bearing code name TCW-511.
- 15 There are also other reasons concerning this document because the
- 16 document is also objected by the Co-Prosecutors. And the Chamber
- 17 has no plan to summon this witness for any interview or
- 18 appearance before the Chamber, which makes it impossible for us
- 19 to challenge him or her.
- 20 [11.54.27]
- 21 Document IS 21.103. This document is classified in another batch
- 22 of documents, typed up telegrams. However, it is not a telegram,
- 23 it is a ledger entry. So there is also the discrepancy in the --
- 24 regarding the sources of the document. And there is deficient
- 25 chain of custody because the document has been obtained through

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 DC-Cam and the National Archives. Furthermore, we note that there
- 2 is handwriting annotation on the document. We don't know whose
- 3 annotation it belongs to. We, therefore, need to seek further
- 4 clarification with regard to who has made such written annotation
- 5 to ensure the reliability of the document. We feel that this
- 6 document can be verified if witness TCW-583 is called.
- 7 I would like to also share with you the following three documents
- 8 that have the same issue: documents IS 21.104, IS 21.110, IS
- 9 21.114. These documents have been classified as telegrams, but
- 10 I've indicated they are not telegrams, they are ledger entries.
- 11 So the ground for challenging these documents is the same as that
- 12 of document IS 21.103.
- 13 [11.57.53]
- 14 I would like to read some more documents. Although this document
- 15 has a presumed prefix IS, but I have not located the IS in the
- 16 actual document. That's why I would like to read only the real
- 17 number, which is now: 21.119, 21.120, 21.123, 21.28, 21.29,
- 18 21.131, 21.32, 21.135, 21.136, 21.137, 21.139, 21.140, 21.143,
- 19 21.144, 21.145, 21.149, 21.25, 21.27, 21.30, 21.34, 21.49, 21.72,
- 20 21.75, 21.82, 21.85, 21.88, 21.89, 21.90, 21.92, 21.93, 219 -
- 21 rather, 21.95, 21.97, 21.98, D161/1.30. The documents I indicated
- 22 are classified as telegrams, but they are not.
- 23 Mr. President, I note that it is already 12 o'clock. Should I
- 24 continue or it is appropriate time for lunch adjournment?
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Thank you, Counsel. Indeed, it is appropriate time for lunch
- 2 adjournment.
- 3 The Chamber will adjourn until 1.30 p.m.
- 4 Security personnels are now instructed to bring Nuon Chea and
- 5 Khieu Samphan-
- 6 Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may proceed first.
- 7 [12.02.24]
- 8 MR. PESTMAN:
- 9 Thank you. If I may finish that sentence: to the holding cell for
- 10 this afternoon, and he would like to stay there.
- I have the required waivers, which I can hand over to the court
- 12 officers.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you. The Chamber notes the request made by Nuon Chea,
- 15 asking that he be excused from this courtroom and follow the
- 16 proceeding from the -- his holding cell, and he has waived his
- 17 right to participate in the proceeding in the courtroom.
- 18 The Chamber has granted the request but ask that counsel produce
- 19 the waiver with his signature or thumbprint.
- 20 AV officials are now instructed to ensure that the AV equipment
- 21 is linked to the holding cell so that Nuon Chea can observe the
- 22 proceeding from his holding cell, remotely, during this afternoon
- 23 session.
- 24 [12.03.34]
- 25 Security personnels are now instructed to bring the two Accused

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 to the holding cells and return Khieu Samphan only to the
- 2 courtroom before 9 rather, 1.30.
- 3 The Court is adjourned.
- 4 (Court recesses from 1204H to 1331H)
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 7 We would like to now proceed to counsel for Khieu Samphan to
- 8 continue putting documents before the Chamber and their
- 9 objections to the documents, as indicated. Counsel, you may
- 10 proceed.
- 11 [13.32.22]
- 12 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours. I would like now to
- 14 proceed with the -- with our objections to the 95 documents.
- 15 Before lunch break, I was at last discussed some telegrams, and
- 16 the ground for our objection is that the documents are not
- 17 telegrams, although they been classified otherwise. And there are
- 18 some handwriting annotations on the documents that need
- 19 clarification, and also comments made by those who made such
- 20 notes.
- 21 In another document -- rather, the documents put before us have
- 22 not been classified as the telegrams, although, in the Closing
- 23 Order, such telegrams -- such documents were cited.
- 24 We, therefore, submit that the identification of the documents
- 25 has not been proper and that these documents should have not been

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 classified as telegrams.
- 2 Next, I would like to proceed to another document, IS 199.111. We
- 3 request that TCW-425 be summoned to appear before this Chamber so
- 4 that his or her testimony can be examined. It is really necessary
- 5 to bring this witness to appear before the Chamber so that the
- 6 document can be verified.
- 7 [13.35.46]
- 8 May I then draw your attention to another document, document
- 9 21.115? The ground for our objections with regard to this
- 10 document is not different. We note that the chain of custody of
- 11 this document is not significant or proper, and, secondly, the
- 12 annotations appear on the documents. The question remain as to
- 13 who has made such annotations. And also we need opportunity to
- 14 put questions to those who have made the annotations, or at least
- 15 we would like to pose questions to those who may have reasons to
- 16 know how the annotations could have been made in the first place,
- 17 or at least the person who has made notes on the document be
- 18 summoned and challenged by Mr. Khieu Samphan before this Chamber.
- 19 [13.37.24]
- 20 Next document is 21.123. It is the same kind of document, a
- 21 telegram on which annotations have appeared, and we would like to
- 22 also ask for more information concerning the annotation and the
- 23 authenticity of the document. And only when the person who has
- 24 made such annotation be brought before the Chamber that we can
- 25 verify the authenticity of this document.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Another document is 5.30. Counsel for Ieng Sary already talked
- 2 about this document and presented to the Chamber this morning,
- 3 and we fully support the ground for such objection. We,
- 4 therefore, should not talk more on this.
- 5 Document D108/28.168. This document has been translated, but it
- 6 is only available in English. This document could have been
- 7 available in the original language, in Khmer. However, it is not
- 8 possible for us to locate the original document in Khmer in the
- 9 case file. For this reason, it is impossible for the counsels to
- 10 verify the contents or its authenticity.
- 11 [13.40.05]
- 12 The following document is D108/43/7. This document is classified
- 13 under the FBIS document, or F-B-I-S. We have already objected
- 14 this document with reasonings in the previous hearings; we did so
- 15 on the 16th of January 2012. May we, therefore, ask that
- 16 transcript E1/27.1 be referred to?
- 17 The next document is document D108/43/9. This document is a
- 18 translated version; original version was not available. The
- 19 statement should have been made in Khmer, the original language.
- 20 However, the Khmer version is not located in the case file. We,
- 21 the defence counsels, find it difficult to verify the content or
- 22 the quality of the translation, whether it is consistent with the
- 23 original Khmer text or not.
- 24 Document D121/6.2. It is the written statement by Duch. This
- 25 document needs verification and that Duch shall be summoned

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 before the Chamber to verify it. The reason is that he made such
- 2 statement, but we do not understand under which condition he made
- 3 such statement. May we, therefore, ask the Chamber that this
- 4 person be called to confront the Accused so that the reliability
- 5 of the document can be, after all, established?
- 6 [13.44.02]
- 7 I am now moving to document D177/3.1. We support the grounds for
- 8 objections raised by counsel for Ieng Sary with regard to this
- 9 document.
- 10 Another document is D199/26.2.105. This document is a diplomatic
- 11 document that cannot be verified. The reason that it cannot be
- 12 verified forms the basis for our objection to its reliability.
- 13 Document D199/26.2.143: This document has been translated.
- 14 However, the original version in Khmer is not available. We,
- 15 therefore, are not able to verify the original document and its
- 16 reliability.
- 17 The same grounds for objections apply to document D199/26.2.147.
- 18 This document cannot be verified.
- 19 [13.46.40]
- 20 Document D199/26.2.153 is the telegram, but our observation is
- 21 that this document or these statements previously provided were
- 22 merely related to hearsay evidence or document. It is impossible
- 23 to verify the content, and there is no proper condition to allow
- 24 the Chamber to admit this document as the evidentiary document
- 25 before the Court. Due to its hearsay status, we find it difficult

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 to verify its content.
- 2 And we also note that Nuon Chea, during his appearance before the
- 3 Chamber, on one occasion, asked that TCW-447 be called to appear
- 4 before this Chamber. However, such request was rejected by the
- 5 Co-Prosecutors, and the Chamber has not scheduled a hearing for
- 6 this witness.
- 7 Another document, 210/8. This document is an interview conducted
- 8 outside judicial proceedings. And this document talks about the
- 9 activities and characters of the accused person, which requires
- 10 confrontation -- which requires that the person who gave such
- 11 interview be summoned to testify in the Chamber for further
- 12 verification of the document.
- 13 [13.49.59]
- 14 With regard to document D210/9, this document is not different
- 15 with the previous document. It is an interview taken outside
- 16 judicial setting, and the substance of the interview concerns the
- 17 activities or the conducts and characters of the accused person.
- 18 And at the same time, the Chamber has no plan to summon this
- 19 person, so counsel is of the opinion that this person shall be
- 20 summoned before the Chamber to verify the -- its content.
- 21 Document D223.9 is another piece of interview taken out of
- 22 judicial proceedings, and we are unable to verify its content as
- 23 it is part of the report obtained from unknown source and we do
- 24 not know under which conditions such interview was obtained.
- 25 [13.51.33]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Document D224.121 is an interview, again, taken outside the
- 2 courtroom setting. And what is noticeable is that the document
- 3 was created by a student. For further information, Chamber is
- 4 asked to refer to D224 document. The interview was conducted in
- 5 Khmer. However, the available document in the case file is the
- 6 translated version. It is available only in English. For that
- 7 reason, we are not able to verify its content. With regard to the
- 8 author of the document, we note that the Chamber has not planned
- 9 to summon the person to appear before the Chamber.
- 10 I am moving to another document, document D224.37. The grounds
- 11 for our objection is -- are the same to D224.121, and I would not
- 12 need to reiterate that objection.
- 13 With regard to D243/2.1.19, we oppose the chain of custody of how
- 14 this document has been maintained, because it lacks reliability.
- 15 This document is the CPK magazine entitled the "Revolutionary
- 16 Flag". We have already heard arguments or objections time and
- 17 again in the courtroom concerning this document.
- 18 [13.55.00]
- 19 Witness Chhang Youk testified in the courtroom that, if Om Nuon
- 20 would like to obtain the original documents of the "Revolutionary
- 21 Flag", he would be willing to send them to him. His statement can
- 22 be referred to under transcript E1/38.1. For that reason, may we
- 23 ask that the Chamber bring these original documents for debate in
- 24 the courtroom in the future trials?
- 25 Document D248/2.2. We register our objection against this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 document because the interview was -- or has been obtained
- 2 outside the judicial setting, and it is impossible for counsels
- 3 to verify its content. Our request is that the author of the
- 4 interview appear before the Chamber. However, with objection from
- 5 the Co-Prosecution, the Chamber has finally decided not to summon
- 6 that person to appear before the Chamber.
- 7 [13.57.01]
- 8 With regard to document D260 rather, D248/6.1.6, we support the
- 9 position by counsels for Ieng Sary and we would like to add that
- 10 there is inconsistencies in the translation of the text in French
- 11 and English as well, because the translation of the three
- 12 versions is not consistent.
- Document D262.3. With regard to this document, we concur with
- 14 what already indicated by counsels for Nuon Chea and we would
- 15 like not to comment further on this.
- 16 Document D269/9/1.15. Our objection on this document is that the
- 17 interview was conducted outside judicial setting and was done by
- 18 a student. It was done -- the interview was conducted by a
- 19 witness -- or the TC witness TCE-38. This interview was -- is
- 20 available only in English, although the interview could have been
- 21 conducted in Khmer. The Khmer version of the document has not
- 22 been located in the case file, and we cannot verify its content.
- 23 On top of that, the characters and the conducts of the accused
- 24 person are discussed in the interview, so we would like to ask
- 25 that this person be summoned to appear before the Chamber for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 confrontation with the Accused. However, the Chamber has not had
- 2 any plan to do so.
- 3 [14.00.26]
- 4 Document D269/9/1.15.1. Our ground for objection is the same as
- 5 the previous document, D269/9/1.15. I, therefore, would like not
- 6 to elaborate further on that.
- 7 Document D288/5.463. This document needs verification in which
- 8 the person who involved in the document be summoned to appear
- 9 before the Chamber so that he can be confronted. The reason we
- 10 asked this is to ensure its reliability.
- 11 Likewise, the ground for objection is that the persons who
- 12 conducted the interviews or made comments shall be summoned to
- 13 appear before the Chamber so that parties may put questions to
- 14 them; and these documents are as follows: document D288/6.159/10;
- 15 document D288/6.5 rather, .52/4.24; document D288/6.52/4.42;
- document D288/6.52/4.43. These documents I have just read out
- 17 require the Chamber to bring the persons who have produced the
- 18 document before this Chamber for confrontation.
- 19 [14.04.12]
- 20 For document D288/6.5/2.29, we submit our objection against the
- 21 placement of this document since the Chamber does not have any
- 22 plan to summon the author of this document to appear before the
- 23 Chamber for confrontation. Consequently, there is no opportunity
- 24 for us to verify the authenticity of such document.
- 25 Document D29/E in attachment 33. It is a document that was not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 produced within the judicial setting. We, therefore, object
- 2 against the acceptance or admission of such document.
- 3 As for document D29-Attachment 01, these -- or 369/7.1.562--
- 4 For -- document D299.1.19R is the document that is also in the
- 5 footnote 4,188. It is the photographic documents which was also
- 6 incorporated into a video documentary at 8 minutes, 55 second. It
- 7 was not the whole documentary video, but it was mere photos
- 8 incorporated into this video.
- 9 [14.07.26]
- 10 Document D29-Attachment 86 is a translated document whose
- 11 original document was not available, only the English translation
- 12 was made available, so there is no basis for our verification
- 13 purpose. Therefore, we object against the acceptance of this
- 14 document.
- 15 Document D313/1.2.406. It is a document concerning the interview
- 16 which was conducted outside the judicial setting, and the
- 17 original language was in Khmer, and then it was translated into
- 18 English. I know that there has been request, so far, that the
- 19 witness was summoned -- TCW-92 and TCW-223 -- to appear before
- 20 the Chamber for cross-examination, but the Chamber has so far had
- 21 no plan to summon the two witnesses.
- 22 Furthermore, in these interviews, it describes the various
- 23 activities as well as the attitudes of the Accused at issue.
- 24 Therefore, it is vitally important that the Accused be given the
- 25 opportunity to cross-examine these two witnesses. So, once again,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 we also object against the placement of this document.
- 2 [14.09.41]
- 3 As for -- document D5 -- or 359/1/1.1.41 and document
- 4 D359/1/1.1.44 are the translated documents whose original
- 5 document were not available. These two documents are of
- 6 diplomatic cable documents and they summarize from the media
- 7 reports in Cambodia, but the original language of the article was
- 8 not available for verification purpose. And, again, we support
- 9 the position and objection as raised by the defence counsel for
- 10 Ieng Sary, and these documents should not be placed for -- should
- 11 not carry any probative value.
- 12 As well, document D56-Doc. 066; this document is the one of the
- 13 document from Swedish collection. And we have so far made our
- 14 objection time and again on this particular document,
- 15 particularly in relation to its authenticity, that remains
- 16 questionable.
- 17 [14.12.08]
- 18 As for -- document D56-Doc. 103 is one of the translated
- 19 documents whose original language was not available. We,
- 20 therefore, cannot verify against the veracity of this document.
- 21 Therefore, we object against the placement of this document.
- 22 Document D56-Doc. 236. Again, this is a translated document whose
- 23 original version was not available, and we, therefore, cannot
- 24 verify against the content of the document. And we, again, object
- 25 against the placement of this document.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Last but not least, document D90/I is similar to the previous
- 2 documents as well, concerning witness TCW-281 in Case 001 -- Mr.
- 3 Duch being the person. We cannot emphasize the importance of
- 4 having this particular witness for cross-examination before this
- 5 Chamber.
- 6 For all the documents for which I have indicated to the Chambers,
- 7 including document D288/6.5/2.29, we would like to object against
- 8 the placement of these documents until --unless the witnesses, or
- 9 those individuals concerned are summoned for cross-examination
- 10 before this Chamber, so that we can cross-examine those
- 11 witnesses, especially TCW-281, concerning the last document,
- 12 D90/I.
- 13 [14.16.09]
- 14 Lastly, I would like to earnestly request the Chamber to reject
- 15 the documents whose grounds was not well established. Thank you.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Counsel, for demonstrating your position of objection
- 18 against the 95 documents which the Chamber has placed for debate
- 19 among parties.
- 20 Next, I hand over the floor to the representative of the
- 21 Prosecution, followed by the lawyers for the civil parties.
- 22 But before we give the floor to them, we would like to ask the
- 23 Prosecution and the Lead Co-Lawyers concerning the allocation of
- 24 times in response to the objections against the document in the
- 25 document list, because the Chamber has already informed the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 parties already concerning the time allocation for parties.
- 2 However, we have to be flexible since we have been a bit behind
- 3 the schedule. That's why we ask the representative from the
- 4 Prosecution as well as the Lead Co-Lawyers to indicate the time
- 5 for them to respond to the objections.
- 6 So we don't know whether or not you have consulted with one
- 7 another concerning the times you would need to respond to the
- 8 objections.
- 9 [14.18.06]
- 10 You may proceed.
- 11 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. For Prosecution, we need approximately
- 13 one hour and 10 minutes.
- 14 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 15 As far as we are concerned, we believe that 15 to 20 minutes
- 16 should be largely sufficient.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you very much for your indication.
- 19 So we now hand over the floor to the representative of the
- 20 Prosecution to proceed with their respond to the 95 documents.
- 21 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President. On the 16 of January 2012, the Chamber
- 23 granted the opportunity for parties to raise objection against
- 24 the documents identified in the footnotes of the Closing Order
- 25 concerning the historical background of the Democratic Kampuchea.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 And again, here, we are also responding to the documents
- 2 identified in the footnotes concerning the administrative and
- 3 communication structure of the regime.
- 4 And this presentation is in accordance with the memorandum of the
- 5 TC dated the 9th of February 2012, or document E170. In this very
- 6 memorandum, it specifies that the documents that the Chamber
- 7 would not--
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Can the Prosecution please hold on? Because there is an issue
- 10 concerning transcription.
- 11 (Technical problem)
- 12 [14.21.58]
- 13 Due to the technical problem with the Transcription Unit -- and
- 14 it is also suitable time to take a short break -- we will break
- 15 for 20 minutes, and the Chamber will resume at 14.45. And the
- 16 technicians, please make sure that the equipment is normalized.
- 17 (Court recesses from 1428H to 1445H)
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 20 Before we broke, the Chamber was already -- had already handed
- 21 over the floor to the prosecutor. However, due to the technical
- 22 problem concerning the transcription section, we could not
- 23 continue. And we believe that the transcription, perhaps, has
- 24 already been fixed. However, a great portion of the prosecutor's
- 25 statement had not been registered, so it is therefore advised --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 asked that the counsel -- Co-Prosecutor repeat what he stated
- 2 previously.
- 3 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 4 The Chamber informed parties concerning the issue relating to the
- 5 footnotes of the Closing Order, the portion that relevant to the
- 6 historical background of the Democratic Kampuchea, and parties
- 7 are advised to post their challenges or objection concerning the
- 8 communication and administrative structure of the DK.
- 9 [14.47.32]
- 10 Document which I refer to here is the E70, the memorandum of the
- 11 Trial Chamber. The Trial Chamber has indicated that documents
- 12 that shall not be considered at this moment are those that have
- 13 already been requested to be placed before the Chamber. In
- 14 particular: documents in Annexes 1 through 5, Co-Prosecutors'
- 15 documents proposed for this first segment of the Trial, this
- 16 document includes the statements of the accused person; number
- 17 two, the publications concerning the CPK; and, three, the minutes
- 18 of the meetings of the CPK; four, the communications of the CPK;
- 19 and, five, the media report and public statements by the CPK.
- 20 [14.48.40]
- 21 The Chamber will not consider the written statements which --
- 22 whose decisions are pending at the Trial Chamber. On top of this
- 23 memorandum, we have also received from the senior legal officer
- 24 of the Trial Chamber, on the 9 of February 2012, the two tables
- 25 concerning the list of documents to be debated during this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 hearing.
- 2 The first table contains documents prepared by the Prosecution.
- 3 There are 15 documents, and table number 2 prepared by Trial
- 4 Chamber, which include 120 documents altogether, including the
- 5 footnotes as referred to by the memorandum.
- 6 Among these documents, some documents are relevant to the
- 7 historical context and cited from the footnotes of the Closing
- 8 Order. These documents are not subject to be debated in this
- 9 hearing. There are 13 documents of this kind which have already
- 10 been classified as the E3 document. These documents include the
- 11 written statements and, according to the memorandum of the Trial
- 12 Chamber, these documents are not subject for debate for this time
- 13 being. There are about 20 documents in this category.
- 14 [14.50.42]
- 15 And there are documents contained in Annexes 1 through 5, about
- 16 20 documents. So, I and my colleagues will be not addressing the
- 17 documents that are not subject for the debate.
- 18 However, I would like to begin with three reports, the analysis
- 19 reports.
- 20 First report was done by Craig Etcheson under the title "The
- 21 Perception Concerning the CPK Structure", document D2/15. This
- 22 document has been placed in the case file as evidence.
- 23 Mr. Craig Etcheson already provided testimonies before the
- 24 Chamber in Case File 001. He referred to this report along with
- 25 other resources or sources of information.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Counsel for Ieng Sary, you may now proceed.
- 3 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President. And good afternoon, Your Honours, and
- 5 good afternoon to everyone in and around the courtroom.
- 6 [14.52.16]
- 7 Your Honours, I specifically did not address that document
- 8 because, as I understand, it's not part of the 95 documents.
- 9 Now, I don't see why the Prosecution is going into those
- 10 documents which were not on the list. Perhaps that could be done
- 11 at some other point, but I don't think it is a purpose for this
- 12 particular hearing.
- 13 And given that there's only about an hour and 10 minutes left
- 14 before we take a recess, until we hear the next witnesses, I
- 15 would strongly suggest that the Prosecution be directed to
- 16 address the 95 documents so that we can efficiently finish today.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you, Counsel Karnavas, for your objection. The objection is
- 20 plausible.
- 21 And that Co-Prosecutor is now instructed to focus only on the 95
- 22 documents at issue.
- 23 [14.53.28]
- 24 The Chamber has already indicated clearly, before the floor was
- 25 handed over to the Prosecution, and that the prosecutor should

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 make the most of the time given and be confined to the topics
- 2 discussed only.
- 3 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to continue now.
- 5 Your Honours, may I ask for a few minutes for discussion with my
- 6 colleague first?
- 7 (Judges deliberate)
- 8 [14.54.50]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Since time is limit and that during the time when Co-Prosecutors
- 11 are discussing among themselves, we want to ask whether Lead
- 12 Co-Lawyers would like to take any opportunity first.
- 13 National Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 14 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 15 Mr. President, I would like to continue my presentation of the
- 16 documents.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Since the Co-Prosecutor is now ready to proceed with the floor
- 19 given to him, civil party Co-Lawyers may not respond at this
- 20 time.
- 21 MR. SENG BUNKHEANG:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President. We would like to present the movies --
- 23 or the films to be put before this Chamber, which include
- 24 document D299.1.19R. This video is entitled "Kampuchea -- Death
- and Rebirth". DC-Cam has obtained the video from Germany.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 [14.56.39]
- 2 Another video is D295/2/2.52R. This video is entitled "The Field
- 3 Trip of Chinese Delegation to Malaysia". It was produced by the
- 4 Democratic Kampuchea in 1976. This video shows the Chinese
- 5 delegation trip in which Mr. Khieu Samphan, Nuon Chea seen
- 6 leading the delegation. The video itself also shows Malaysian
- 7 delegation along with Khieu Samphan, Ieng Sary, and Ieng Thirith
- 8 receiving them. We have obtained this video from the audio-visual
- 9 centre, Bophana.
- 10 Another video is D295/2/2.65R. This video is entitled The Trip of
- 11 Laos-- "Laos President Visit to Cambodia, Mr. Souphanouvong".
- 12 This video is a documentary film produced by the Democratic
- 13 Kampuchea and it shows the trip of Laos President to the DK, and
- 14 also it shows the people who received the visiting guests,
- 15 including Khieu Samphan, Ieng Sary, and Ieng Thirith. This video
- 16 has been obtained from the Bophana audio-visual centre. These
- 17 documents have been collected through regulatory letters by the
- 18 Office of Co-Investigating Judges from 7 to 15 September 2009.
- 19 [14.59.28]
- 20 Another document is D232/110.1.1R. It is about Pol Pot in Peking.
- 21 This video shows the trip of Pol Pot to China when he was there
- 22 to pronounce the existence of the CPK. This document was obtained
- 23 through regulatory letter of the OCP and it is retrieved from
- 24 Bophana audio-visual centre.
- 25 With regard to this delegation, the trips of these people, there

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 are other documents, including the trip by Laos President to the
- 2 DK Government. His visit was captured in the world media as well.
- 3 Although the film was short, but it tells us about who received
- 4 the visiting guests. This is the purpose of putting the documents
- 5 or these videos before the Chamber.
- 6 Another video, D, as indicated, 295/2/2.52R, indicating how Mr.
- 7 Khieu Samphan has received visiting delegates.
- 8 [15.01.39]
- 9 And in another video, it shows that Ieng Sary did not appear in
- 10 the footage. Chamber has already indicated clearly that any
- 11 materials -- for example, the report journals or documentary
- 12 films that have been retrieved from the media -- and that are
- 13 relevant can be regarded as documents to be put before the
- 14 Chamber. The Chamber will rule on the evidentiary value of such
- 15 documents in the future.
- 16 I have already concluded the documents I wish to put before the
- 17 Chamber. My colleague would like to continue.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 International Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 20 MS. DEBNATH:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President. Your Honours, the purpose of this
- 22 document hearing is clear and it is circumscribed. The purpose of
- 23 this hearing is simply to address documents that are cited in the
- 24 footnotes of certain paragraphs in the Closing Order, as it
- 25 relates to communication and administrative structure. Those

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 documents have already been accorded to be relevant and reliable,
- 2 including authenticity. The purpose of this document hearing is
- 3 to provide counsel an opportunity to show why that presumption
- 4 ought not to be followed.
- 5 [15.03.38]
- 6 The objections that you have heard today fall into two
- 7 categories.
- 8 The first category seeks to expand the scope of the objections
- 9 that are possible and envisaged. They seek to add additional
- 10 items. They seek to call the person who interviewed -- who was
- 11 the interviewer. They seek further information with respect to a
- 12 document before deciding whether it is what it seems to be.
- 13 None of those things are supported by the jurisprudence, nor
- 14 indeed by this Chambers decision of January 31st, which states
- 15 simply: Look at these documents; they are reliable, they are
- 16 relevant; show us why they are not. Are they forgeries? Is there
- 17 anything on the document on its face that shows that this is not
- 18 reliable?
- 19 The second category of objections today have related to the
- 20 weight which should be given to these documents by the Trial
- 21 Chamber. The test between admissibility and weight given to
- 22 various pieces of evidence ought not to be conflated. The
- 23 comments related to weight should indeed be considered at an
- 24 appropriate time, later on.
- 25 [15.05.24]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Let's turn to the Commerce records.
- 2 Approximately 38 of the documents listed in the footnotes and on
- 3 this list are DK Commerce records. The footnotes are used to
- 4 support a part of paragraph 1144 of the Closing Order, which
- 5 states:
- 6 "Khieu Samphan received a large number of telegrams and
- 7 communications from the Commercial Committee and [...] Phnom Penh
- 8 Foreign Trade FORTRA Company, covering topics such as the import
- 9 and export of food and goods, the economic relations with
- 10 foreign countries and the fact that members of the Commercial
- 11 Committee travelled to the
- 12 Cambodian countryside to collect crops."
- 13 Witness TCW-583, who worked in the Overseas Commercial Bank of
- 14 Cambodia under the supervision of the Ministry of Commerce,
- 15 identified and discussed 14 Commerce documents during the course
- of interviews conducted by the OCIJ.
- 17 [15.06.41]
- 18 Significantly, he identified the handwriting and signature of Van
- 19 Rith, who was the DK Secretary of Commerce. This signature
- 20 appears in many of the documents under the label DK Commerce
- 21 documents.
- 22 Examples of such documents include document IS 21.145. This
- 23 document bears the signature of Van Rith, as identified by
- 24 witness TCW-583. The document also bears the notation quote --
- 25 "already sent to Brother Hem", Hem being the alias for Khieu

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Samphan.
- 2 Another subset of these documents from the DK commerce records
- 3 contain ledger entries regarding the use of 140 million yuans
- 4 credit. These ledger entries are clearly identifiable as such.
- 5 They provide an entry of the value of the contract of goods with
- 6 China. They also provide entries for the cost of merchandise paid
- 7 by the DK Government using this credit. The documents contain the
- 8 signature of Van Rith and an annotation stating: "Already sent to
- 9 Brothers Hem and Vorn".
- 10 Witness TCW-583 also confirmed that China provided credit to the
- 11 DK Government to establish the Overseas Commercial Bank, and
- 12 explained that the bank was required to prepare regular reports,
- 13 recording goods that were exported and imported using the credit,
- 14 hence the ledger entries.
- 15 [15.08.50]
- 16 The ledger entries are also sometimes accompanied--
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 National Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you may proceed.
- 19 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to object what
- 21 Co-Prosecutor is now addressing concerning the substance of the
- 22 documents.
- 23 According to the instruction or advice by Judge Lavergne this
- 24 morning, we are supposed to look at the documents, whether they
- 25 can be put for review. It is not to look at the substance of each

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 document. However, I have observed that Co-Prosecutor is trying
- 2 to pinpoint to the detailed substance of each document.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 International Co-Prosecutor, would you wish to respond to what
- 5 national counsel for Khieu Samphan is -- or has addressed? If you
- 6 would wish to do so, you have the floor.
- 7 [15.10.08]
- 8 MS. DEBNATH:
- 9 Thank you. Your Honour, I am looking at the documents to show you
- 10 why they are reliable. That requires me, to some extent, to
- 11 explore the structure of the document -- what they look like,
- 12 what sorts of information is contained in those documents.
- 13 Without going into such matters, I really cannot fully show why
- 14 these documents ought to be relied upon.
- 15 (Judges deliberate)
- 16 [15.11.00]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 The objection by the defence counsel for Khieu Samphan is not
- 19 sustained.
- 20 The International Co-Prosecutor may proceed.
- 21 MS. DEBNATH:
- 22 The ledger entries are sometime accompanied by detailed list of
- 23 goods shipped and contracted for.
- 24 Examples of such documents can be found at IS 21.114 and IS
- 25 21.104. These Commerce documents also report on trade relations

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 with other countries.
- 2 Documents IS 18.21, for example, is a report on a meeting with -
- 3 quote -- "Korean comrades" at the Ministry of Commerce. This
- 4 report is also sent "to respected and beloved Brother Hem".
- 5 Document IS 21.129 shows the ledger entries related to the 140
- 6 million yuans. It also includes a balance sheet called "Kampuchea
- 7 commercial transactions with other Countries besides China and
- 8 Korea". It shows that certain amounts of rice was exported to
- 9 Madagascar and that there were imports from Yugoslavia.
- 10 [15.12.37]
- 11 Document D161/1.30 also related to Yugoslavia. It concerns
- 12 equipment offered for sale by Yugoslavia. This document contains
- 13 annotations by Van Rith, stating, one, "already sent to Brother
- 14 Vorn [and] Hem" and, two, Brother Hem advised that Brother Vorn
- 15 decided not to accept the offer; please find a way to respond to
- 16 Yugoslavs.
- 17 Let me address the origin of these documents. They were collected
- 18 by DC-Cam, in many cases from the National Archives. Many of
- 19 these documents bear cataloguing marks from those archives. As
- 20 Youk Chhang testified here during his testimony, the originals of
- 21 those documents can be viewed at the National Archives.
- 22 [15.13.45]
- 23 Let me turn to another category of documents, and these I will
- 24 call the French documents.
- 25 These documents were provided by the French Foreign Ministry in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 response to a rogatory letter dated 13 March 2009. That's
- 2 document D199. These documents were added to the case file by the
- 3 OCIJ.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Chamber wishes to remind the prosecutor to slow down for the
- 6 record, particularly when it comes to the number of the document
- 7 or the ERN number.
- 8 MS. DEBNATH:
- 9 Thank you; I will.
- 10 Some of these documents contain reviews of significant events in
- 11 Cambodia.
- 12 The purpose why these documents were created can be found in an
- 13 accompanying note in document D199/26.2.105. The note states
- 14 that, "taking into consideration the absence of diplomatic
- 15 relations between France and Democratic Kampuchea as well as the
- 16 absence of information on the subject of Democratic Kampuchea,
- 17 the Asia-Oceania Division of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- 18 considers it useful to provide a review of the internal and
- 19 external relations of the new Cambodia".
- 20 [15.15.58]
- 21 These reports contain information on visits by foreign diplomats,
- 22 which leaders received these diplomats, as well as key events
- 23 that were taking place domestically. Many of the events are
- 24 corroborated by other accounts of the same visits, notably FBIS.
- 25 These documents have the same format. The top left-hand corner of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 the first page contains a stamp of the ministry. Underneath that
- 2 is the number of this particular review. On the top right-hand
- 3 corner of the first page, one can find a stamp of the Republic of
- 4 France and the date.
- 5 Another type of document also produced by the French Government
- 6 and also sent at the request of the OCIJ consists of telegrams
- 7 from the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- 8 The format of these telegrams is quite consistent: "Foreign
- 9 Affairs" is usually typed on the top right-hand corner; the word
- 10 "déchiffrement" is typed diagonally across the top right-hand
- 11 corner.
- 12 An example can be found at document D199/26.2.29. This is the
- 13 telegram from Arnaud. The subject is "Visit to China by Prime
- 14 Minister of Cambodia". It discusses Pol Pot's visit to China.
- 15 Arnaud is the author of many of these telegrams. Indeed, he sends
- 16 another telegram related to this very same visit. That telegram
- 17 can be found at document D199/26.2.30. This visit by Pol Pot to
- 18 China was also reported elsewhere in the media, including in the
- 19 "New York Times".
- 20 [15.19.05]
- 21 Let's turn to document IS 5.30. This is an this is an S-21
- 22 confession of Hu Nim, the minister of propaganda. The document is
- 23 contained in a footnote to the first line of paragraph 883 of the
- 24 Closing Order. That line states quote: "The first Minister of
- 25 Information and Propaganda in the CPK regime was Hu Nim who was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 arrested and sent to S-21 in April 1977."
- 2 In the Trial Chamber's ruling of January 31st 2012, at paragraph
- 3 9, the Trial Chamber reiterated that "evidence obtained through
- 4 torture has limited uses". The use to which this document is
- 5 being put is consistent with that ruling. It simply confirms that
- 6 Hu Nim was sent to S-21 and the date -- the month of his arrest.
- 7 The document contains annotations. Duch has confirmed that he
- 8 annotated the letter, which was written by Hu Nim, that
- 9 accompanies this confession. That letter is addressed to Pol Pot,
- 10 Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, Vorn Vet, Son Sen, and Khieu Samphan.
- 11 [15.20.58]
- 12 Counsel for Ieng Sary has objected to a document called "The Last
- 13 Joint Plan". This document is cited in footnote 3782 and 3783 of
- 14 the Closing Order. The document number is D288/6.5/2.29. The
- 15 relevant portion of the Closing Order states -- quote: "In
- 16 addition to the above, Nuon Chea became de facto secretary of two
- 17 zones after the arrest of their secretaries. It appears that
- 18 following the arrest on 20 September 1976 of the Northeast Zone
- 19 Secretary Men San alias Ya,3782 this role was filled by Om Neng
- 20 alias Vy alias Vong until mid-1978 and then by Nuon Chea for a
- 21 short period."
- 22 [15.22.13]
- 23 This document is an analysis of confessions at S-21. The analysis
- 24 was conducted by Pon, who was Duch's chief interrogator. This
- 25 analysis weaves the various confessions into a massive

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 interconnected plot.
- 2 The document was discussed in the trial of Case 001. During that
- 3 trial, Duch confirmed that this document was produced at S-21 and
- 4 was authored by Pon.
- 5 Let me now address some of the specific objections raised by
- 6 defence counsel.
- 7 Let's turn to document D177/3.1. This document is called
- 8 "Timeline Chart compiling Ieng Sary's Foreign Travel during the
- 9 period of Democratic Kampuchea [with] S-21 records of arrest and
- 10 execution of MFA staff". This document brings together into a
- 11 different coherent form, information that can all be found in
- 12 numerous documents already on the case file. The way to challenge
- 13 this document is to show why the timeline is incorrect, that at
- 14 this time, indeed, Ieng Sary was not there. That wasn't done.
- 15 [15.24.25]
- 16 An objection was also made to what was called Steven Heder
- 17 material. It was argued that Steven Heder had not only drafted
- 18 the Introductory Submission, he then proceeded to investigate it.
- 19 Steven Heder left OCP in December 2006. The Introductory
- 20 Submission was written during the second quarter of 2007 and
- 21 submitted in July 2007. Steven Heder did not write it.
- 22 Two documents related to Steven Heder were cited. One was
- 23 D29/I-Attachment 33, and D366/7.1.562. These documents are part
- of Annex 1, and Annex 1 was argued in the first document hearing.
- 25 There was an objection that witness interviews not conducted by

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 OCIJ ought not to be admitted.
- 2 [15.26.11]
- 3 Again, in accordance with document E170, this document hearing
- 4 excludes written witness statements. I note however that counsel
- 5 for Nuon Chea objected to witness statements from Ouk Bunchhoeun,
- 6 Sim Ka, Chea Sim, and Heng Samrin. Witness statements from these
- 7 very same people were included in their recent filing of January
- 8 31st, 2012, in which they sought to put witness statements of
- 9 these very same people before the Chamber.
- 10 Other objections related to FBIS reports, to "Revolutionary
- 11 Flag", to Standing Committee minutes. All of those were covered
- 12 under Annex 1 to 5 in the first document hearing,
- 13 where many documents from one source were corroborated with
- 14 documents from various others, where their formats were discussed
- 15 at some extent and detail.
- 16 There was an objection to document D56-Doc. 103. This is an
- 17 Accused's statement. Counsel wanted Mr. Burstein to come and
- 18 provide testimony before this document could be admitted.
- 19 Accused's statements fall under Annex 1 and, as such, were
- 20 discussed during the first document hearing. But I also point out
- 21 that, when you have when you read the Accused's statements, you
- 22 will see that the Accused say the same thing again and again.
- 23 Their statements are always consistent.
- 24 [15.28.55]
- 25 There were objections to international media reports. Objection

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 was made to document D108/43/7. This is a FBIS report. I
- 2 discussed FBIS reports during the last hearing.
- 3 Another document objected to was D108/43/9. This document is a
- 4 summary of world broadcasts created by the BBC, also discussed
- 5 during the last document hearing.
- 6 Objection was made objection was made to document D56/Doc. 066.
- 7 This is an international media report; it is called "Ninth
- 8 Anniversary of Founding of Revolutionary Army". This was from the
- 9 "Peking Review" of 28 January 1977. This document is corroborated
- 10 by two other documents.
- 11 The first one is document D313/1.2.317. This document is a
- 12 summary of world broadcasts. It reports on the celebration for
- 13 this anniversary and that Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan attended.
- 14 The document is further corroborated by document D248/6.1.14.
- 15 This document is also a summary of world broadcasts, but this one
- 16 contains a fairly extensive extensive excerpt from the speech
- 17 given by Nuon Chea as acting prime minister. This document is
- 18 dated January 20th, '77.
- 19 Your Honour, those are my submissions.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may now proceed.
- 23 [15.31.46]
- 24 MR. IANUZZI
- 25 Thank you, Your Honour. Could I have one minute to make a brief

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 reply to the remarks of the Co-Prosecutor with respect to our
- 2 documents, documents from the Nuon Chea list?
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Time allotted to counsel for Nuon Chea has already been used. It
- 5 is now the opportunity for the prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers
- 6 for the civil parties.
- 7 MR. IANUZZI:
- 8 I understand that, Your Honour; this was a request to make a
- 9 reply.
- 10 (Judges deliberate)
- 11 [15.32.48]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Civil party Lead Co-Lawyers, you may now proceed.
- 14 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 15 Mr. President, Your Honours, distinguished colleagues. A few
- 16 brief comments following up on the general objections that were
- 17 raised today.
- 18 The first comment I would make -- and I am sure this will
- 19 surprise nobody -- is that today we are looking at 95 documents
- 20 that relate to footnotes from the Closing Order, and I would say
- 21 that it seems to me that these documents have been given very
- 22 attentive scrutiny by professional judges, and their
- 23 authenticity, their relevance have been considered to be
- 24 sufficiently serious for the Investigating Judges to use such
- 25 documents to substantiate their Closing Order, which, itself, was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 open to appeal, and there indeed was one which led to a decision
- 2 by the Pre-Trial Chamber.
- 3 On that essential premise, I believe we should examine any
- 4 objections.
- 5 [15.34.24]
- 6 My second comment is as follows. I saw that the objections that
- 7 were being levelled today were, at least for the bulk of them,
- 8 ones that we had talked about in the previous hearing on
- 9 documents.
- 10 For example, the objection on the fact that originals should be
- 11 submitted, or objections about the fact that documents from
- 12 DC-Cam were not sufficiently reliable, or the objection which
- 13 consisted in saying that the author of a document should be heard
- 14 at any cost -- the author of a document, a book, an interview or
- 15 a newspaper article.
- 16 We've heard that objection before, in the first discussion on
- 17 documents, as well, and the one on the chain of custody of
- 18 documents coming from the National Archives, so we've talked
- 19 about all of this already, and our comments consequently would
- 20 tend to be the same. And I would suggest that people refer
- 21 themselves to what we have already said on the subject.
- 22 [15.35.39]
- 23 My third comment is as follows. I don't believe that it is
- 24 adequate to state that statements outside the judicial framework
- 25 are unreliable for it to de facto -- to be proven that they are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 not reliable. If we want to talk about non-judicial framework
- 2 statements and challenge them, then you have to demonstrate in
- 3 what way the assumption of reliability can be called into
- 4 question. And I note that the Defence, once again, is not
- 5 providing us with that demonstration.
- 6 I wanted to make what is not really a response to objections, but
- 7 a comment focused on a special group of documents.
- 8 In the 95, there are 37 that are to do with the Co-Prosecutor's
- 9 Annex 7, and they concern documents coming from the Ministry of
- 10 Trade. It seems to us that, for proper administration of justice,
- 11 it might have been worthwhile to talk about the 37 documents
- 12 under the framework of Annex 7 because, as I understand it, that
- 13 annex will be talked about one day.
- 14 [15.37.13]
- 15 I move on to another point, on confessions. One confession has
- 16 been tabled, and I think we all agree on what position to have on
- 17 this, including, I believe, the Chamber. We are civil party
- 18 lawyers, but first and foremost we are lawyers and we believe
- 19 that there should be stringent application of Article 15 of the
- 20 Torture Convention. The Chamber reminded us of its position in
- 21 its memorandum of the 30th of January -- and that too was its
- 22 position in May 2009 -- and it was that the documents can be used
- 23 to demonstrate the torture had occurred, but not for their
- 24 content. And of course we support that position, and I believe
- 25 the same goes for the Co-Prosecutors.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Those are the comments that I wanted to bring up, Mr. President.
- 2 I don't know if my colleague wishes to take the floor after me--
- 3 No, I believe that the civil parties do not wish to take the
- 4 floor any further on the document question. Thank you very much.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 (Judges deliberate)
- 8 [15.39.35]
- 9 Since we still have some time left and we noted that counsel for
- 10 Nuon Chea was willing to reply to the statements made by the
- 11 Co-Prosecutor, the Chamber is considering giving the floor to
- 12 counsel.
- 13 But please advise us how much time would you need before we can
- 14 decide whether we should give time to you or not.
- 15 MR. IANUZZI:
- 16 Thank you, Your Honour. Truly, one minute would be fine.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Indeed, you are allowed to do so in one minute.
- 19 However, please be reminded that parties who wish to speak about
- 20 any potential witnesses -- or witnesses in the case file, they
- 21 are advised to refrain from revealing the full names of the
- 22 relevant witnesses, because it is also to respect their rights.
- 23 You may proceed.
- 24 [15.41.07]
- 25 MR. IANUZZI:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

- 1 Thank you, Your Honour. I just wanted to clarify -- and perhaps I
- 2 didn't make this point clear enough this morning -- our
- 3 objection--
- 4 First of all, indeed, those three documents that Co-Prosecutor
- 5 referred to, the statement of Ouk Bunchhoeun, CPP senator, the
- 6 statement of Chea Sim, the president of the Senate, and the
- 7 statement of Heng Samrin, president of the National Assembly,
- 8 those are indeed on our witness list.
- 9 The objection today was to their use to prove the acts and
- 10 conduct of the Accused or pivotal issues in the case without them
- 11 appearing for cross-examination. So I just want to make that very
- 12 clear. Those documents are indeed on our list. We've called for
- 13 these witnesses to come and testify.
- 14 We submitted the documents for different reasons -- and we've
- 15 provided those reasons in our cover submission -- but the
- 16 objection this morning is to their use to prove the acts and
- 17 conduct of the Accused or pivotal issues in the case without
- 18 their examination in Court.
- 19 So I hope that's clear. Thank you.
- 20 [15.42.14]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Thank you very much.
- 23 It is now an appropriate time for today adjournment. The Chamber,
- 24 therefore, decides to adjourn today's session by now.
- 25 The next session will be on the 12th of March 2012, at 9 a.m.,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 33 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16/02/2012

1	indeed.
2	Security personnels are now instructed to bring all the accused
3	persons to the detention facility and have them returned to the
4	courtroom by the 12 of March, before 9 a.m.
5	The Court is adjourned.
6	(Court adjourns at 1543H)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	