

អល្លដ៏សុំ៩ម្រះចិសាមញ្ញត្តួខត្នលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

អគ្គដ៏ស្ដុំដម្រះសាលាដ៏មុខ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

ព្រះរាជាឃាត្តមិនអតិ សូឌូ សាសស រល់ះគលរដវិនិ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ឯនសារឡើង

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date):.....16-Mar-2012, 11:32 Sann Rada

CMS/CFO:.

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC**

Case File No 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

12 March 2012 Trial Day 34

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

> Silvia CARTWRIGHT YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

DUCH Phary Roger PHILLIPS SE Kolvuthy DAV Ansan

Lawyers for the Accused:

The Accused:

SON Arun

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

IENG Sary

Michiel PESTMAN Andrew IANUZZI ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSÉ

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

SENG Bunkheang Dale LYSAK **CHAN Dararasmey** Tarik ABDULHAK

For Court Management Section:

KAUV Keoratanak

PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

LOR Chunthy Barnabé NEKUIE **HONG Kimsuon VEN Pov** SIN Soworn

TY Srinna

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ABDULHAK	English
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
MS. GUISSÉ	French
MR. IANUZZI	English
MR. KARNAVAS	English
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. PESTMAN	English
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0909H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 The Trial Chamber will now recommence its proceedings on the
- 6 facts in Case 002/01.
- 7 [09.10.44]
- 8 For today's proceedings on the facts for the second segment of
- 9 Case 002/01, which are related to the administrative and
- 10 communication structures of Democratic Kampuchea as well as facts
- 11 in relevant paragraphs concerning the roles and the functions of
- 12 the Accused, which are closely related to the administrative and
- 13 communication structures.
- 14 Three greffiers of the Trial Chamber will be appointed to read
- 15 the relevant portion of the Closing Order in relation to the
- 16 above facts before we proceed with the oral arguments to the
- 17 documents proposed to be put before the Chamber by the parties.
- 18 For this week proceeding, the Trial Chamber will not question the
- 19 Accused or hear the testimonies of witnesses, civil parties or
- 20 experts, as indicated in its memorandum E172/5. This week
- 21 proceedings will mainly focus on the oral objections to the
- 22 documents proposed to be put before the Chamber by the parties.
- 23 [09.12.27]
- 24 Mr. Duch Phary, could you report the attendance of the parties to
- 25 the proceeding?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 THE GREFFIER:
- 2 Mr. President, the attendance of the parties are as follows: all
- 3 the Prosecution is present; Ieng Sary's defence is present; Khieu
- 4 Samphan's defence is present, but only the national side; and,
- 5 for Nuon Chea's team, all is all are present; the three Accused
- 6 are present, Mr. Khieu Samphan, Ieng Sary, and Nuon Chea; the
- 7 civil party lawyers are present. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 In order to clarify the facts related to the three Accused within
- 10 the second segment of Case 002/01, that is the facts for the
- 11 first trial, and pursuant to Rule 89 bis, the greffiers are
- 12 appointed -- that is, Ms. Se Kolvuthy, Mr. Duch Phary, and Mr.
- 13 Dav Ansan -- to read the portions of the Closing Order and of the
- 14 relevant paragraphs in relation to the administrative and
- 15 communication structures of Democratic Kampuchea and certain
- 16 paragraphs related to the roles and functions of the Accused,
- 17 which are closely related to the above administrative and
- 18 communication structures.
- 19 As indicated in our memorandum dated 15 December 2011 that is,
- 20 document E1/75-Correction 1 and document E172 each greffier
- 21 shall read out the allocated portions.
- 22 [09.15.35]
- 23 I would like now to invite Ms. Se Kolvuthy to read the segments
- 24 allocated to her.
- 25 THE GREFFIER:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 II. Administrative Structures -- Centre
- 2 A. The Communist Party of Kampuchea -- CPK
- 3 As of 17 April 1975, the CPK was governed by a statute which had
- 4 been initially adopted at the Party's First Congress, in
- 5 September 1960. In January 1976, a new statute was adopted at the
- 6 Party's Fourth Congress that outlined the ideology, membership,
- 7 structure, and organization of the Party. Within the Statute, a
- 8 number of state bodies were particularized: that is the
- 9 Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea, the national system of Party
- 10 Committees, the Party Central Committee, and "various offices and
- 11 ministries surrounding the Central Committee". The Party itself
- 12 was governed by a Central Committee and a Standing Committee.
- 13 Paragraph 34: The Statute further states that the CPK was to be
- 14 led by the system of "collective leadership" based on the
- 15 principle of "democratic centralism". Applied to the committee
- 16 system, this meant that individual members could not make
- 17 decisions by themselves, but only in concert with other members,
- 18 with specific persons holding specific thematic responsibilities.
- 19 This is echoed in a Standing Committee meeting dated 9 October
- 20 1975: "When a telegram comes in, immediately when it is received,
- 21 the office must hand it to the responsible section immediately,
- 22 so they can examine and consider it and make proposals to the
- 23 Standing Committee."
- 24 [09.17.52]
- 25 Paragraph 35: Both the Central Committee and the Standing

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

1	Committee were comprised of "full-rights" and "candidate" or
2	"reserve" members. Candidate status was a lower ranking than
3	full-rights. Being a full-rights member meant having the rights
4	"to consider and discuss and join in decision making" with
5	regards to all matters. A candidate member was allowed to
6	participate in meetings, without the right of decision-making. At
7	the Central Committee level, not being a full-rights member meant
8	not having "the decision-making power to eliminate people", a
9	power stated by Duch to be officially enjoyed only by certain
10	members of the Central Committee. "Assistants" to the Central
11	Committee, although not members as such, enjoyed a status similar
12	to some form of membership, to the extent that they could
13	participate alongside full-rights and candidate members in
14	political training organized at the Party Centre level.
15	Paragraph 36: Other bodies characterized by the Central Committee
16	as totally belonging to the Party were: the Party's People's
17	Representative Assembly see the section of the Closing Order
18	regarding the roles and function of Nuon Chea, said to have been
19	elected on 20 March 1976; the State Presidium see the section
20	of the Closing Order regarding the roles and functions of Khieu
21	Samphan; and the Organization Committee of the Party Central
22	Committee headed by Nuon Chea which was empowered to
23	monitor and inspect Party members and oversee their integration
24	in offices and ministries.
25	[09.19.43]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 B. Central Committee
- 2 Paragraph 37: The Central Committee was given responsibility in
- 3 the Statute of the CPK to "implement the Party political line and
- 4 Statute throughout the Party", as well as to "instruct all the
- 5 Zone and Sector" and to "Govern and arrange cadres and Party
- 6 members throughout the entire Party".
- 7 Paragraph 38: Members of the Central Committee including (sic):
- 8 Pol Pot -- referred to in official media as the Secretary of the
- 9 Central Committee; Nuon Chea -- Deputy Secretary of the Central
- 10 Committee; Ieng Sary; Khieu Samphan; Koy Thuon -- later arrested
- 11 and executed; Ta Mok; Ney Saran alias Ya -- later arrested and
- 12 executed; Soeung; and Ke Pauk. In addition, Sua Vasi alias Doeun,
- 13 Chairman of Political Office 870 -- later arrested and executed
- 14 -- was a member of the Central Committee. Chhim Sam Aok alias
- 15 Pang was described as either a member of the Central Committee or
- 16 as assistant to the Committee. Furthermore, other zone
- 17 secretaries and at least some sector secretaries were also
- 18 members of the Central Committee, along with some additional
- 19 military cadres. Some witnesses also state that Ieng Thirith
- 20 would attend Central Committee meetings.
- 21 [09.21.15]
- 22 Paragraph 39: Furthermore, there was a "Specialist Military
- 23 Committee", or "High-Level Military Committee", of the Central
- 24 Committee originally comprised of Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, Son Sen,
- 25 Sao Phim, and Ta Mok. Vorn Vet and Ke Pauk became members of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Military Committee at a later date. Duch states that also
- 2 attached to the Central Committee were assistants with military
- 3 responsibilities, namely Sam Bit and Soeung. The Central
- 4 Committee and Military Committee would sometimes meet jointly to
- 5 discuss military matters. Ultimately, the Revolutionary Army of
- 6 Kampuchea and all local military units were subordinated to the
- 7 Military Committee and the Central Committee, the army being
- 8 described in one edition of the "Revolutionary Flag" as the "pure
- 9 dictatorial instrument of the Party".
- 10 Paragraph 40: In total, though membership evolved over the course
- 11 of the DK regime, the Central Committee was comprised of over 30
- 12 members, whose statutory obligation was to lead the close
- 13 implementation of CPK policies down through all levels of society
- 14 and to report back up the hierarchy to the Central Committee,
- 15 meeting in accordance with the Statute with a frequency of
- 16 approximately once every six months.
- 17 C. Standing Committee
- 18 Paragraph 41: The Standing Committee was a smaller body than the
- 19 Central Committee comprised of the higher tier of CPK cadre.
- 20 According to Khieu Samphan, whilst the Central Committee was, in
- 21 principle, statutorily the highest decision making body, it was
- 22 within the Standing Committee where effective power was exercised
- 23 and the day-to-day affairs of the CPK were conducted. For
- 24 example, one aspect of the Standing Committee's superior position
- 25 was that it had the authority to order the arrest of Central

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Committee members.
- 2 [09.23.36]
- 3 Paragraph 42: Khieu Samphan confirms in an interview that Pol Pot
- 4 was the highest authority in the CPK as Secretary of the Standing
- 5 Committee, stating that: "When we talk to Pol Pot it was the same
- 6 as talking to the Party because he was the Party secretary." He
- 7 further states: "All the decisions were circulated to the level
- 8 of the Standing Committee so that they could be implemented at
- 9 the local level."
- 10 Paragraph 43: This primacy of the Standing Committee is
- 11 corroborated by Duch, who further confirms that, after Pol Pot,
- 12 Nuon Chea was second in the Standing Committee hierarchy,
- 13 followed by Ta Mok. A meeting minutes suggests that, in Pol Pot's
- 14 absence, Nuon Chea would preside over meetings of the Standing
- 15 Committee. Other members of the Standing Committee included: So
- 16 Yann alias Sao Phim -- committed suicide in 1978; Ieng Sary; Vorn
- 17 Vet -- later arrested and executed; Ros Nhim -- later arrested
- 18 and executed; and Son Sen. Of these seven members, five were
- 19 based permanently in Phnom Penh, namely: Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, Ieng
- 20 Sary, Vorn Vet and Son Sen -- until his relocation in August 1977
- 21 to the East Zone to oversee the conflict with Vietnam.
- 22 Paragraph 44: In addition to Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, and Ta Mok, Ieng
- 23 Sary enjoyed full-rights status as a member of the Standing
- 24 Committee. Vorn Vet and Son Sen were likely either full-right or
- 25 candidate members of the Standing Committee.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

8

1 [09.25.42]

- 2 Paragraph 45: Although it is clear from the evidence that Khieu
- 3 Samphan was not a formal member of the Standing Committee whilst
- 4 the CPK was in power, there is evidence of Khieu Samphan
- 5 contributing to or assisting in the work of the Standing
- 6 Committee, as outlined in the section of the Closing Order
- 7 regarding Khieu Samphan.
- 8 Paragraph 46: In a meeting on 9 October 1975, the Standing
- 9 Committee exercised its authority to assign operational
- 10 responsibility of various fields of governmental work to
- 11 high-level CPK cadres, including members of the Central
- 12 Committee. This included the military, the economy, security,
- 13 foreign affairs, and social action. They were required to report
- 14 to the Standing Committee, and real decision-making authority
- 15 remained with the Standing Committee, the Minutes of Meeting
- 16 stating: "In bringing up projects, we must ask the Standing
- 17 Committee's opinion so it may decide and approve." The same
- 18 minutes, requiring that records be kept of Standing Committee
- 19 decisions, state that: "The important objective is that
- 20 minutes/records must be clear; what is asked must be known from
- 21 decisions of the Centre, of the Standing Committee, minutes
- 22 documenting meetings of the Centre, what day, what month,
- 23 whatever needs to be done to make it clear is what must be done."
- 24 Paragraph 47: The Standing Committee would meet frequently; Khieu
- 25 Samphan stating approximately every seven to ten days. In

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 addition, one witness states that whenever there was an important
- 2 matter requiring discussion, a meeting of the Standing Committee
- 3 would be called immediately in order to make a decision.
- 4 [09.27.35]
- 5 D. Offices of "870"
- 6 Paragraph 48: Existing at the CPK Party Centre level were a
- 7 series of entities associated with the number "870", a code which
- 8 alluded to the highest level of the CPK Centre, including
- 9 sometimes a reference individually to Pol Pot. They are generally
- 10 described as servicing or supporting the Standing Committee with
- 11 regard to various political, administrative, communications,
- 12 security, and military tasks, answering in particular to the
- 13 members of the Standing Committee located in Phnom Penh.
- 14 Paragraph 49: The official names of the two most important of
- 15 these entities were the "Political Office of 870" and the
- 16 "Government Office", also designated by the Standing Committee as
- 17 "Office S-71" or "Ministry S-71". Minutes of the meetings of the
- 18 Standing Committee also refer to an entity called "Bureau 870"
- 19 headed by Sim Son alias Yem, which the minutes suggest is endowed
- 20 with responsibility for the taking of minutes during Standing and
- 21 Central Committee meetings.
- 22 Paragraph 50: The first Chairman of the Political Office 870 was
- 23 Sua Vasi alias Doeun, who remained in this position until his
- 24 arrest in 1977. Khieu Samphan was also assigned to work in this
- 25 office, but has categorically denied having been at any time the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

10

1 chairman. The Government Office -- that is, Office S-71 -- was

- 2 chaired by Chhim Sam Aok alias Pang from 17 April 1975 until
- 3 1978, when he was arrested. He was replaced by Ken alias Lin, who
- 4 remained in charge through to 6th January 1979. Although one
- 5 witness states that Pang's office was controlled by Pol Pot
- 6 himself with Pang under his direct authority, the evidence shows
- 7 that Pang's office also, more generally, "reported to The
- 8 Centre".
- 9 [09.29.55]
- 10 Paragraph 51: The distinction between these two offices was that
- 11 Political Office 870, headed by Doeun, was tasked with matters of
- 12 policy whilst Office S-71, headed by Pang, was responsible for
- 13 more administrative and support tasks. Both Political Office 870
- 14 and Office S-71, as well as other entities associated with them,
- 15 were often referred to by the unspecific term "Office 870" or the
- 16 "Organization's Office", without clarity about which particular
- 17 office was being referred to.
- 18 Paragraph 52: Political Office 870 was principally tasked with
- 19 ensuring the flow of communication between the decision-makers in
- 20 the CPK Centre and for monitoring the implementation of decisions
- 21 through a system of regular reporting directly to Political
- 22 Office 870. According to the minutes of the 9 October 1975
- 23 meeting of the Standing Committee, referring to the office headed
- 24 by Doeun, it is stated the "Office of the Standing Committee
- 25 makes contacts back and forth with each section. The Standing

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

11

1 Committee monitors each section's implementation of the line. The

- 2 Office has the task of monitoring implementation".
- 3 Paragraph 53: The functions of Office S-71, as described by Duch,
- 4 were the "protection of the central office and cadre, welcoming
- 5 guests, communications, logistics, food, transport". Office S-71
- 6 was however also tasked, on behalf of the Standing Committee, to
- 7 monitor suspected members of the CPK, the ministries, the Central
- 8 Committee and the Standing Committee itself, and to effect
- 9 arrests of those perceived to be traitors and their transfer to
- 10 S-21.
- 11 [09.32.06]
- 12 Paragraph 54: Contained within the structure of S-71 was a series
- of sub-offices code-named with the prefix "K" that performed a
- 14 variety of administrative or logistical functions to support the
- 15 work of the Centre. These K offices reported directly to Pang.
- 16 Those of particular significance included K-1, K-3, K-7 and K-18.
- 17 55. The evidence shows that K-1 was a housing compound containing
- 18 both the residence and working places of Pol Pot, though some
- 19 witnesses state that K-1 was only the working place of Pol Pot.
- 20 Furthermore, a number of witnesses state that Nuon Chea and Khieu
- 21 Samphan would also reside and/or work at times with Pol Pot at
- 22 K-1.
- 23 Paragraph 56: There is further evidence that the location known
- 24 as K-3 was a residence and working place of Nuon Chea, and/or
- 25 Khieu Samphan, and/or Ieng Sary, and/or Son Sen, and/or Vorn Vet

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 at various times. Nonetheless, a number of witnesses attest to
- 2 seeing Pol Pot arrive to conduct meetings at either K-1 or K-3
- 3 with Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, Son Sen, Vorn Vet and Khieu Samphan,
- 4 as well as with Ieng Thirith on occasion, if the meeting
- 5 concerned Social Action.
- 6 Paragraph 57: K-7 was a messenger unit through which written
- 7 communications addressed to "Committee 870" or "Office 870" were
- 8 routed before being directed to Pol Pot at K-1 as well as to the
- 9 members of Committee 870 or its appropriate member depending on
- 10 thematic responsibility. K-18 was a telegraph unit through which
- 11 radio communications were received and then directed to K-1.
- 12 [09.34.28]
- 13 58. It is not fully clear from the evidence as to precisely which
- 14 individuals would be considered recipients to message messages,
- 15 rather, addressed to "Committee 870", or to "Office 870". Some
- 16 witnesses state that these terms were a direct reference to the
- 17 Central Committee, whilst conversely other witnesses state it
- 18 would have been the Standing Committee or even Pol Pot
- 19 individually. Nonetheless, a number of witnesses, including Duch,
- 20 state that their understanding is that "Committee 870" or "Office
- 21 870" could include any of Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, Vorn
- 22 Vet, Son Sen, and other senior leaders, including Khieu Samphan,
- 23 depending on the content.
- 24 Paragraph 59: Evidence of other K offices shows that K-6 was a
- 25 meeting place known as "Borei Keila", K-8 was responsible for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

13

1 growing vegetables, K-11 was a medical clinic, and K-12 was a

- 2 unit organizing vehicles and drivers for the Party Centre.
- 3 Paragraph 60: Though the evidence clearly demonstrates that Khieu
- 4 Samphan had a role within the Offices of 870, the evidence
- 5 concerning his exact role is not clear. Duch and other two
- 6 witnesses state that in and/or around 1977, Khieu Samphan became
- 7 the Chairman of the Political Office 870 previously headed by
- 8 Doeun, whilst other witness states only that Khieu Samphan would
- 9 meet with Pang of Office S-71 to discuss matters and that Pang
- 10 would receive his orders from Khieu Samphan as well as from other
- 11 senior leaders. Khieu Samphan himself denies taking over as
- 12 Chairman of Doeun's office, stating that he was merely
- 13 responsible within it for a number of national logistical matters
- 14 such as distribution amongst the zones of salt, rice, grain,
- 15 clothes, materials and supplies, as well as for maintaining
- 16 relations with [redacted]. The performance of his role is
- 17 confirmed to some extent by witnesses who confirm that telegrams
- 18 sent to or received from Office 870 regarding equipment or
- 19 logistics would bear the name of Khieu Samphan on behalf of
- 20 Office 870, as well as within Minutes of the Standing Committee.
- 21 However, Khieu Samphan made a statement in 1980, admitting
- 22 involvement in following up and investigating allegations against
- 23 cadres in the zones, a function previously performed by Doeun.
- 24 [09.37.52]
- 25 Paragraph 61: Finally, Khieu Samphan, Duch, and numerous other

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

14

1 witnesses confirm that the terms "Office 870", "Organization

- $\,$ 2 $\,$ 870", "Committee 870" or "Angkar" were used indifferently to
- 3 designate the leading bodies of the Party Centre. Duch states:
- 4 "Office 870; what I can say is that it was the headquarters of
- 5 the Party leading bodies."
- 6 E. Ministries -- or Government -- of Democratic Kampuchea
- 7 Paragraph 62: By a decision of 30th March 1976, the Central
- 8 Committee decided the composition of the organs of state called
- 9 to replace, as the official government, the Royal Government of
- 10 National Union of Kampuchea. It was said that the government
- 11 "must be a proper party organ and it must be our own state". The
- 12 ministers were publicly announced on the 14th April 1976.
- 13 Paragraph 63: Though this composition was subject to changes
- 14 during the course of the DK regime, the government was broadly
- 15 structured along the following lines: Pol Pot as Prime Minister
- 16 with general responsibility of the military and the economy; Ieng
- 17 Sary as Deputy Prime Minister for Foreign Affairs; Son Sen as
- 18 Deputy Prime Minister for National Defence; Vorn Vet as Deputy
- 19 Prime Minister for Economics; Nuon Chea as Chairman of the
- 20 People's Representative Assembly; Hu Nim as Minister for
- 21 Propaganda; Yun Yat as Minister for Education; Touch Phoeun as
- 22 Minister for Public Works, Transport and Post; Koy Thuon as
- 23 Minister for Commerce; Cheng An as Minister of Industry; Ieng
- 24 Thirith as Minister for Social Affairs; and [redacted] as
- 25 Minister for Health; and Khieu Samphan as Chairman of the State

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Presidium and responsible for commercial tasks relating to
- 2 accounting and pricing.
- 3 [09.40.19]
- 4 III. Administrative Structures -- National
- 5 Paragraph 64: Below the level of the Centre, Democratic Kampuchea
- 6 was subdivided into a series of hierarchical administrative
- 7 entities, all reporting up a vertical chain-of-command,
- 8 culminating at the Centre. Immediately below the Centre were a
- 9 number of large "zones". They were subdivided into "sectors" with
- 10 each of these containing a number of "districts" within which
- 11 were a series of "sub-districts" and "cooperatives". At each
- 12 level, the zone, sector, district, and sub-district tiers of this
- 13 national structure were each governed by a committee, each of
- 14 which was headed by a secretary.
- 15 Paragraph 65: Immediately after April 1975, there were six zones:
- 16 North Zone, Northwest Zone, Northeast Zone, Southwest Zone, West
- 17 Zone, and East Zone. In 1977, the North Zone was renamed the
- 18 Central Zone, and a new North Zone was created.
- 19 Paragraph 66: In addition to the zones which reported directly to
- 20 the Centre, the CPK created a number of "autonomous sectors"
- 21 which bypassed the zone level to report directly to the Centre.
- 22 These were: Autonomous Sector 103 -- Preah Vihear; Autonomous
- 23 Sector 105 Mondulkiri; Autonomous Sector 106 -- Siem Reap;
- 24 Autonomous Sector 505 Kratie; and the Kampong Som Autonomous
- 25 City -- Cambodia's principal seaport. In addition, Phnom Penh

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

16

1 itself was categorized as a distinct territory within -- not

- 2 within the formal national administrative structures, which
- 3 reported directly to the Centre. Autonomous Sector 106 -- Siem
- 4 Reap -- was merged with Autonomous Sector 103 -- Preah Vihear --
- 5 to create the New North Zone in 1977.
- 6 [09.42.38]
- 7 Paragraph 67: The facts of which the Co-Investigating Judges have
- 8 been seized of were located in all of the zones of the CPK
- 9 national structure and two of the autonomous sectors. Sang
- 10 Security Centre, Kraing Ta Chan Security Centre, Tram Kak
- 11 Cooperatives, Srae Ambel Worksite and Prey Sar or S-24 --
- 12 Worksite were located in the Southwest Zone. Wat Kirirum Security
- 13 Centre, Tuol Po Chrey Execution Site, and the Trapeang Thma
- 14 Worksite were all located in the Northwest Zone. Steung Tauch
- 15 Execution Site and Wat Tlork Security Centre were located in the
- 16 East Zone. The North Zone Security Centre was located in the New
- 17 North Zone. The 1st January Dam Worksite was located in the
- 18 Central Zone -- Old North Zone. The Au Kanseng Security Centre
- 19 was located in the Northeast Zone. Prey Damrei Srot Security
- 20 Centre, Koh Kyang Security Centre, the execution sites in
- 21 District 12, and Kampong Chhnang Airport Construction Site were
- 22 all located in the West Zone. S-21 Security Centre was located in
- 23 the territory of Phnom Penh. Finally, the Phnom Kraol Security
- 24 Centre was located in Autonomous Sector 105 and Kok Kduoch
- 25 Security Centre was in Autonomous Sector 505.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

23

24

25

17

1	[09.44.21]
2	Paragraph 68: The appointment of zone secretaries would typically
3	be made by the Centre. Implementation of decisions of the Central
4	and Standing Committees was performed by secretaries of the zones
5	and the autonomous sectors. Policies and instructions of the
6	Central and Standing Committees were disseminated to the zones
7	and autonomous sector secretaries, who in turn would disseminate
8	them amongst sector and district level secretaries for
9	implementation. Conversely, the districts (sic) reported back up
10	to the district committees, which reported to the sector
11	committees, which in turn reported to the zone committees.
12	Paragraph 69: The Statute of the CPK states that the tasks and
13	functions of the zone committees were to "lead the implementation
14	of tasks", according to CPK policies, in the sectors, districts,
15	and sub-districts. As such, they were empowered to "designate new
16	work according to the Party line", meaning that the Party line
17	should be implemented "according to the political lines of
18	national defence and the construction of [the] Democratic
19	Kampuchea", in other words that the zones were responsible for
20	the internal security situation within their territories. Further
21	to this, the zone committees were authorized to "administer
22	discipline in the zone framework". Furthermore, the zone

committees were empowered to select new members for the zone

leader -- level leadership, subject to the approval of the

Central Committee. They were also statutorily required to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 maintain a "system of reporting to the Central Committee on the
- 2 situation and work of the Zone".
- 3 [09.46.10]
- 4 Paragraph 70: The Statute of the CPK gave similar tasks and
- 5 functions upon the sector committees, the district committees,
- 6 and the sub-district committees, providing for the implementation
- 7 of instructions and the reporting back to the administrative tier
- 8 above.
- 9 Paragraph 71: In addition to the six monthly meetings of the
- 10 Central Committee, there was an annual meeting in Phnom Penh
- 11 between the secretaries of the zones and the CPK Centre as well
- 12 as frequent meetings in Phnom Penh between the Centre and members
- 13 of the zones, sectors, and districts. In addition, direct
- 14 meetings would be held between the zones and the sectors, and the
- 15 sectors then invited the sector then inviting the secretaries
- 16 of the districts and sub-districts to meetings, who would in turn
- 17 disseminate instructions to their units.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you, Ms. Se Kolvuthy.
- 20 Now, Mr. Phary, you may continue reading the relevant paragraphs
- 21 of the Closing Order.
- 22 THE GREFFIER:
- 23 IV. Communication Structure
- 24 [09.47.24]
- 25 Paragraph 72: The CPK Standing Committee was at the top of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Party's nationwide communications. It was at the centre of a
- 2 system of constant information between each part of the
- 3 administrative hierarchy. It issued the instruction: "Send
- 4 general reports through various spearheads. Propose short reports
- 5 by telegram, (all this so) the Standing Committee knows the
- 6 situation in order to provide timely instructions."
- 7 A. Channels of Communication
- 8 Paragraph 73: The channels of communication were meticulously
- 9 organized at the level of the Centre -- among ministries and
- 10 offices around the Centre, within the Centre armed forces and
- 11 within the Centre's S-21 security apparatus -- between the Centre
- 12 and the zones -- with no inter-zone Communication allowed -- and
- 13 between zones and sectors. Communication between sectors and
- 14 districts depended on available means but was less formalized.
- 15 Communication Within the Centre.
- 16 [09.48.48]
- 17 Paragraph 74: The distribution of messages that arrived at the
- 18 Centre from outside entities was coordinated by Office K-1, where
- 19 it was decided which of the leaders were to receive copies of
- 20 messages which were subsequently delivered to them. This decision
- 21 was taken by Pol Pot and his staff, who, for this purpose,
- 22 received ingoing messages several times per day. According to one
- 23 witness, Nuon Chea always received a copy of the messages Pol Pot
- 24 had read.
- 25 Paragraph 75: There was also frequent written communication

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

20

1 between individual CPK leaders, most notably between Pol Pot and

- 2 Nuon Chea, who used to exchange letters every one or two days.
- 3 Zone to Centre Communication.
- 4 Paragraph 76: Zones and autonomous sectors reported to the
- 5 Standing Committee primarily on the agricultural situation, such
- 6 as the rice harvest and on irrigation systems, the livelihood of
- 7 the people, and the enemy and military situation. One witness --
- 8 the Secretary of Autonomous Sector 105 -- mentions that he sent
- 9 telegrams to the Centre and that Pol Pot would send comments back
- 10 to him about the arrests of cadres and about confessions.
- 11 [09.50.38]
- 12 Paragraph 77: Surviving telegrams reported matters such as
- 13 desertion and lawlessness, the conflict with Vietnam -- detailing
- 14 enemy numbers killed and equipment captured and advising Office
- 15 870 of planned offensives and enemy movements -- questions on
- 16 internal security activities in general terms or in detail,
- 17 sometimes referring to those under suspicion, Vietnamese "spies"
- 18 and their interrogations -- mentioning the use of torture -- or
- 19 "traitors" -- while mentioning them by name.
- 20 Paragraph 78: The Zone sent and received between one and several
- 21 telegrams per day on an irregular basis from the sectors.
- 22 Communication was only established between the Centre and the
- 23 sectors, but not between the Centre and the districts.
- 24 Paragraph 79: A zone secretary had a schedule table prepared by
- 25 the Centre that indicted the times to make contact with the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

21

1 Centre. Telegrams were sent out from the zone at least once a

- 2 day, sometimes twice a day -- morning and evening or, in
- 3 special occasions, telegrams were sent at other hours of the day.
- 4 One of the sector telegram operators in Central Zone -- former
- 5 North Zone -- mentions that he received about four to five
- 6 messages a day from the Centre -- 870.
- 7 [09.52.58]
- 8 Paragraph 80: The schedule for telegram activity in the sectors
- 9 was developed by the zone, thus making it easier to distinguish
- 10 between telegrams that came in from the Centre and those that
- 11 were received from different sectors. Receipt -- the receipt of a
- 12 telegram was confirmed by a code word from the other side. In
- 13 1978, communication between the Centre and the zones increased,
- 14 due to technical improvements.
- 15 Paragraph 81: Witnesses indicate that there was a strict policy
- 16 of no communication between the zones: the communication between
- 17 the zones would be sent via the Centre. No contact schedule table
- 18 or decoding table existed for telegram communication from zone to
- 19 zone, thus making such contact impossible. However, one telegram
- 20 operator states that zone-to-zone communication took place by
- 21 letter sent by messengers and had to be stamped with the seal of
- 22 the zone.
- 23 Zone to Sector and District Communication.
- 24 Paragraph 82: Each sector had its own telegram office, but any
- 25 outgoing communication had to go through the zone.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

22

1 Paragraph 83: Only the Autonomous Sectors communicated directly

- 2 with the Centre and not via the zone. One witness, who worked as
- 3 a telegram operator in Autonomous Sector 105, states that the
- 4 district offices mostly reported on irrigation projects,
- 5 healthcare, the monitoring of forces, and on "good or bad
- 6 elements, traitorous or peaceful alliance elements". The Sector
- 7 Secretary's Office would prepare a district performance report
- 8 and send it back to the Centre on a daily basis.
- 9 [09.56.08]
- 10 Paragraph 84: Messengers were mainly used for communication
- 11 within the sectors and districts, as indicated by American
- 12 sources -- Stony Beach report: "Each District and Sector within
- 13 the Eastern Region ([or] Zone) had a messenger network. The
- 14 networks followed a rigid structure that mirrored the region
- 15 (Zone) chain of command. For example, even if a district
- 16 messenger unit was stationed near a regional unit, the district
- 17 unit would first send messages to their headquarters, then on to
- 18 the region messenger office. The message would then enter the
- 19 region (Zone) network. The messengers carried high priority
- 20 military, party and security messages, as well as personal
- 21 correspondence for deployed personnel."
- 22 External Communication.
- 23 Paragraph 85: Democratic Kampuchea had the technical means to
- 24 communicate with foreign countries. CPK senior leaders sent
- 25 telegrams to other -- mostly socialist friendly -- states

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 throughout the duration of the regime to comment or congratulate
- 2 them on events in their respective countries or to explain that
- 3 everything was going fine.
- 4 Paragraph 86: According to [redacted], external communication was
- 5 channelled through the embassy in Beijing, and communication
- 6 could either come from the Party or the government or directly
- 7 from Pol Pot to the Party cell in Beijing. Inside the country,
- 8 there was no access to any foreign information service. However,
- 9 the Ministry of Propaganda was instructed to give senior leaders
- 10 daily briefings about world news. Furthermore, [redacted] states
- 11 that Ieng Sary had charged [redacted] with listening to the news
- 12 from foreign broadcast services.
- 13 [09.59.22]
- 14 Meetings to "Study at the Centre".
- 15 Paragraph 87: Cadres from the zone committee and sector level,
- 16 including the military, were invited to "study meetings" in Phnom
- 17 Penh or at "the Centre". Witnesses name Nuon Chea or Office 870,
- 18 or 870, or Pol Pot as the sender of such invitations.
- 19 Paragraph 88: In several cases, when cadres were called to the
- 20 Centre, they would be arrested and never returned back to their
- 21 zones.
- 22 Paragraph 89: Monthly meetings at the Centre were held at K-1, to
- 23 which various leading cadre of district committees, sector
- 24 committees and zone committees were invited. These meetings were
- 25 regularly headed and attended by senior Party cadre. Military

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

24

1 meetings gathering military commanders were held at the Olympic

- 2 Stadium and were chaired by Pol Pot and Son Sen. Sometimes,
- 3 special meetings were held with only a few attendees, and these
- 4 meetings took place on an irregular basis.
- 5 B. Means of Communication
- 6 Paragraph 90: Based on reports from lower-ranking officials to
- 7 their superiors, directives from superiors to subordinates, and
- 8 requests for assistance of information that were discovered among
- 9 other evidence, it appears that the main interpersonal or
- 10 interoffice communication was by letter, telegram and messenger.
- 11 Official communication also took place in meetings and at
- 12 gatherings at each administrative level as well as at larger
- 13 rallies in Phnom Penh. Invitations to such official meetings were
- 14 generally distributed by messenger or telegram. Furthermore, the
- 15 CPK disseminated a number of directives and political education
- 16 material throughout the country. Such material was sent from the
- 17 Centre to lower administrative ranks. Lower ranks would in turn
- 18 disseminate the material among the population in the zones and
- 19 sectors.
- 20 [10.03.02]
- 21 Letters.
- 22 Paragraph 91: Letters were sent from senior CPK leaders such as
- 23 Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, Khieu Samphan, and Ieng Sary. Letters were
- 24 reportedly delivered through messengers to zone and sector
- 25 secretaries. One of the telegrams sent from the Central Zone --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

25

1 ormer North Zone -- indicates that letters were sometimes carried

- 2 in person by higher officials such as Zone Secretary Ke Pauk
- 3 himself.
- 4 Messengers.
- 5 Paragraph 92: Messengers were primarily used to deliver reports
- 6 and telegrams from the radio telegraphic unit to ministries or
- 7 for communicating information about arrests. Within the different
- 8 zones, "messengers carried correspondence by hand on bicycles and
- 9 motorcycles. Messengers were very busy and spent only a short
- 10 time in each location before returning to their home base.
- 11 Messengers were not tied to one single link but worked all the
- 12 different links serviced by their station". One witness states
- 13 that messengers from the Centre would use a speed boat to get to
- 14 Kratie, in Autonomous Sector 505.
- 15 Telegram Communication.
- 16 [10.05.23]
- 17 Paragraph 93: After the fall of Phnom Penh, in 1975, the central
- 18 telegram unit that had operated in the "liberated areas" was
- 19 moved to Phnom Penh. About 40 children were recruited from the
- 20 provinces and were taught the basic working techniques of
- 21 telegram communication -- coding, typing, etc. -- as well as
- 22 sometimes French and English. On 9 October 1975, the Standing
- 23 Committee decided on the functioning of the telegram unit.
- 24 Paragraph 94: The telegram unit, which was divided into two
- 25 sections -- one responsible for transmitting and receiving the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 telegram, and the other for the encoding and decoding -- was code
- 2 named K-18 and was located in Phnom Penh at the old United States
- 3 Embassy -- now the Fishery Administration. Office K-18 was
- 4 composed of an internal communications section with [redacted] in
- 5 charge and an external communications section with [redacted] in
- 6 charge. Approximately 20 to 30 persons worked in each of the two
- 7 sections. Subsequent chairmen of the telegram unit were
- 8 [redacted] -- also mentioned as deputy chief -- and, in 1979,
- 9 [redacted].
- 10 [10.07.52]
- 11 Paragraph 95: Within the zones, a telegram unit consisted of a
- 12 telegram coder, a transmitter or operator and a typist or
- 13 secretary.
- 14 Paragraph 96: Outgoing messages from the Centre were first sent
- 15 to the telegram coding unit which was located at the Party Centre
- 16 office K-1 to be encoded into number codes. The encoded message
- 17 was then forwarded to the operation group at K-18 that
- 18 transmitted the messages to the recipients in coded form, where
- 19 they decoded it into plain text. Incoming telegrams from the
- 20 zones arrived at K-18 and were written down by the typist group.
- 21 The encoded message was then sent to K-1 for decoding and
- 22 transmitted to the receiving Party cadre. Incoming telegrams were
- 23 forwarded to other cadre upon the decision of Pol Pot and his
- 24 staff, who received copies of all messages. Where the word
- 25 "document" was attributed to a message, this implied that it was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 to be kept in the archive of the respective telegram translator.
- 2 Copies of the coded and the text versions of the telegram had to
- 3 be kept for six months before they were burnt.
- 4 Paragraph 97: The following recipient code names were frequently
- 5 used in telegrams: "Grand Uncle" for Pol Pot; "Grand Uncle Nuon"
- 6 for Nuon Chea; "Grand Uncle Van" for Ieng Sary; "Grand Uncle
- 7 Vorn" for Vorn Vet; "Uncle Hem" for Khieu Samphan; "Respected
- 8 Brother" for Pol Pot; "K-3" for Office of Khieu Samphan and Nuon
- 9 Chea; and "K-1" for Office of Pol Pot.
- 10 [10.10.56]
- 11 Paragraph 98: The number "870" was identified by several
- 12 witnesses as the code number of the Centre. Charged person Khieu
- 13 Samphan states in this regard: "Pol Pot signed documents by
- 14 writing 870." The word "M-870" was identified by witnesses as the
- 15 code number for the Central Committee Office or for Nuon Chea and
- 16 Pol Pot interchangeably. The word "Committee 870" referred to the
- 17 Central Committee. According to Charged person Duch, "any fax or
- 18 letter with the name 'Pol', '870' or 'Office 870' referred to Pol
- 19 Pot."
- 20 Political and Education Material -- Print Media.
- 21 Paragraph 99: The "Revolutionary Flag" and "Revolutionary Youth"
- 22 magazines were the most important CPK propaganda magazines and
- 23 reflected the views of the senior Party leaders, in particular
- 24 the views of the Standing committee, although there were also
- 25 other magazines produced during the regime. They were produced in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

28

1 Office K-25 by the Ministry of Propaganda. From April 1975 until

- 2 his arrest in 1977, Hu Nim was the Minister of Propaganda.
- 3 Following his arrest, Yun Yat took control of the Ministry of
- 4 Propaganda.
- 5 [10.13.23]
- 6 Paragraph 100: "Revolutionary Flag" had existed as an underground
- 7 "secret magazine" during the struggle prior to 1975 and remained
- 8 the official Party publication during the CPK era.
- 9 Paragraph 101: The "Revolutionary Flag" and the "Revolutionary
- 10 Youth" magazines were a propaganda tool used to reflect the Party
- 11 policy on a monthly basis. Only Party members had access to the
- 12 magazines themselves, and they were used to educate political and
- 13 military cadres. They had to study the magazine, disseminate its
- 14 policies to those under their charge, and then implement them.
- 15 They also had to attend study sessions on them. "Revolutionary
- 16 Youth" was distributed among the members of the Youth League.
- 17 Paragraph 102: The magazines influenced all channels of
- 18 government public communication. The contents of radio
- 19 broadcasts, for example, were drawn from articles. Copies of
- 20 "Revolutionary Flag" were found in S-21 and at surrounding
- 21 houses. Duch himself stated that he used "Revolutionary Flag" for
- 22 information on the "general policy line of the Party". Evidence
- 23 also suggests that the magazines were received by 870 offices as
- 24 well as being disseminated abroad to the Cambodian embassy in
- 25 China.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 [10.16.06]
- 2 Paragraph 103: The magazines were also used for teaching
- 3 purposes, in particular by Nuon Chea and Ieng Sary. More general
- 4 study sessions were held to rally the people and called for youth
- 5 for "young men and women to join the revolution". According to
- 6 some witnesses, "the quidelines in the magazines were illustrated
- 7 in a very sharp way, and if a person would not adapt himself or
- 8 herself to that, then this person automatically would be
- 9 considered as an enemy", and a core message was that opponents to
- 10 the Party would be considered as enemies.
- 11 Paragraph 104: A witness states that, in one incident, leaflets
- 12 that were published at K-25 were dropped from planes in the East
- 13 Zone, and contained allegations against Sao Phim, and appealed to
- 14 the people to stay calm.
- 15 Film and Photography.
- 16 Paragraph 105: The CPK had a stringent policy on filming. The aim
- 17 of the film was to present the success of the agricultural
- 18 community. With this aim, films crews were established and clear
- 19 directions were given: "What we should shoot? (We) must capture
- 20 the movement of building up the country, country defence,
- 21 especially building up of country's rural areas. We shoot (films)
- 22 of their activities from start to finish."
- 23 [10.18.16]
- 24 Paragraph 106: Filming was seen as an important way to involve
- 25 the public in the policies of the Party, as explained at a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 working meeting on 1st June 1976: "Generally speaking, filming is
- 2 an important matter. The public really demand it. If they see the
- 3 updated situation, they are happy because they show their
- 4 masterpiece and they represent their own story."
- 5 Paragraph 107: Photography was seen as second to filming, and the
- 6 only quidance given was to take pictures of ceremonies or foreign
- 7 guests for documentary purpose. There was a photography and
- 8 cinematography section at the Soviet Technological School under
- 9 the Ministry of Propaganda.
- 10 Public Radio.
- 11 Paragraph 108: Radio was seen as the principal method to
- 12 disseminate the revolutionary idea among the people by the
- 13 leadership. In this regard, guidelines were given on interviewing
- 14 people in the zones, on how news would be announced, and on what
- 15 other programs would be aired. Chinese experts were consulted for
- 16 the technical establishment of radio broadcasting.
- 17 [10.20.14]
- 18 Paragraph 109: Prior to 1975, the CPK possessed a mobile
- 19 broadcast radio in Steung Trang district. Songs were taped in
- 20 Steung Trang and then sent to the main radio station of FUNK in
- 21 Hanoi, which was headed by Ieng Thirith in 1973, while the
- 22 technical work was provided by the Vietnamese. The mobile radio
- 23 unit, which was in place and broadcasting during the evacuation
- 24 of Phnom Penh, was transferred to the capital and became the only
- 25 broadcast unit in the country.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

31

1 Paragraph 110: The Ministry of Propaganda; there was a group of

- 2 writers, the interview section, the writing section, and the
- 3 editing section. Radio broadcasts featured international news
- 4 extracted from the international radio and domestic news
- 5 published by the Ministry, which circulated mainly around the
- 6 praise of rural cooperatives and the achievements of the regime,
- 7 the Party line, the leadership of the Party, and speeches, the
- 8 defence of the country, and followed an educational purpose.
- 9 Paragraph 111: News was also extracted from radio channels from
- 10 Vietnam, China, Laos, and Thailand. No news criticizing
- 11 Democratic Kampuchea was broadcast. Broadcasts also featured
- 12 English and Vietnamese speaking programs and there were
- 13 preparations to broadcast in Thai. Special programming intended
- 14 for Khmer in Vietnam what the CPK called Kampuchea Krom was
- 15 broadcast about the Khmer-Vietnamese border conflict, the
- 16 relocation of Khmer Krom to Phnom Den, in Cambodia, and the
- 17 alleged persecution of Khmer Krom by Vietnam.
- 18 [10.22.57]
- 19 Paragraph 112: Confessions of Vietnamese prisoners of war, who
- 20 had been interrogated at S-21, were broadcast over the radio in
- 21 an attempt to show that the Vietnamese had entered Cambodian
- 22 territory. One witness states that Vietnamese prisoners of war
- 23 were interrogated in the battlefield and the taped interviews
- 24 were sent to the radio for broadcast.
- 25 Roles and Functions -- Membership of the Central and Standing

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Committees.
- 2 Paragraph 869: During the CPK regime, Nuon Chea was a full-rights
- 3 member of the CPK Central Committee. Nuon Chea had assumed the
- 4 role of Central Committee Deputy Secretary since 1960, was
- 5 confirmed in this role in 1963 and held it during the entire CPK
- 6 regime. Though he clearly assumed this role during the whole
- 7 period, he was only identified publicly as Deputy Secretary of
- 8 the Central Committee as of 29 September 1977 at the same time
- 9 that the existence of the Party was first made public.
- 10 Paragraph 870: As Central Committee Deputy Secretary, Nuon Chea
- 11 was second-in-command in the Party structure and was known as
- 12 Brother Number Two and Pol Pot's "right-hand man". As a
- 13 full-rights member of the Central Committee, Nuon Chea could
- 14 "consider and discuss and join in the decision making" with
- 15 regards to all matters. Duch states that Nuon Chea was
- 16 responsible for implementing decisions made by Pol Pot, while
- 17 senior B-1 cadre [redacted] explains that Pol Pot could not make
- 18 a decision alone. Nuon Chea states, in an interview conducted
- 19 after the CPK regime, that "everyone worked together. He [Pol
- 20 Pot] made his contribution, we made ours". In another interview,
- 21 however, Nuon Chea states: "Pol Pot was the Party Secretary. I
- 22 was just Deputy Secretary, and sometimes I had no influence."
- 23 [10.26.19]
- 24 Paragraph 871: Nuon Chea was also a full-rights member of the
- 25 Standing Committee of the CPK. The CPK Party Statute suggests

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 that, as the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary of a small Party
- 2 organ -- or branch -- such as the Standing Committee, Pol Pot and
- 3 Nuon Chea were in charge of operational direction during times
- 4 between meetings of the Standing Committee. Of the 19 surviving
- 5 Standing Committee meeting minutes, only 15 of which contain
- 6 records of attendance, he is listed as having attended 14. It is
- 7 therefore likely that he attended numerous if not all the
- 8 meetings. According to Khieu Samphan, the Standing Committee
- 9 convened every seven to 10 days, and even more regularly in
- 10 emergencies. During these meetings, Nuon Chea gave opinions,
- 11 quidelines, and instructions. When Pol Pot was not present, it
- 12 appears that Nuon Chea led the Standing Committee meetings.
- 13 Paragraph 872: In his roles as member of the Central and Standing
- 14 Committees, Nuon Chea attended regular CPK high-level meetings at
- 15 K-1 and K-3 and monthly meetings at K-1, lasting five to six
- 16 days, with leading cadres from various zone, sector or district
- 17 committees. Approximately 20 cadres would attend these meetings.
- 18 He also met regularly outside of Phnom Penh with zone and
- 19 autonomous sector leaders, in particular during their congresses.
- 20 [10.29.04]
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. Duch Phary.
- 24 The time is now appropriate for a break. We will have a 20-minute
- 25 break, and then we shall return.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Defence Counsel, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. My client,
- 4 Mr. Ieng Sary, would like to waive his right to participate in
- 5 these proceedings for today and would like to follow the
- 6 proceeding in the waiting room, downstairs, due to his poor
- 7 health. Thank you.
- 8 (Judges deliberate)
- 9 [10.30.45]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Having heard the request made by the accused Ieng Sary through
- 12 his counsel to waive his right to participate directly in the
- 13 proceeding and to follow it in the waiting room, downstairs, due
- 14 to his health, the Chamber is of the view that today's proceeding
- 15 is about the allegations against the Accused for the facts within
- 16 the second segment in Case 002/01. The presence of the Accused is
- 17 a must.
- 18 Therefore, the request by the Accused through his counsel is
- 19 denied that is, the request for this morning's proceeding. For
- 20 that reason, the Trial Chamber requires Mr. Ieng Sary to present
- 21 during the read-out by the greffier the portions of the
- 22 allegations regarding the second segment of Case 002/01.
- 23 We now adjourn.
- 24 THE GREFFIER:
- 25 All rise.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 (Judges exit the courtroom)
- 2 (Court recesses from 1032H to 1056H)
- 3 THE GREFFIER:
- 4 All rise.
- 5 (Judges enter the courtroom)
- 6 [10.57.22]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 9 I'd like Mr. Dav Ansan, the greffier, to continue reading out the
- 10 paragraphs allocated to him for the facts in second segment of
- 11 Case 002/01.
- 12 THE GREFFIER:
- 13 Paragraph 880: Nuon Chea was in charge of the Centre's
- 14 Organization Committee, which was empowered to monitor and
- 15 inspect Party members and oversee the induction of new members in
- 16 offices and ministries. Duch states that Nuon Chea was in charge
- 17 of "the recruitment and appointment of new members, the
- 18 appointment of cadre and the naming of members to various
- 19 positions [...] when certain persons were appointed or promoted
- 20 this meant that others were excluded and therefore smashed". Nuon
- 21 Chea participated in the appointment of zone and sector
- 22 secretaries in particular: the appointment of [redacted] as the
- 23 Secretary of Autonomous Sector 105; the appointment of Kang Chap
- 24 alias Se as Secretary of the New North Zone, the creation of
- 25 which he also announced; and the appointment of [redacted] as

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Secretary of Sector 103. In an interview after the CPK regime,
- 2 Nuon Chea answered the question "how did you deal with the bad
- 3 comrades?" by saying: "I re-educated them and did not allow them
- 4 to stay in their positions."
- 5 [10.59.50]
- 6 Paragraph 881: At the Standing Committee meeting of 9 October
- 7 1975, Nuon Chea was tasked with responsibility for "party work,
- 8 social, welfare, culture, propaganda and formal education". Duch
- 9 understands that this decision effectively made Nuon Chea
- 10 responsible for overseeing four ministries: the Ministry of
- 11 Propaganda; the Ministry of Education; the Ministry of Social
- 12 Affairs; and the Ministry of Culture. He explains that the reason
- 13 for this was because the four ministers heading the departments
- 14 were not full-rights members of the Central Committee.
- 15 Paragraph 882: With respect to Party work, propaganda, and
- 16 education, this decision meant that Nuon Chea had primary
- 17 responsibility within the CPK for disseminating political lines
- 18 decided by the Party to CPK Party members, to the military, and
- 19 to the masses.
- 20 Paragraph 883: The first Minister of Information and Propaganda
- 21 in the CPK regime was Hu Nim, who was arrested and sent to S-21
- 22 in April 1977. The Ministry was then reorganized and merged with
- 23 the Ministry of Culture, Training, and Education, at which time
- 24 Yun Yat alias At -- Son Sen's wife -- who was the minister for
- 25 the latter, became the minister for both. Furthermore, while

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 official media described Yun Yat as holding the ministry
- 2 portofolio until at least January 1979, two witnesses working in
- 3 the ministry recall that Nuon Chea served as minister from late
- 4 1978 until the end of the regime.
- 5 [11.02.11]
- 6 Paragraph 884: Nuon Chea attended a CPK Standing Committee
- 7 meeting on 9 January 1976, during which the "good qualities" and
- 8 "deficiencies" of propaganda and education programs were
- 9 discussed. It also appears from remaining minutes of meetings on
- 10 propaganda work that the CPK Centre followed closely and decided
- 11 on matters related to the CPK methods of propaganda. On 8 March
- 12 1976, at a meeting on "propaganda work" where Nuon Chea was
- 13 present, the question of the radio broadcast of the election of
- 14 20 March 1976 was discussed in detail, including the contents of
- 15 the broadcast and its frequency. This demonstrates that Nuon Chea
- 16 was involved in the decision-making process regarding broadcasts.
- 17 At another meeting, on 1st June 1976, where Nuon Chea was also in
- 18 attendance, all aspects of CPK propaganda work, such as radio
- 19 broadcasts, publications, and propaganda films, were discussed
- 20 with cadres from the Ministry of Propaganda. During this meeting,
- 21 Nuon Chea provided instructions and views on specific aspects of
- 22 propaganda work. It also appears that Nuon Chea had the authority
- 23 to instruct subordinates on media and propaganda issues.
- 24 Paragraph 885: During an interview, Nuon Chea acknowledges that
- 25 he was also fully aware of and involved in the production and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

24

25

38

1 writing of the CPK magazine "Revolutionary Flag" before 17 April 2 1975. During the CPK regime, Nuon Chea made direct requests of 3 CPK cadres to write articles for the other propaganda magazines. [11.04.52] 4 5 Paragraph 886: At his initial appearance before the 6 Co-Investigating Judges, Nuon Chea states that he was in charge 7 of educating cadres and Party members. Duch understands that Nuon Chea's responsibility for "party work" meant that he was in 8 9 charge of "daily activities within the Party. I recall that the 10 political work of the Party was focused on annual training 11 sessions (political and psychological training and education on organizational matters)". Nuon Chea conducted numerous mass 12 13 political training in Phnom Penh, where he taught the policies of 14 the CPK. These meetings were mainly held at Borei Keila. They 15 were organized for Party cadres and workers in Phnom Penh, as 16 well as for cadres from virtually all areas of Cambodia -- zone, 17 sector and district committee members, cooperative chairmen, and 18 sometimes sub-district committee chairman. He participated in 19 similar trainings for RAK members. These mass political training 20 sessions could involve hundreds of participants, and Party 21 members were supposed to attend a session at least once a year. 22 Cadres or members of the military located outside of Phnom Penh 23 were invited to attend these political training sessions by

telegrams or letters sent by Nuon Chea. Witnesses also report

that Nuon Chea presented the CPK lines to CPK cadres and RAK

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

39

1 members during zone and autonomous sector conferences. The former

- 2 deputy commander of Division 1 states that "Ta Nuon Chea or Ta
- 3 Pol Pot were usually present [at] the Zone congresses". Witnesses
- 4 state that similar training sessions would then be conducted by
- 5 Party members in order to instruct the people under their
- 6 authority on the lines of the Party.
- 7 [11.07.36]
- 8 Paragraph 887: With respect to social affairs, Ieng Thirith would
- 9 go to K-3 or K-1 once or twice a month to meet with leaders of
- 10 the Party Centre, and in particular with Nuon Chea. Nuon Chea was
- 11 present at a meeting on Health and Social Affairs on 10 June
- 12 1976. During this meeting, Ieng Thirith and other leading cadres
- 13 in the Ministry of Social Affairs reported in detail on the
- 14 activities of the ministry and its various sections, and Nuon
- 15 Chea expressed views on the topics discussed. Nuon Chea visited
- 16 and held meetings at some of the hospitals under the authority of
- 17 the Ministry of Social Affairs and provided political training
- 18 sessions to personnel of the ministry.
- 19 Acting Prime Minister of the Democratic Kampuchea Government.
- 20 Paragraph 888: Nuon Chea was officially appointed Acting Prime
- 21 Minister of the Democratic Kampuchea Government on 27 September
- 22 1976, replacing Pol Pot, who, according to the official Party
- 23 version of events, took temporary leave in order to take care of
- 24 his health. Nevertheless, from that date to December 1976, the
- 25 decision does not seem to have been fully implemented. During

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

40

1 this period, CPK media repeatedly referred to Pol Pot as prime

- 2 minister and only described Nuon Chea as acting prime minister on
- 3 very few occasions. Between January and September 1977, Nuon Chea
- 4 was publicly and repeatedly identified as acting prime minister,
- 5 in particular on the occasion of a speech he delivered to mark
- 6 the 9th anniversary of the RAK, on 16 January 1977. The
- 7 subsequent year, this same anniversary speech was delivered by
- 8 Pol Pot. There is almost no public reference to Pol Pot acting in
- 9 the capacity of prime minister during this period until 25th
- 10 September 1977, when he is publically identified as secretary of
- 11 the CPK for the first time. Duch understands that, when Nuon Chea
- 12 was the acting prime minister, it meant that "he was the acting
- 13 secretary of the Party".
- 14 [11.11.15]
- 15 Chairman of the People's Representative Assembly and Chairman of
- 16 the Standing Committee of the People's Representative Assembly.
- 17 Paragraph 889: Throughout the Democratic Kampuchea regime, Nuon
- 18 Chea served as chairman of the People's Representative Assembly
- 19 and chairman of its Standing Committee. He was selected as
- 20 chairman of the Standing Committee during the legislature's first
- 21 plenary session, from 11 to 13 April 1976.
- 22 Paragraph 890: It is in the roles of chairman of the People's
- 23 Representative Assembly and chairman of its Standing Committee
- 24 that Nuon Chea was most commonly known publically. In these
- 25 roles, Nuon Chea led a CPK delegation on an official visit to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 China and North Korea from 2 to 16 September 1978, corresponded
- 2 and otherwise interacted with leaders of other countries, and
- 3 issued official statements. However, these roles had little
- 4 practical significance other than for endorsing decisions such as
- 5 the 31st December 1977 Statement by the Government of Democratic
- 6 Kampuchea on "Cambodia's Temporary Severance of Relations with
- 7 Vietnam".
- 8 [11.13.17]
- 9 Other Roles.
- 10 Paragraph 891: In addition to the above, Nuon Chea became de
- 11 facto secretary of two zones after the arrest of their
- 12 secretaries. It appears that, following the arrest on 20
- 13 September 1976 of the Northeast Zone Secretary, Men San alias Ya,
- 14 this role was filled by Um Neng alias Vy alias Vong until
- 15 mid-1978, and then by Nuon Chea for a short period. Duch and
- 16 other witnesses also state that Nuon Chea became secretary of the
- 17 East Zone for a period in June 1978, after Sao Phim committed
- 18 suicide.
- 19 Paragraph 892: As a full-rights member of the Standing Committee,
- 20 Nuon Chea shared responsibility for Political Office 870 and
- 21 Office S-71.
- 22 Roles and Functions -- Membership of the Central and Standing
- 23 Committees.
- 24 Paragraph 1001: Ieng Sary joined the Central Committee of the
- 25 Cambodian Communist Party in 1960 and its Standing Committee, in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

42

1 1963. During the CPK regime, he was a full-rights member of both

- 2 committees. As a full-rights member of the Central Committee,
- 3 Ieng Sary could "consider and discuss and join in the decision
- 4 making" with regard to all matters.
- 5 [11.15.20]
- 6 Paragraph 1002: Of the 19 surviving Standing Committee meeting
- 7 minutes, only 15 of which contain records of attendance, Ieng
- 8 Sary is listed as having attended 10. He is also listed as having
- 9 attended one ad hoc high-level meeting of the Centre. In reality,
- 10 it is likely that he would have attended significantly more
- 11 meetings as Khieu Samphan says that the Standing Committee
- 12 convened every seven to 10 days, and even more regularly in
- 13 emergencies. During these meetings, there are records of Ieng
- 14 Sary making presentations on diverse issues such as: industry,
- 15 commerce, and transport; participation in the Non-aligned
- 16 Countries Colombo Conference; foreign aid; the conflict with
- 17 Vietnam; the recall of overseas based Cambodians; and diplomatic
- 18 relations with other countries. With regard to those meetings he
- 19 did not attend, he would have had access to their minutes, which
- 20 appear to have been routinely taken.
- 21 Paragraph 1003: In his roles as member of the Central and
- 22 Standing Committees, Ieng Sary attended regular CPK high level
- 23 meetings at K-1 and K-3, including monthly meetings at K-1,
- 24 lasting five to six days, with leading cadres from various zone,
- 25 sector or district committees. Approximately 20 cadres would

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 attend these meetings. He also attended annual meetings with the
- 2 Centre and zone secretaries.
- 3 [11.17.37]
- 4 Paragraph 1004: Ieng Sary remained on the Standing Committee
- 5 throughout the CPK regime and when Party leadership subsequently
- 6 took refuge along the Cambodia-Thailand border.
- 7 Deputy Prime Minister for Foreign Affairs.
- 8 Paragraph 1005: Steps were taken to create the Ministry of
- 9 Foreign Affairs, code-named B-1, almost immediately after the CPK
- 10 took control of Phnom Penh, on 17 April 1975. It was officially
- inaugurated in December 1975. Originally, B-1 was located in two
- 12 buildings on Sisowath Street, on Phnom Penh's riverside. However,
- 13 in 1976, it was relocated to the Soviet Federation Boulevard. B-1
- 14 also operated two visitor reception houses: House Number 1, near
- 15 Wat Phnom, and House Number 2, at the Hotel Le Royal.
- 16 Paragraph 1006: One witness claims that more than 1,000 people
- 17 worked at B-1. Initially, personnel were selected from a pool of
- 18 suitable people that the 870 offices, headed by Pang and Doeun,
- 19 had selected from various zones on the grounds of class,
- 20 qualification, or whether they came from old revolutionary bases
- 21 such as Preah Vihear or Kampong Cham. B-1 was also staffed by a
- 22 number of "intellectuals", many of whom had returned from
- 23 overseas to Cambodia. Additionally, Ieng Sary recruited a number
- 24 of "child cadres", who were uneducated children aged between
- 25 eight and 15. At one point, B-1 supervised the education of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

44

1 "revolutionary cadres" children who had been sent there to study.

- 2 [11.20.20]
- 3 Paragraph 1007: Initially, B-1 did not have different
- 4 departments. However, cadres were given official titles whenever
- 5 they met overseas guests. For example, former B-1 cadre
- 6 [redacted] says he was introduced at the United Nations as being
- 7 a member of the "General Affairs Section", which did not exist.
- 8 It appears that later B-1 became more structured. Former B-1
- 9 cadre [redacted] says that B-1 was the only ministry structured
- 10 like a classic ministry, which was not the case for the others. A
- 11 document, which appears to be the 152-page handwritten notes of a
- 12 senior B-1 cadre who could not be identified, records that, by
- 13 July 1976, B-1 was divided up into seven sections: Education;
- 14 Growing Crops; Office -- including a "Secrecy Sector"; Politics;
- 15 Protocol; Secretary; and Civil Aviation. This document -- "B-1
- 16 diary" -- was shown to a number of witnesses during the
- 17 investigation, none of whom disputed its authenticity.
- 18 Paragraph 1008: On 12 August 1975, a Phnom Penh radio broadcast
- 19 said that Ieng Sary had been appointed Deputy Prime Minister for
- 20 Foreign Affairs. His appointment was recorded in the Standing
- 21 Committee meeting minutes of 9 October 1975 as "Foreign Affairs
- 22 work, both Party and State". On 30 March 1976, the Central
- 23 Committee also recorded the appointment in its document "Decision
- 24 of the Central Committee Regarding a Number of Matters". It was
- 25 formally endorsed by the People's Representative Assembly of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Kampuchea the following month. Ieng Sary has admitted being
- 2 Deputy Prime Minister for Foreign Affairs during the CPK regime,
- 3 saying that he assumed the role in around April 1976. However, he
- 4 does not recall the exact date. In this role, he also sat on the
- 5 Council of Ministers.
- 6 [11.23.35]
- 7 Paragraph 1009: The B-1 diary records, under the heading "The
- 8 Cell Congress 22 May 1976", that Ieng Sary chaired the Party
- 9 Section and, administratively, the General Leadership Committee
- 10 and the Political Section. Later in the same document, under the
- 11 heading "The Cell Plan of 1977", Ieng Sary is named as the
- 12 Secretary of the Cell Committee of the ministry, with [redacted]
- 13 as his deputy.
- 14 Paragraph 1010: There were many different aspects to Ieng Sary's
- 15 role at B-1. One of his roles was to supervise the CPK embassies
- 16 abroad. As of May 1976, CPK-appointed diplomats were assigned to
- 17 four DK embassies: in China; North Korea; Vietnam; and Laos. The
- 18 last residual GRUNK-appointed diplomats were present at DK
- 19 embassies in Albania, Yugoslavia, and Romania. According to
- 20 [redacted], who held various senior roles within B-1, including
- 21 Chairman of the Propaganda and Information Department, Ieng Sary
- 22 also: chaired the Political Section, which was staffed by various
- 23 intellectuals, including [redacted]; chaired meetings of the
- 24 whole ministry; chaired meetings of the Party within the
- 25 ministry; supervised the work of the Kampuchea Information Agency

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 and Radio Democratic Kampuchea Foreign Language Broadcast
- 2 Section; and provided instructions to subordinates about the
- 3 conduct of education sessions for ministry staff, based on daily
- 4 broadcasts of Radio Democratic Kampuchea.
- 5 [11.26.05]
- 6 Paragraph 1011: According to [redacted], who also held various
- 7 senior roles at B-1, including Chief of Security, Ieng Sary was
- 8 "in overall charge" of B-1, which meant he: headed the ministry's
- 9 Party branch; was responsible for the ministry's internal
- 10 affairs; disseminated orders from the upper echelon, including
- 11 from Pol Pot and Nuon Chea; met almost daily with intellectuals
- 12 on the staff; supervised the ministry's branch re-education
- 13 offices, such as Chraing Chamres and Prek Pra; received visitors;
- 14 travelled abroad on official visits, including to meetings of the
- 15 United Nations; and escorted foreign delegations on visits to the
- 16 countryside. [Redacted] also says that Ieng Sary was responsible
- 17 for internal security affairs at B-1, in consultation with
- 18 Political Office 870 and Pang. This fact is confirmed by Duch.
- 19 Paragraph 1012: In his role as Deputy Prime Minister for Foreign
- 20 Affairs, Ieng Sary regularly travelled abroad to countries such
- 21 as Sri Lanka, Romania, China, Myanmar, Pakistan, Laos, France,
- 22 Mexico, Cuba, Vietnam, Singapore, North Korea, Indonesia,
- 23 Thailand, Philippines, Peru, Malaysia, Japan, the United States
- 24 of America, Hungary, Yugoslavia, and Bulgaria. A former B-1 staff
- 25 member recalls that Ieng Sary most often travelled to China,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Vietnam, and North Korea. While overseas, Ieng Sary retained
- 2 control of B-1 through the 870 office chaired by Pang. [Redacted]
- 3 was usually appointed caretaker manager in his absence. However,
- 4 [redacted] did not have power to make decisions and acted under
- 5 the direction of "the Centre". In those instances where Ieng Sary
- 6 and [redacted] were both absent, B-1 was managed by other senior
- 7 members of the ministry or occasionally by Khieu Samphan.
- 8 [11.29.06]
- 9 Paragraph 1013: In Cambodia, Ieng Sary regularly received
- 10 overseas delegations, entertained them at banquets, attended
- 11 meetings to discuss international relations and trade, and
- 12 escorted them on trips throughout Cambodia.
- 13 Paragraph 1014: While Ieng Sary undoubtedly had authority over
- 14 B-1, former staff members say that important decisions were made
- 15 by the CPK Standing Committee.
- 16 Other Roles.
- 17 Paragraph 1015: In addition to the above, Ieng Sary had a number
- 18 of other roles in the CPK regime. These roles included: the power
- 19 to induct people into the Party; chairing the CPK Central
- 20 Committee Commission on Foreign Affairs; writing correspondence
- 21 on behalf of [redacted]; and sitting on various committees
- 22 established to address diverse issues such as banks, the purchase
- 23 of merchandise, and the Phnom Penh Warehouse. He may have been
- 24 involved in the preparation of the CPK propaganda magazines.
- 25 However, one witness disputes this was part of his role. As a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 full-rights member of the Standing Committee, Ieng Sary also
- 2 shared responsibility for Political Office 870 and Office S-71.
- 3 [11.31.25]
- 4 Roles and Functions -- Membership of the Central and Standing
- 5 Committees.
- 6 Paragraph 1131: Khieu Samphan was admitted as a reserve or
- 7 candidate member of the Central Committee of the Cambodian
- 8 Communist Party in 1971 and was confirmed as a full-rights member
- 9 in 1976. As a full-rights member of the Central Committee, he
- 10 could "consider and discuss and join in the decision making" with
- 11 regard to all matters.
- 12 Paragraph 1132: Khieu Samphan has stated that he was not a member
- 13 of the Standing Committee. Regardless of whether he was formally
- 14 a member, records demonstrate that he attended and participated
- 15 in many of the Standing Committee meetings. Of the 19 surviving
- 16 Standing Committee meeting minutes -- only 15 of which contain
- 17 records of attendance -- he is listed as having attended 13 as
- 18 well as at least two ad hoc high-level meetings of the Centre.
- 19 Khieu Samphan has stated that: "During open meetings of the
- 20 Standing Committee, as in the meetings of the Party Central
- 21 Committee, I never stated any opinions." However, there are
- 22 records of him presenting reports to the Standing Committee on
- 23 two occasions. With respect to those meetings that Khieu Samphan
- 24 did not attend, he would have had access to their minutes, which
- 25 appear to have been routinely taken.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 [11.33.28]
- 2 Paragraph 1133: Although the Case File only contains Standing
- 3 Committee meeting minutes dating from mid-1975 until mid-1976,
- 4 Khieu Samphan gave evidence that the Standing Committee convened
- 5 every seven to 10 days, or even more regularly in emergencies.
- 6 One witness, who was Khieu Samphan's driver from 1978, stated
- 7 that he drove Khieu Samphan from K-3 to K-1 two or three times a
- 8 week and that Nuon Chea and Ieng Sary would be brought to K-1 at
- 9 the same time. Another witness confirmed that Khieu Samphan, Ieng
- 10 Sary, and Nuon Chea would have secret meetings at K-1.
- 11 Paragraph 1134: Khieu Samphan interacted with and supervised
- 12 lower-level cadres by his attendance at monthly meetings at K-1
- 13 along with Pol Pot, Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, and S-71 Chairman Pang.
- 14 These meetings lasted five or six days each, and approximately 20
- 15 leading cadres from various zone, sector or district committees
- 16 would attend.
- 17 President of the State Presidium.
- 18 Paragraph 1135: At the first session of the Cambodian People's
- 19 Representatives Assembly, held between the 11 and the 13 April
- 20 1976, Khieu Samphan was appointed as the President of the State
- 21 Presidium. In this role, he took over from [redacted] as the Head
- 22 of State.
- 23 Paragraph 1136: Chapter 8, Article 11 of the Democratic Kampuchea
- 24 Constitution states that "the State Presidium is responsible for
- 25 representing the State of Democratic Kampuchea inside and outside

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

25

50

1 the country". Khieu Samphan stated: "With regard to chapter 8 on 2 the State Presidium, the decision to create this body is for the 3 purpose of implementing the principle of collectivism in all fields." However, Khieu Samphan has repeatedly declared that his 4 5 role as the President of the State Presidium was merely honorific 6 and symbolic and that he had no de facto power. Several witnesses 7 have stated that they believed that Khieu Samphan was not a powerful figure in the CPK regime. 8 9 [11.37.06] Paragraph 1137: Khieu Samphan has further declared that his work 10 was only to make speeches, receive letters of accreditation from 11 12 international ambassadors, and participate in and preside over 13 receptions for various heads of State. Even in this limited role, 14 one of Khieu Samphan's primary responsibilities appears to have been promoting policies of the CPK internationally. He sent and 15 received many communications and credentials from various world 16 leaders and ambassadors. He travelled to various countries as a 17 18 representative of the Democratic Kampuchea, such as China, Sri 19 Lanka, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Yugoslavia, and 20 Romania. He also made a speech at the Fifth Summit Conference of 21 Non-Aligned Countries in Colombo, in 1976, although he states 22 that Ieng Sary wrote this speech for him. He received many 23 foreign delegations and ambassadors in Cambodia, sometimes taking 24 them on tours around Cambodia and informing the delegations about

the country's reconstruction, cooperatives, and agricultural

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 revolution.
- 2 Paragraph 1138: Khieu Samphan also conducted the following
- 3 activities in his capacity as President of the State Presidium:
- 4 promulgating a regulation setting out the functioning of the
- 5 People's Representative Assembly of Kampuchea and the Standing
- 6 Committee of the People's Representative Assembly of Kampuchea;
- 7 and being sent communications by telegram about the living
- 8 conditions, arrests, and detentions in Preah Vihear Province.
- 9 [11.39.58]
- 10 Offices of 870.
- 11 Paragraph 1139: From the 9th of October 1975 until the end of the
- 12 CPK regime, Khieu Samphan was a leading cadre of the CPK Centre
- 13 unit officially designated the Political Office 870. He has
- 14 stated that he was one of only two cadres assigned there, the
- 15 other being the office's original chairman, Sua Vasi alias Doeun,
- 16 a fellow Central Committee member who also regularly attended
- 17 Standing Committee meetings. Duch states that Khieu Samphan took
- 18 over the role as Chairman of Political Office 870 in 1976, when
- 19 Doeun left the role to take control of the Ministry of Commerce.
- 20 Duch further states that Khieu Samphan's position as chairman was
- 21 secured in 1977, when Doeun was arrested and sent to S-21.
- 22 [Redacted], who held various senior roles in B-1 and who
- 23 regularly personally delivered messages from B-1 and Ieng Sary to
- 24 Khieu Samphan, corroborates that Khieu Samphan replaced Doeun as
- 25 the chairman in late 1977 or early 1978. Another witness who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 worked within B-1 also corroborates this. In an interview in
- 2 1999, Ieng Sary confirmed that Khieu Samphan was the head of
- 3 Political Office 870, although he has recently denied making this
- 4 statement.
- 5 [11.42.17]
- 6 Paragraph 1140: Additionally, Khieu Samphan may have had
- 7 responsibility within Office S-71. One witness states that Pang,
- 8 the Head of S-71, took his orders from Khieu Samphan and other
- 9 senior leaders according to their expertise.
- 10 Paragraph 1141: Khieu Samphan has consistently denied that he was
- 11 ever the Chairman of Political Office 870. He has stated that his
- 12 duties within the offices of 870 were limited to economic
- 13 matters, the distribution of goods around Cambodia, and the
- 14 maintenance of relations with [redacted]. One witness who worked
- 15 as a telegram translator in Sector 105 and a courier for the
- 16 Party Centre stated that he was required to send all telegrams
- 17 relating to "equipment, healthcare or social affairs and matters
- 18 other than security" directly to Khieu Samphan, at Office 870.
- 19 Ministry Of Commerce.
- 20 Paragraph 1142: Khieu Samphan was appointed to be responsible for
- 21 "commerce for accounting and pricing" during the Standing
- 22 Committee's meeting of the 9th of October 1975, apparently
- 23 working alongside Central Committee member Koy Thuon, who was
- 24 named in charge of "domestic and international commerce". In this
- 25 role, Khieu Samphan may have sat on the Council of Ministers.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

25

53

1 Paragraph 1143: Khieu Samphan has publically stated that his 2 responsibilities included working with the Department of Foreign 3 Trade for the import and export of goods, implementing the Permanent Committee's decisions regarding the distribution of 4 5 goods around Cambodia, and setting prices for products from 6 cooperatives. Witnesses confirmed that he was responsible for 7 commerce and the distribution of goods and food around Cambodia, and several witnesses state that he regularly visited the 8 9 Ministry of Commerce. The Secretary of Sector 105 recounted that: 10 "I used to give a commerce list requesting for equipment to Khieu 11 Samphan personally and used to talk with him about economic matters during meals time or outside official meeting." Duch gave 12 13 evidence that Khieu Samphan also had control over electrical 14 power plants, water works, and state warehouses. 15 [11.45.43] 16 Paragraph 1144: Khieu Samphan received a large number of 17 telegrams and communications from the Commercial Committee and 18 the Phnom Penh Foreign Trade FORTRA Company, covering topics such 19 as the import and export of food and goods, the economic 20 relations with foreign countries, and the fact that members of 21 the Commercial Committee travelled to the Cambodian countryside 22 to collect crops. One witness who worked in the Ministry of 23 Commerce and who was asked to comment on a telegram to Khieu 24 Samphan stated that Van Rith did not have the authority to make

decisions about purchasing goods from overseas and that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

54

1 communications had to go to Khieu Samphan for a decision.

- 2 Although the Commerce Committee under Van Rith also answered to
- 3 Vorn Vet, Van Rith's subordination to Khieu Samphan is clearly
- 4 evidenced by the fact that he regularly annotated copies of
- 5 commerce-related reports to be sent to "Brother Hem," using the
- 6 fraternal greetings which Duch has indicated was normally
- 7 reserved for addressing superiors. A witness who worked on
- 8 foreign and domestic trade matters has confirmed Khieu Samphan's
- 9 authority over Van Rith. In February 1977, Khieu Samphan received
- 10 a foreign economic and trade delegation from Yugoslavia, and he
- 11 states that he travelled to Yugoslavia twice.
- 12 Foreign Affairs and Returnees.
- 13 [11.48.00]
- 14 Paragraph 1147: There is also evidence that, when Ieng Sary was
- 15 outside of the country, Khieu Samphan took responsibility for the
- 16 Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Khieu Samphan was involved in the
- 17 recall of overseas-based Cambodian people to Cambodia, educating
- 18 them upon their return. Three witnesses recall Khieu Samphan's
- 19 role in giving political education sessions to the returnees. One
- 20 witness who returned to Cambodia in late 1975 with a group of ten
- 21 students stated that Khieu Samphan informed them that the only
- 22 two special (sic) groups existing in Cambodia were the workers
- 23 and peasants and that "the country needed us to rebuild it,
- 24 adding that, first, we had to go to the countryside to learn
- 25 those things that we had not done before, such as how to plant

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

55

1 rice, and experiencing what it is like to have only one bowl of

- 2 rice". Many of these returnees were sent to S-21 after a period
- 3 of re-education.
- 4 Speeches and Political Education.
- 5 Paragraph 1148: In addition to the above, Khieu Samphan was
- 6 responsible for making speeches on behalf of the CPK and the
- 7 education of the people. Khieu Samphan made many speeches
- 8 promoting and disseminating CPK policy throughout the period of
- 9 the CPK regime. He made speeches at each of the mass CPK meetings
- 10 celebrating the anniversaries of the 17 of April victory and for
- 11 the Khmer New Year celebrations. One witness who worked in the
- 12 telegram office for the Party Centre stated that Khieu Samphan
- 13 would give him directives about events marking various national
- 14 ceremonies. Many witnesses gave evidence that they listened to
- 15 his speeches at mass rallies and meetings held in venues such as
- 16 the Olympic Stadium and Borei Keila. Some stated that Khieu
- 17 Samphan addressed up to 20,000 people from around Cambodia during
- 18 these rallies and meetings.
- 19 [11.51.22]
- 20 Paragraph 1149: Khieu Samphan stated that he "generally" agreed
- 21 with the content of the speeches but that he disagreed "on
- 22 certain points, for instance for the elimination of the
- 23 currency", but did not dare to reveal his disagreements for fear
- 24 of being killed. He stated that his speeches "were not very
- 25 important" and that only Pol Pot and Nuon Chea gave important

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 speeches. Khieu Samphan went to B-1 to ask for material and
- 2 discuss what kind of issues should be addressed in his speeches.
- 3 Paragraph 1150: Khieu Samphan was also responsible for conducting
- 4 regular political education and study sessions with workers and
- 5 cadres from varying ranks from around Cambodia. Many witnesses
- 6 gave evidence that they were required to attend these sessions
- 7 with Khieu Samphan. The content of these speeches and political
- 8 education sessions covered topics such as: the accomplishments of
- 9 the revolution; the armed conflict with Vietnam; the agricultural
- 10 and economic policies of the CPK; administrative matters such as
- 11 care for supplies and equipment; the need to eliminate feudalists
- 12 and capitalists; the overthrow of the traitorous Lon Nol regime
- 13 and the elimination of certain members of the regime; and the
- 14 situation in Phnom Penh.
- 15 Mr. President, we have all read the relevant paragraphs of the
- 16 Closing Order.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. Dav Ansan. Thank you, all greffiers.
- 19 (Judges deliberate)
- 20 [11.54.12]
- 21 Now the reading of the relevant paragraphs concerning the alleged
- 22 facts concerning the communication and administrative structure
- 23 of the Democratic Kampuchea and certain paragraphs concerning the
- 24 roles of the Accused is done, and according to the information we
- 25 received through email, we have learned that the civil party

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 lawyers wishes to object against the oral argument.
- 2 But this issue has not been clear, so I would like to now give
- 3 the floor to the Lead Co-Lawyer for the civil parties to raise
- 4 your motion, particularly concerning the schedule of hearing the
- 5 evidence to be put before the Chamber.
- 6 And can you may raise any challenges you are facing and give
- 7 the grounds for your objections, so that it is clear for the
- 8 Chamber to decide before we open the floor for parties to raise
- 9 their objections.
- 10 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 11 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning to everyone in the
- 12 courtroom. I beg your forgiveness; we are not entirely sure of
- 13 the subject that you are asking for specifications and
- 14 explanations on. I'm sorry; can we please have some
- 15 clarifications? Do you wish to receive information on our
- 16 situation with respect to documents, or do you wish to provide
- 17 those specifications at this point or after the lunch break?
- 18 [11.56.37]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 I now hand over to Judge Jean-Marc Lavergne to clarify this
- 21 matter. This is in response to the electronic email sent. The
- 22 floor is now given to Judge Lavergne.
- 23 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 24 Yes, indeed. You had addressed a letter to Ms. Lamb with respect
- 25 to a certain number of documents that you intend to admit into

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 the proceedings and that are not available in all the three
- 2 official languages of the ECCC. What the Chamber wishes to know
- 3 is whether or not, indeed, you have put in your translation
- 4 request of those documents so that they are translated and the
- 5 status of -- the state of affairs.
- 6 Can you please tell us whether or not those documents have been
- 7 translated and at what point the Chamber should anticipate
- 8 receiving them?
- 9 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 10 Thank you very much, Your Honour, for those clarifications. Those
- 11 translation requests have indeed been submitted by the Lead
- 12 Co-Lawyers' Office. Perhaps I can provide some clarifications
- 13 following the break with respect to the exact date we expect to
- 14 receive those translations and when we had originally put in our
- 15 request, because I don't have them at hand.
- 16 [11.58.10]
- 17 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 18 I just did want to confirm that those documents have been
- 19 requested for translation; is that the case?
- 20 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 21 Yes. We have many documents to translate, specifically 10
- 22 documents that figure in our list of documents that we had
- 23 submitted in April, July, and February. Those documents relate to
- 24 several books as well as several articles. We have submitted
- 25 translation requests and, based on the importance of those

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 documents -- we have submitted our request based on their
- 2 priority, but we've yet to receive a response from ITU.
- 3 [11.59.05]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 It is now appropriate time to adjourn for lunch. The Chamber will
- 6 adjourn from now until 1.30 p.m.
- 7 The defence counsel is on his feet. You may proceed.
- 8 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President. Since the reading of relevant
- 10 paragraphs has been finished, Mr. Ieng Sary, through his counsel,
- 11 once again, would like to waive his right to participate directly
- 12 in these proceedings. However, he will participate through remote
- 13 means, in the holding cell, downstairs, due to his health reason.
- 14 For this reason, we would like to request that the Chamber grants
- 15 leave for him.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The Defence Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may proceed.
- 18 MR. PESTMAN:
- 19 Thank you, Mr. President. I have the same request for my client;
- 20 he would also like to remain downstairs after the break.
- 21 [12.00.20]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Having heard the requests by Nuon Chea and Ieng Sary through
- 24 their counsels, requesting the waiver of their right to
- 25 participate directly in this proceeding in this courtroom, due to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 their health reasons, and that they be allowed to follow the
- 2 proceeding from the holding cell, downstairs, through
- 3 audio-visual means, the Chamber grants leave for the two Accused.
- 4 They are directed to participate through remote means from the
- 5 holding cell, downstairs, this afternoon.
- 6 However, the defence teams shall submit the letters of waiver to
- 7 the Chamber with the signature or thumbprint of the two Accused.
- 8 The security guards are instructed to bring the Accused to the
- 9 holding cell, downstairs.
- 10 And this afternoon, only Khieu Samphan is to be brought to this
- 11 courtroom, as for Nuon Chea and Ieng Sary will remain in the
- 12 holding cells, downstairs, to follow the proceedings through
- 13 audio-visual means.
- 14 [12.01.57]
- 15 And the audio-visual equipment technicians are instructed to
- 16 arrange the equipment so that they can participate from the
- 17 holding cell.
- 18 The Court is now adjourned.
- 19 (Court recesses from 1202H to 1331H)
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 22 Mr. Phary, you may proceed.
- 23 THE GREFFIER:
- 24 Mr. President, for this afternoon proceeding, we notice the
- 25 presence of the international defence counsel for Khieu Samphan,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Ms. Guissé. She already took an oath at the Courts of Appeals.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. Phary. As now the defence counsel for Khieu
- 4 Samphan is present, and as she already took an oath at the Court
- 5 of Appeals and she is not yet recognized by the Trial Chamber,
- 6 based on the Rule 22.2(a) of the Internal Rules, I request Mr.
- 7 Kong Sam Onn, the national defence counsel, to request for the
- 8 recognition of this international defence counsel.
- 9 [13.33.23]
- 10 You may proceed, Mr. Kong Sam Onn.
- 11 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 12 Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours. On behalf of the
- 13 Khieu Samphan's defence, I'd like to seek the Trial Chamber's
- 14 recognition to recognize Ms. Guissé.
- 15 She is an experience counsel at the International Court in Rwanda
- 16 and she is also a member of the bar in Paris.
- 17 As the greffier just said, she already took an oath at the Court
- 18 of Appeals. Thank you.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you, National Counsel.
- 21 [13.34.14]
- 22 Ms. Guissé, could you please stand?
- 23 Ms. Guissé, you are hereby recognized by the Trial Chamber as the
- 24 defence counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan for the purpose of the
- 25 proceedings before this Chamber. As such, you now enjoy the right

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 and privileges as that of the national defence counsel for the
- 2 Accused.
- 3 My apology if I do not pronounce your name properly. Could you
- 4 please pronounce your name in French?
- 5 MS. GUISSÉ:
- 6 (No interpretation)
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 You may be seated.
- 9 [13.35.32]
- 10 The Chamber will give the floor to the parties for their oral
- 11 objections to the documents intended to be put before the
- 12 Chamber. Those documents are related to the first trial of Case
- 13 002/01, including those documents 109.1 to 109.6.2, and the rest
- 14 which have not yet been discussed before the Chamber.
- 15 The oral arguments will be dealt with the remaining documents,
- 16 which are already on the case file, as indicated in the third
- 17 paragraph of the memorandum of the Trial Chamber dated 2nd March
- 18 2012 -- that is, document E172/5.
- 19 First, we would like to give the floor to Nuon Chea's defence to
- 20 raise your oral objections to those documents as indicated in the
- 21 third paragraph of the memorandum, document E172/5.
- 22 Nuon Chea's defence, you are reminded you have one whole
- 23 afternoon session to make your oral objections.
- 24 [13.37.14]
- 25 You may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 MR. SON ARUN:
- 2 Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours. I am the defence
- 3 counsel for Nuon Chea. Since the beginning of the objections to
- 4 the documents, my colleagues and myself have made clear our
- 5 position to object to a number of documents. In addition, various
- 6 other defence teams also expressed their objections against the
- 7 documents requested to be put before the Chamber by the
- 8 Prosecution.
- 9 Regarding the copied documents or the scanned documents, as we do
- 10 not know its proper, clear source, we cannot, therefore, testify
- 11 to its authenticity.
- 12 And for the documents copied by DC-Cam, as they were sourced from
- 13 the Tuol Sleng Museum, it's not a sufficient ground.
- 14 We still urge the Chamber to make sure that the documents are
- 15 authentic and contemporaneous of the DK regime. It needs to be
- 16 verified against the original documents so that we can prove
- 17 their authenticity.
- 18 As for document A7, the documents from DC-Cam are mainly from the
- 19 National Archives. We need to find the original documents in
- 20 order to verify its authenticity. We'd also like to put a request
- 21 to the Trial Chamber for the archivists at the National Archives
- 22 to provide a testimony regarding the authenticity of these
- 23 documents against the original ones.
- 24 [13.40.08]
- 25 As for A8, the poor quality of the copied documents -- they are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

64

1 not legible. For that reason, we do need the original documents.

- 2 And as for A10, regarding the documents at S-21, as Duch stated
- 3 that the documents were the confessions as a result of torture,
- 4 for that reason, the contents of the confessions clearly do not
- 5 reflect the facts, and they will not, in any way, assist in
- 6 ascertaining the truth in this Court at all. The accused Duch
- 7 made a statement during the proceeding in Case 001 that, as Duch
- 8 was the chairman of S-21, with his many roles -- and it was only
- 9 within the framework of S-21, and why Duch knows more details
- 10 about the Standing Committee, about the Central Committee and
- 11 various other major confidential documents. It seems that he
- 12 purported his role to that of Pol Pot. For that reason, we urge
- 13 the Trial Chamber to reject all the statements made by Duch
- 14 during the proceedings in Case 001.
- 15 As for document A14 -- that is, document D232/108 -- it was a
- 16 document by the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges. As Nuon
- 17 Chea stated earlier, this document -- this office, rather, is
- 18 biased. It is supported by the Office of the Co-Prosecutors.
- 19 [13.42.36]
- 20 In its report -- that is, document D369/38 -- it is clearly seen
- 21 that the Office of the Co-Prosecutors -- of the Co-Investigating
- 22 Judges tried to work hand-in-hand with the Office of the
- 23 Co-Prosecutors in order to put the inculpatory evidence on my
- 24 client.
- 25 When it comes to trying to find the evidence to identify

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 individuals, and they relied mainly on one person; that is, Mr.
- 2 Toch Vannarith, who is a member of the Office of the
- 3 Co-Prosecutors, and he started working since the beginning of
- 4 this office. So it is clearly seen that he has a bias or tendency
- 5 toward this office, in order to put inculpatory evidence against
- 6 the Accused. His experience at the Office of the Co-Prosecutors
- 7 as well as his knowledge in drawing the sketch do not derive from
- 8 his personal experience during the Khmer Rouge regime.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 I notice the prosecutor -- the prosecution is on his feet. You
- 11 may proceed.
- 12 [13.44.18]
- 13 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 14 Your Honours, first of all, this is not a proper objection; it
- 15 does not fall within any of the grounds on which a document may
- 16 be objected to at this stage.
- 17 Your Honours have indicated clearly the prima facie standard for
- 18 admissibility of documents, it being prima facie relevance,
- 19 reliability, and authenticity, issues that my friend is now going
- 20 to have nothing to do with that standard. They may ultimately go
- 21 to weight that Your Honours may ascribe to individual documents.
- 22 Additionally, in our submission, it is improper for counsel to
- 23 make submissions about the qualifications of individual staff and
- 24 to name them as such. I don't think it's proper for that to be
- 25 done in an open setting, and certainly I can't recall a single

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 instance where that's been -- that type of practice has been
- 2 engaged in, in this Court.
- 3 MR. SON ARUN:
- 4 I'd like to respond to the objection raised by the International
- 5 Co-Prosecutor.
- 6 [13.45.29]
- 7 I mentioned the name because Nuon Chea's defence do not recognize
- 8 $\,$ and accept the documents prepared by this member of the OCP
- 9 office.
- 10 With your permission, Your Honour, I'd like to continue.
- 11 (Judges deliberate)
- 12 [13.46.54]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Defence Counsel, you may continue with your objections.
- 15 MR. SON ARUN:
- 16 Mr. Toch Vannarith started working for the OCP since it commenced
- 17 its initial investigation. Therefore, there is no doubt that his
- 18 tendency is toward that which supports the position of the OCP in
- 19 order to charge the Accused. His long-term experience at the
- 20 office of OCP assists him in drawing the map, and of course the
- 21 map does not reflect his personal experience during the Khmer
- 22 Rouge regime. He was born on the 27 of January 1965, according to
- 23 D369/18, which -- by 1975, he was only 10 years old. At that
- 24 young age, how could it be possible for him to remember in such
- 25 vivid details?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 [13.48.17]
- 2 So his work is therefore -- cannot be relied upon, and I urge the
- 3 Bench to reject the copied document and the scanned document
- 4 accordingly. All these documents are as a result of the
- 5 investigation by the OCP and the OCIJ, which are not clear and
- 6 are not beyond reasonable doubt, and it cannot be used as
- 7 reliance on its authenticity.
- 8 As I mentioned earlier, I'd like the Bench to reject all those
- 9 documents that its authenticity cannot be proved, and as they
- 10 were repeatedly raised by the Prosecution.
- 11 Next, I'd like my national counsel to continue. Thank you.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Thank you, National Counsel.
- 14 The International Defence Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may proceed.
- 15 MR. IANUZZI:
- 16 Thank you, Major Son Arun. Thank you, Your Honours. Good
- 17 afternoon.
- 18 [13.49.41]
- 19 I'll begin by stating that I hope to hold a promise I've made to
- 20 my friends in the translation booth; I will speak as slowly as
- 21 possible today. I don't intend to use up all the available time.
- 22 I will also note that I've made a promise to my friends on this
- 23 side of the stage that we would donate the balance to them. So I
- 24 will take my time in any event.
- 25 To begin, I would like to make a few general remarks. First of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 all, I'd like to simply adopt, by reference, some of the previous
- 2 submissions that we've made regarding the admission of
- 3 documentary evidence.
- 4 Very, very briefly, as previously stated, we submit -- we submit
- 5 that, where a particular document goes to either the acts or
- 6 conduct of the Accused or a key issue in the case, then the
- 7 author of that document must be made available in Court for
- 8 examination. And this is a position we've we've stated several
- 9 times. This is something that the Prosecution, I believe, agrees
- 10 with, so I'll leave it at that for now.
- 11 [13.50.42]
- 12 I will focus the bulk of my attention today on relevance -- on
- 13 the issue of relevance.
- 14 And I will slow down.
- 15 And with respect to relevance as a general matter, I'd like to
- 16 read from something that the Chamber has has issued -- and this
- 17 was last year. And I'm referring now to document E141, page 2 of
- 18 that document. I'll simply quote from this:
- 19 "Regarding the examination of policies other than those related
- 20 to forced evacuation, the purpose of including reference to them
- 21 in the first trial is to enable the manner in which the policy
- 22 was developed to be established. What is therefore envisaged is
- 23 the presentation in general terms of five policies..." And those
- 24 are the policies referred to in paragraph 157 of the Closing
- 25 Order. I think we're all familiar with those.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Quoting again: "...although the material issue for examination in
- 2 this first trial is limited -- I repeat, limited -- to the forced
- 3 movement of the population (phases 1 and 2)."
- 4 And this -- this last point, I'd like to emphasize very much: "It
- 5 follows--" Quoting again: "It follows that there will be no
- 6 examination of the implementation of policies other than those
- 7 pertaining to the forced movement of the population (phases 1 and
- 8 2)."
- 9 [13.52.07]
- 10 And I simply quote that language because I think it needs to take
- 11 an overarching sort of standpoint in respect of this document
- 12 here, and this needs to be the groundwork from which we're
- 13 assessing all of the submissions to date.
- 14 So, further, along those lines, I would repeat something that we
- 15 have also previously submitted, and that's following the
- 16 severance of Case 002. The central thread -- the central thread
- 17 -- of this first mini-trial is, again -- it's the alleged
- 18 evacuation of Phnom Penh and the subsequent population transfer
- 19 from the South to the North of the country. Little else, as we
- 20 have said, little else is now relevant in this Chamber, and the
- 21 parties should adhere strictly -- strictly -- to that central
- 22 thread.
- 23 As we've said, Nuon Chea's position with respect to these issues
- 24 is clear. He's made it clear. He will continue to make it clear.
- 25 And we simply reiterate today that the Co-Prosecutors need do

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 little else, in this case, apart from establishing that Nuon
- 2 Chea's actions with respect to those issues, those two alleged
- 3 population transfers, were not justified under international law.
- 4 [13.53.22]
- 5 So, therefore, it follows, we submit, that the Chamber should
- 6 adopt the exact same surgical approach it took in severing the
- 7 case and crafting the modified indictment, it should apply that
- 8 very approach to limit, to strictly limit the number of documents
- 9 deemed relevant to the assessment of issues at stake in Case
- 10 002/01.
- 11 Now is the time -- now is the time, as the Chamber has rightly
- 12 notified us -- now is the time to separate the wheat from the
- 13 chaff so that when it comes time, down the road, to debate the
- 14 probative value of these documents, all of us -- the Chamber, all
- 15 the parties -- will be faced with a reasonable, limited, and
- 16 practicable task, a task strictly in line with the terms of the
- 17 Severance Order.
- 18 I will now turn to the specific documents tendered by the
- 19 parties. And if I may, let me begin today with the civil party
- 20 submissions.
- 21 I won't say much about the translation issue which, I believe,
- 22 was discussed this morning briefly, and I believe we made our
- 23 position known already to the Chamber through "Dottore" Crippa by
- 24 email last week.
- 25 [13.54.38]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

71

1 Simply to reiterate, we do not object to the tabling of those

- 2 documents for discussion today, but we do however reserve our
- 3 right to make any further submissions if necessary, once those
- 4 translations are finalized. And we, of course, acknowledge the
- 5 translation difficulties experienced by everyone.
- 6 Turning to the substance of these documents -- Again, I start with
- 7 these -- I start with the civil party submissions today in light
- 8 of what I've said earlier regarding relevance.
- 9 I'm looking now at revised Annex 7A -- and that was circulated
- 10 last week, and even prior to that -- and this is a list of 10
- 11 specific documents, the 10 specific documents for debate today.
- 12 And again, I begin with these because, with a single exception --
- 13 that being the last document on this list it seems to me and I
- 14 submit that all of these documents, the first nine of the 10, are
- 15 irrelevant to issues raised in Case 002/01. That is to say nine
- 16 of the 10 documents are clearly aimed at establishing the
- 17 implementation of alleged DK policies other than other than --
- 18 the population transfers. And this is apparent from a facial
- 19 review of this annex, Annex 7A, and indeed it is confirmed in the
- 20 letter of the Co-Lead Lawyers of 8 March, in which they indicate
- 21 that the 10 documents "may not relate" -- and I'm reading from
- 22 the last page of this letter "may not relate to the scope of
- 23 Case 002/01". And I submit that they do not relate. They do not
- 24 relate, with the exception, as I've said, of the last document,
- 25 which clearly seems to go to historical background, which, as we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 submitted at the end of the last session, is still open for
- 2 debate, is still on the table, so to speak. So we do not object
- 3 to that final document.
- 4 [13.56.41]
- 5 So I am slightly confused, which seems to be my natural state at
- 6 these hearings, but I am slightly confused as to their inclusion
- 7 on the list at all. But I'm sure we'll hear from my colleagues
- 8 across the stage on that point later this week.
- 9 Turning now to the OCP documents, again I would just like to make
- 10 some general points concerning the relevance of these documents.
- 11 And today I'll be making reference to the various E109/4 lists.
- 12 Those are the various annexes which are listed in the Trial
- 13 Chambers memo on the agenda today.
- 14 [13.57.25]
- 15 And in particular, I'd like to point out that the submissions, in
- 16 general -- in general -- under their columns headed "Description
- 17 and Points of the Indictment" on most, if not all, of these
- 18 annexes, from a review of those submissions, it's apparent that a
- 19 number -- a large number, in fact, of the tendered documents, we
- 20 say, also fall outside the scope of Case 001 -- 002/01 -- excuse
- 21 me.
- 22 While some of the documents are indeed clearly relevant to
- 23 communication and administrative structure, a great number of
- 24 them, again, as the civil party list, relate to the
- 25 implementation of policies other than the single one that forms

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

73

1 the basis of this first mini-trial. And again, that's of course

- 2 the forcible transfers.
- 3 So we submit -- we submit -- along these lines, the Chamber
- 4 should take pains to exclude this extraneous material, lest it --
- 5 and the rest of us are left to deal with an unnecessarily
- 6 unmanageable dossier at the end of the case. We urge the Chamber,
- 7 given its positive obligation to manage these proceedings in an
- 8 effective manner, to cull and discard as many documents as
- 9 possible, as many irrelevant documents as possible.
- 10 [13.58.40]
- 11 And in this regard, we intend to give you some guidance we
- 12 intend to give you some guidance -- but in order to avoid the
- 13 tedium of going through every single document on each and every
- 14 one of these lists, I'm going to make some general points, and at
- 15 a later stage, probably first thing tomorrow morning, I will
- 16 circulate to the parties, in writing, an indication of precisely
- 17 the documents on each of those lists that, we suggest, fall
- 18 outside the scope of Case 002/01. And the OCP then may make any
- 19 submissions in response later this week.
- 20 I will, as I've just said, make some general remarks regarding
- 21 the various categories and I'll take these in turn.
- 22 And I'll begin with A6. Those are the DK biographies. And this is
- 23 of course further to the comments of Major Son Arun just a few
- 24 moments ago. It is our position that these documents, these
- 25 biographies, need to be treated as -- in the same manner --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 excuse me -- as confessions; they are clearly -- or were clearly
- 2 made under torture, the threat of torture or, in any event, some
- 3 type some type of inducement or coercion prohibited by Rule
- 4 21.3, and accordingly they should be treated like confessions.
- 5 And as my colleague mentioned, that is to say that they should be
- 6 considered prima facie torture-tainted and, therefore, subject to
- 7 the Chamber's previous ruling with respect to the use of such
- 8 material.
- 9 [14.00.05]
- 10 In this, perhaps, for the benefit of the public and for all of
- 11 us, in fact, I would just like to read briefly from the ruling
- 12 made some time ago by both the President and Judge Cartwright.
- 13 This is from Case 001, in trial transcript of 20 May 2009, at
- 14 page 6 -- and this is the President speaking:
- 15 "The parties are reminded of Article 15 of the Torture
- 16 Convention, which says: 'Each state party shall ensure that any
- 17 statement which is established to have been made as a result of
- 18 torture shall not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings
- 19 except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the
- 20 statement was made.'"
- 21 [14.00.45]
- 22 And this is the general position. We're all familiar with this,
- 23 so I'll leave it at that.
- 24 Judge Cartwright then, a few days later, went on to expand a bit
- 25 on this. And now I'm reading from, again, Case 001 trial

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 transcripts, 28 May 2009, at page 9, and again this is Judge
- 2 Cartwright: "The Chamber wishes to emphasize the importance of
- 3 the fact that [the] Court is bound by the provisions of Article
- 4 15 of the Torture Convention..."
- 5 Additionally, she noted:
- 6 "This provision is reflected in Article 38 of the Cambodian
- 7 Constitution and also in Rule 21.3 of the Internal Rules which
- 8 states: 'No form of inducement, physical coercion or threats
- 9 thereof, whether directed against the interviewee or others, may
- 10 be used in any interview.'
- 11 "In practice," Judge Cartwright went on to say, "this means that
- 12 the fact that a confession has been made and that it was made
- 13 under torture is an admissible fact. However, " and this is the
- 14 important point, "the contents of a confession cannot be accepted
- 15 as a truthful statement."
- 16 [14.01.53]
- 17 And this, of course, supports what my colleague has said a few
- 18 moments ago.
- 19 "If", Judge Cartwright continued, "any party wishes to refer to
- 20 the truthfulness or otherwise of the contents of [that]
- 21 confession, it will be necessary first to establish if the
- 22 confession was made under torture or the threat of torture."
- 23 So, further to what Major Son Arun has said, I would like to
- 24 additionally submit that, where the Chamber intends to make some
- 25 secondary use of this type of material -- for example, to somehow

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 link a biography or a confession to the Accused or to attempt to
- 2 do that -- then, in keeping with this approach of extreme caution
- 3 and in keeping with our general position, a competent individual
- 4 -- that is, someone with proven knowledge and understanding of
- 5 the document -- should come into Court -- should come into Court
- 6 -- and be subject to examination.
- 7 [14.02.43]
- 8 Very quickly moving on to A7, the DK commerce records, it seems
- 9 to me that nearly all, if not all, of these documents appear to
- 10 be relevant to the communication structure. And we're quite happy
- 11 to concede, in fact, that the DK was engaged in trade of a
- 12 beneficial nature with other nations, as many of these documents
- 13 appear to suggest.
- 14 Category A8, the so-called Tram Kak district records; it is my
- 15 submission that, with the exception of one of these, they appear
- 16 to be concerned with the treatment of targeted groups in
- 17 cooperatives and security centres. That is, we submit, the
- implementation of a policy outside the scope of Case 002/01.
- 19 A9, S-21 prison records; again, only a handful, a very small
- 20 subset of these appear relevant to administrative, communication
- 21 structures, to other relevant issues. The remainder, again, are
- 22 meant to address the implementation of policies other than those
- 23 that form the subject of this trial.
- 24 Moving on to AlO, that's S-21 confessions. Of course, the
- 25 comments I made originally with respect to torture-tainted

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

77

1 material apply here, and once more, it seems only a handful

- 2 appear relevant.
- 3 [14.04.06]
- 4 Case 001 transcripts, category All; I have no objections beyond
- 5 the ones Major Son Arun made, general ones that we've made
- 6 already. Again, I would note that only about a third of these
- 7 appear relevant to this trial.
- 8 Al4; these are the site identification reports. These appear to
- 9 have absolutely no relevance to this trial. I haven't been able
- 10 to detect any.
- 11 A15, maps and photographs; these are of varied relevance.
- 12 Al6; this is a potentially problematic category, audio and video
- 13 records. Again, some of these appear prima facie relevant,
- 14 especially with respect to the historical background, but I would
- 15 submit that a cautious approach is needed here, especially with
- 16 respect to any audio or video recordings of the Accused, who, we
- 17 submit, has the right to confront the maker in Court, the maker
- 18 of those -- the producer, I should say, of those records, of
- 19 those audio and video records, and that is because a proper
- 20 context may have been edited out of the recordings. We just might
- 21 not know about it.
- 22 So, in this regard, we may -- and we reserve our right to do so
- 23 to seek the admission of any unedited footage, should the Chamber
- 24 be interested in admitting these documents.
- 25 [14.05.29]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 A17, A18, and A19 are batched together. Those are the
- 2 international communications, international media reports, and
- 3 academic articles. Again, I would just say these appear to be of
- 4 varied relevance. But to be perfectly clear, as we, the Defence,
- 5 have sought to rely on such material, I want to make it clear, we
- 6 do not object to the admission of these types in any categorical
- 7 sense. We don't want to suggest any double standard, and we do
- 8 believe that our general objections, if implemented properly,
- 9 will safeguard our rights with respect to these documents.
- 10 Finally, the rogatory reports, that's category A20. And I have to
- 11 submit, as we've consistently done since the start of the trial,
- 12 that any material generated by the OCIJ must be handled with
- 13 extreme caution. And this is due to what we have submitted was a
- 14 biased and otherwise flawed approach to the judicial
- 15 investigation. In this regard, I simply make reference to our
- 16 previous objections, our preliminary objections, where we
- 17 extensively briefed this issue.
- 18 And that takes me to the end of the Prosecution's documents.
- 19 I'll very, very briefly move on Ieng Sary's.
- 20 [14.06.35]
- 21 There's only one document tabled for discussion today; that's a
- 22 book by Michael Vickery, "Cambodia 1975-1982". We, of course,
- 23 have no objection to that document being placed in the record.
- 24 That's D22/1.17.
- 25 We would of course, as we've indicated before, prefer to hear Mr.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Vickery as a witness in conjunction with this with his book
- 2 being used as evidence, and I reiterate that request today.
- 3 Finally -- not quite finally, but in terms of the last group, I'm
- 4 looking now at the Khieu Samphan Annex, and again, as was the
- 5 case with the Ieng Sary documents, we have no general objections
- 6 as such for this material. We support our colleagues.
- 7 [14.07.27]
- 8 We do would like -- we would like to make one caveat with respect
- 9 to four of the documents on this list. And I'll just put the --
- 10 read those.
- 11 The first is D210/5, and I'm reading the French, E109/1.1 --
- 12 that's all I've got, it is the French. This seems to be a
- 13 transcription of an interview with Ouk Bunchhoeun on 14 August
- 14 1990, with Steve Heder. Ouk Bunchhoeun, of course, is a CPP
- 15 senator who refused to appear before the OCIJ pursuant to a
- 16 summons.
- 17 Next document is listed as RI 19.58, and that's identified as an
- 18 interview with Im Chem -- DC-Cam. She, of course, is a suspect in
- 19 Case 004.
- 20 Third document, IS -- RI -- excuse me -- 21.74, telegram of Meas
- 21 Muth, Committee 870, dated 31 December 1977. Meas Muth, of
- 22 course, is a suspect in Case 003.
- 23 And finally RI 19.51; and that is an interview with Hor Namhong.
- 24 Again, obviously, the RGC Minister of Foreign Affairs, who
- 25 refused to appear before the OCIJ pursuant to a validly issued

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 summons.
- 2 With respect to these four documents, we submit that, given that
- 3 political interference is a key issue in this case, these
- 4 documents should not be admitted without the authors appearing in
- 5 Court for examination by the Chamber and the parties.
- 6 [14.09.17]
- 7 One note on the further hearings. We've been informed that
- 8 discussion of the so-called new documents will be schedule in due
- 9 course. That was notified in the same -- I believe in E1712/5
- 10 (sic). And I mention this because I'm confused slightly on a
- 11 point.
- 12 We would like an additional indication as to whether and when we
- 13 can expect to make substantive submissions as to the probative
- 14 value of the various documents that we've been discussing these
- 15 last few weeks. And I'm talking about, of course, what we're
- 16 dealing with today, what we've dealt with in the past, not the
- 17 new documents. We would like some indication when, whether, how
- 18 it's going to look like, if we're going to have a debate on the
- 19 probative value, not just the admissibility.
- 20 And, finally, last last point I'd like to make today, I'd just
- 21 like to note that after the close of last session, there was an
- 22 attempted escalation by Hun Sen with respect to document E176.
- 23 And that, of course, is our application for summary action
- 24 against the prime minister for his remarks that our client is a
- 25 "deceitful killer and a perpetrator of genocide".

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 In late February, it was reported that Hun Sen was considering
- 2 retaliatory legal action against an individual he described as an
- 3 arrogant member of the Nuon Chea defence team.
- 4 [14.10.38]
- 5 So, in disposing of E176, we do urge the Chamber to take this
- 6 into account, to take the prime minister's latest position, which
- 7 can only be construed as an inappropriate threat, into account.
- 8 In our submission, such thuggish behaviour is to be condemned,
- 9 and we hereby request the Chamber to do so. And you may consider
- 10 this to be a new request pursuant to Rule 35 for summary action
- 11 against the prime minister, as it is based on new information.
- 12 And that's all I have for today. I'd gladly cede the balance of
- our time to Mr. Karnavas. Thank you very much.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 Was there any other oral submission the defence counsel for Nuon
- 17 Chea wishes to make?
- 18 MR. IANUZZI:
- 19 No, Your Honour, we're -- we've concluded. Thank you.
- 20 [14.11.57]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 How about the defence team for Ieng Sary? Do you have any oral
- 23 submission to make? You may proceed.
- 24 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 25 Good afternoon, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your Honours. And

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 good afternoon to everyone in and around the courtroom.
- 2 Yes, indeed, we do have some remarks concerning the various types
- 3 of documents that are being sought to be admitted by the
- 4 Prosecution and the civil parties in particular.
- 5 I'll begin with some general remarks, Mr. President, and then
- 6 I'll go through each category. It is impossible to go through
- 7 every single document that we object to, so I will just do it by
- 8 topic, and on occasion I will bring some examples so that you can
- 9 all see what exactly I'm referring to.
- 10 Suffice it to say, it is our fundamental position and our
- 11 starting point that the Prosecution is attempting to try its
- 12 case, by and large, without any witnesses, by simply admitting
- 13 the documents, documents which come under topics that that not
- 14 always are representative of what exactly is in the bundle of
- 15 documents.
- 16 [14.13.24]
- 17 In fact, I would say that it occurs to such a degree of frequency
- 18 that one has to question the motives and, perhaps, even the
- 19 professional ethics behind that.
- 20 So, for instance, if you are submitting a biography, and along
- 21 with the biography is a statement, a statement is not a
- 22 biography, is a statement of a witness. Or, if you are, for
- 23 instance, trying to introduce a rogatory report but the report
- 24 contains summary of statements, that's another clear indicator.
- 25 Our position is that of course, as indicated by the Nuon Chea

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 team, that anything -- any documents that go to the acts and
- 2 conducts of the Accused cannot be admitted and should not be
- 3 admitted without viva voce testimony, without a witness being
- 4 here and being confronted.
- 5 The Prosecution, on numerous occasions -- in fact, on every
- 6 occasion that it can think of -- cites the jurisprudence of ICTY,
- 7 and that is exactly the jurisprudence of ICTY. So, in this
- 8 instance, at least we are in the same mind-set, that where any
- 9 statements, any summaries, anything that may go to the acts of
- 10 conducts of the Accused, unless the witness comes in to be
- 11 subjected to cross-examination, that material cannot and should
- 12 not be admitted.
- 13 [14.15.06]
- 14 Now, let me begin by going through some of the categories of
- 15 documents. I will start off with the biographies. And there may
- 16 be some repetition, some repetition from what we heard from the
- 17 Nuon Chea team. I will try to edit as I go along my thoughts and
- 18 what I what I've prepared to present to the Trial Chamber, so
- 19 as not to repeat what has already been said.
- 20 And in order that there not be any misunderstandings, let me
- 21 begin by saying we adopt the positions that have been advocated
- 22 thus far by the Nuon Chea team. In other words, we find
- 23 everything that they've indicated both factually and legally to
- 24 be correct and that we adopt that position. We incorporate it
- 25 into our remarks.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 Biographies, we submit, in addition to being taken under
- 2 conditions which would amount to torture-tainted evidence, are in
- 3 fact statements; they are unsworn statements provided under
- 4 dubious circumstances where the authors are unavailable and are
- 5 -- cannot be confronted.
- 6 [14.16.30]
- 7 So our position is that these biographies should not be admitted.
- 8 Many of the biographies, for example D366/7.1.38 or D366/7.1.42,
- 9 state that they are prisoner biographies. Any biographies derived
- 10 from torture-tainted material must not be admitted, so they-- The
- 11 biographies themselves indicate that they are from prisoners, not
- 12 biographies written by individuals who are applying for a job as
- 13 if they were submitting a C.V. or were merely recounting, as part
- 14 of the self-criticism process that might have been going on at
- 15 the time, as to what they might have been doing.
- 16 Some biographies appear to be relevant to Case 002/1, but only if
- 17 Ieng Thirith was still in the case; in other words, she had not
- 18 been severed from the case. One example is D366/7.1.40.
- 19 I raise this, and I think this is something that at some point
- 20 the Trial Chamber may need to grapple with -- and perhaps now is
- 21 the time to plant the seed -- that there may be instances where
- 22 evidence may be relevant to Ieng Thirith, but since she is not in
- 23 this case any longer, the question is to what extent such
- 24 evidence should come in and, if it does come in, would it in any
- 25 way impact the rights of the other Accused, or are we to, then,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 be in a position where, perhaps, we are acting as her defence.
- 2 That's something that I raise at this point in time. I leave it
- 3 up to Your Honours to think about it, but at some point, I think
- 4 there may be some evidence which might need to be addressed and
- 5 excluded or admitted subject to discussions as to whether it
- 6 would be fair to the Accused to have such evidence admitted,
- 7 knowing that Ieng Thirith is no longer in the case, or at least
- 8 in 002/1.
- 9 [14.19.13]
- 10 Some of the documents numbered -- numbers listed as biographies
- 11 have multiple documents with the same number in English on the
- 12 case file. Some of these documents appear to be biographies, but
- 13 others actually appear to be DC-Cam interviews, or summaries of
- 14 biographies made by unknown authors, or photographs from the
- 15 DC-Cam publication. An example of these include IS 19.46, IS
- 16 19.157, and IS 3.5.
- 17 We submit the documents, which are not actually biographies, must
- 18 not be listed as such and should not be -- there should be no
- 19 attempt to sneak them in as biographies.
- 20 For instance, if you look at one document, 19.46, we have here
- 21 what appears to be a document dated 10 October 2003, and it's an
- 22 interview; it's from DC-Cam. How could it possibly be a biography
- 23 when, in fact, it is a question-and-answer statement? It's in the
- 24 annex.
- 25 Perhaps it is an oversight, but along with this document, there

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

86

1 are biographies which may or may not necessarily be relevant, but

- 2 in any event I think it is up to the Prosecution to demonstrate
- 3 why every one of these biographies is necessary. It appears that
- 4 the burden is being shifted onto the Defence to have to establish
- 5 why this material should not be admitted, when it should be the
- 6 other way around. It should not be up to the Defence to look
- 7 through every single document and see that some are
- 8 mischaracterized. Perhaps there is a good explanation from the
- 9 prosecution as to why this is a biography, why they titled it as
- 10 a biography, and how it may be relevant to the case.
- 11 [14.22.00]
- 12 One document, D366/7.1.831, is an autobiography of Witness
- 13 TCW-724. This was translated by DC-Cam. It should not be admitted
- 14 unless -- and perhaps until -- the witness testifies. And that's
- 15 one of our other general objections: if documents are related to
- 16 witnesses who are scheduled to appear, then those documents
- 17 should not be admitted at this point in time, until the witness
- 18 appears. If, for instance, at some point, we learn that the
- 19 witness is unable to appear, then, of course, nothing prevents
- 20 the Prosecution from making an additional submission as to why,
- 21 now, documents related to a potential witness who did not show up
- 22 should be admitted in the in the interest of justice.
- 23 So we would submit as one of our other general comments that any
- 24 document that's related to a witness which is currently scheduled
- 25 by the Trial Chamber should not be admitted. When the witness

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

87

1 comes, if it is necessary, they can then try to admit the 2 document. But we would submit that, as a matter of course, if the 3 witness is here and is testifying, the best evidence comes from the witness' mouth. It may be necessary to present a document to 4 5 the witness for the purposes of explaining, or expanding, or 6 supporting what the witness says, but a statement given by a 7 witness should not come in if the witness is testifying, unless, of course, segments of it could come in where the witness is now 8 9 being impeached by the very same document -- which the witness 10 produced -- or the document is being used to rehabilitate the 11 witness if his -- if he was challenged on cross-examination. But 12 simply to say: Well, isn't that what you said in your statement, 13 and then use the statement as a basis of bolstering the weight of the witness' testimony, we submit that's improper, which is 14 another reason why we think, at this point in time -- and we 15 16 submit that any documents related to witnesses who are scheduled to testify should not be admitted. And if they do not testify, 17 then the -- then the Prosecution can make a further submission as 18 19 to why, in the interest of justice, that -- those documents 20 should be admitted. 21 [14.24.56] 22 And incidentally, Your Honours, this is the procedure normally --23 or generally, I should say -- applied at the other ad hoc 24 tribunals. So I'm not that far off of what is normally the 25 practice and I'm not suggesting something that is not being done

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 elsewhere. I'm not saying something that is so unique, so
- 2 avant-garde that, perhaps, we would be improvising beyond the
- 3 scope of these of these proceedings.
- 4 [14.25.31]
- 5 Some documents, and in particular one, I think, are not
- 6 translated; this is D2/15.36. It is our opinion -- or we are of
- 7 the opinion, I should say, that it hasn't been translated; we
- 8 cannot find the -- this document in English, and therefore the
- 9 document should not be admitted unless it is translated. If it is
- 10 that important, obviously, I'm sure efforts are being made to
- 11 have it translated. If it's an oversight, then perhaps the
- 12 Prosecution can look into all of the documents. Presumably,
- 13 they've gone through every single one and can articulate with
- 14 precision as to why each document that they've listed in their
- 15 annex is actually relevant to 002/1.
- 16 Therefore, we look forward to their explanations on this
- 17 particular document and others as well.
- 18 [14.26.42]
- 19 Now, let me move on to documents dealing with commerce records.
- 20 And I won't be long on this one.
- 21 Basically, it is our position that we leave it to the Trial
- 22 Chamber's discretion to go through these documents and determine
- 23 whether they wish to have them admitted and whether they are
- 24 actually relevant. So we leave it to your discretion -- any
- 25 documents dealing with commerce. There may be other -- other

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

89

1 parties that may have objections to these documents; our position

- 2 is we leave it to your wise discretion. Excuse me.
- 3 The next set of documents, Your Honour, deal with the Tram Kak
- 4 district records. And perhaps I will start with my -- by
- 5 remarking that there's credible evidence on the file thus far
- 6 that these records, these documents, the original ones, were
- 7 lost, that they were lost by Professor Kiernan -- and perhaps
- 8 he's not to blame, but nonetheless he took the documents, the
- 9 original ones, then supposedly gave them back to someone in the
- 10 Ministry of Interior, and there's no recollection of it.
- 11 [14.28.33]
- 12 There is -- there are some documents that we have. There was an
- 13 interview taken of Mr. Chhang, who described his knowledge of the
- 14 documents and what he learned from Mr. Kiernan. There are some --
- 15 there are some correspondence in the file between Professor
- 16 Kiernan and the Co-Investigative Judges, where he articulates his
- 17 position that he had turned over the documents, the original
- 18 ones, and it was years later -- I believe, nearly 10 years later
- 19 -- that he learned that they had -- the originals were actually
- 20 lost. He did indicate that he had made copies, and so--
- 21 In any event, I'll leave it up to Your Honours to determine what
- 22 to make of that. Suffice it to say, should -- should it be
- 23 necessary to hear evidence on this, Mr. Youk Chhang can be
- 24 recalled, and of course any -- with respect to these documents
- 25 and what might have -- what may have happened to the original

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 ones. If this issue is an important one, perhaps Mr. Kiernan can
- 2 address it, were he to appear as a witness in this case.
- 3 [14.30.06]
- 4 Now, with respect to some of these documents, it is our
- 5 submission that not all of them appear to be actually relevant to
- 6 002/1, at least when you look at the annex and you look at the
- 7 paragraphs to which are being cited; for example, D15.3 is -- is
- 8 listed as relevant to treatment of targeted groups, paragraphs
- 9 205 to 215 of the Closing Order; Tram Kak cooperatives,
- 10 paragraphs 302 to 322; and the Kraing Ta Chan -- my apologies for
- 11 the pronunciation, Mr. President -- security centres, paragraphs
- 12 489 to 515. And to our understanding, unless we are mistaken, to
- 13 our understanding, none of these paragraphs are listed as part of
- 14 Case 002/01. And I do understand and I do appreciate the constant
- 15 refrain we hear from the Prosecution that some of this is
- 16 contextual, obviously we're going to be spilling into some other
- 17 areas that may touch upon 002/02 or 3, or what have you. But, be
- 18 that as it may, we simply point out that when you look at what is
- 19 being represented by the Prosecution, on its face, it appears to
- 20 be outside Case 002/01.
- 21 And if, for instance, the treatment of targeted groups is not to
- 22 be discussed during this trial, then why is there an attempt to
- 23 bring that information in?
- 24 [14.32.09]
- 25 Of course, we know the Prosecution's position; they seem to be of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 the opinion, or at least they suspect, if I could -- if I'm
- 2 interpreting what they've indicated in their past pleadings, but
- 3 they suspect that there will not be another case other than this
- 4 one. And, therefore, perhaps this is an attempt to get all the
- 5 evidence in that they think might assist them for the entire --
- for the entire case, even though it has been severed.
- 7 And as -- as was indicated by the Nuon Chea team, we are of the
- 8 opinion and we submit that only documents that are strictly
- 9 relevant should be admitted for this case. When we get to the
- 10 next case, then they'll have an opportunity to admit those
- 11 documents in. But if we're going to manage this case, and try to
- 12 finish it within a reasonable period of time and, in doing so,
- 13 summarize the evidence, both testimonial and documentary, in a
- 14 manageable fashion, then I submit, Your Honours, now is the time
- 15 to be vigilant in admitting only documents that are strictly
- 16 relevant.
- 17 [14.33.34]
- 18 Now, some of these (unintelligible) documents, for example
- 19 D157.16 and D157.35, appear to be summaries of confessions, and
- 20 of course--
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Defence Counsel, could you please repeat the ER number of the
- 23 last document?
- 24 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 25 My apologies; the two documents -- I'll start again -- is D157.16

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 and D157.35. They appear to be summaries of confessions and, as
- 2 such, should be inadmissible for the same reason as we hear
- 3 articulated both orally and -- and in written form as
- 4 torture-tainted evidence. And as you well know, Your Honours,
- 5 this matter has been briefed, and you've even made some rulings
- 6 on the matter.
- 7 [14.34.52]
- 8 Another example is D157.86. This document is illegible, and it is
- 9 our understanding that it was unable to be translated into
- 10 English because the original Khmer could not be understood by the
- 11 translators. So our position is this document should not even be
- 12 on the case file.
- 13 And of course, if we are -- if I'm making any misstatements or
- 14 errors, I apologize, but this is our understanding. We had to go
- 15 through a lot of documents in a very short period of time.
- 16 Your Honour, I see -- may I have some guidance as to when we will
- 17 be breaking for the afternoon, so I -- so I know? I'm afraid I'm
- 18 confused over the time.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you, Defence Counsels, for your attention to the breaking
- 21 time.
- 22 The time is now appropriate for a break. We shall have a
- 23 20-minute break, and we shall return at 3 p.m.
- 24 THE GREFFIER:
- 25 All rise.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 (Judges exit the courtroom)
- 2 (Court recesses from 1436H to 1503H)
- 3 (Judges enter the courtroom)
- 4 [15.04.02]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 7 Now I hand over to the defence counsel for Ieng Sary to resume
- 8 his observation.
- 9 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours, and good afternoon again
- 11 to everyone.
- 12 The next category, Your Honours, are documents that are listed as
- 13 S-21 prisoner records. I think that this issue has been discussed
- 14 extensively. It's I think our position is that anything dealing
- 15 with S-21 has to be viewed with a great deal of scepticism, and
- 16 if, indeed, Duch does come and testify, that documents can be put
- 17 to him for the purposes of cross-examination, or examination.
- 18 One, in particular, document, which is D108/26.135 D108/26.135
- 19 -- appears to be relevant to Ieng Thirith. Again, we would object
- 20 to it, since she's been object to it being admitted since she's
- 21 no longer in this particular case, but that's something that Your
- 22 Honours will need to decide on.
- 23 The next -- next, we have S-21 confessions. We filed extensive
- 24 written submissions on this issue. Our position hasn't changed,
- 25 and the Nuon Chea team has already expressed his -- its

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

94

1 reservations and objections to this sort of evidence; I don't

- 2 wish to repeat what we already have submitted in the past and
- 3 what has been mentioned already this afternoon, but our
- 4 fundamental position is that S-21 confessions should not be
- 5 admitted; they're tainted because they were obtained under
- 6 circumstances which, under international law, such evidence
- 7 should not be used in Court.
- 8 [15.06.42]
- 9 The next type of documents category are transcripts from 001. And
- 10 I may go into this a little bit more extensively. Our position,
- 11 Your Honours, is that 001 transcripts cannot and should not come
- 12 in under any circumstances. Any witness who testified in 001 can
- 13 certainly be re-invited to give evidence in this particular case.
- 14 The lawyers for Duch did not put up much of a defence, as far as
- 15 we are concerned, but one legal issue that they may have had --
- 16 the jurisdictional one was raised parenthetically during
- 17 closing arguments. They had no need to challenge witnesses
- 18 because, effectively, their client had provided all sorts of
- 19 statements and effectively, or purportedly, was cooperating and
- 20 was and was admitting to his entire guilt. So the strategy and
- 21 the tactics used in 001 by the Defence are certainly not the
- 22 strategy and tactics used by the Accused in this particular case.
- 23 And I raise this because I certainly don't wish to have the
- 24 lawyers for Duch their examination of witnesses, that is, be
- 25 used as a basis for suggesting that perhaps the witnesses have

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 been confronted and, therefore, need not appear. In fact, it
- 2 would be the complete opposite. If the witnesses are available,
- 3 they should come in and provide evidence. If they're not
- 4 available, then proper submissions can be made. And perhaps, if
- 5 testimony was elicited that does not goad to acts and conducts of
- 6 the Accused, perhaps the Trial Chamber may consider, but our
- 7 position is that transcripts cannot come in.
- 8 [15.09.20]
- 9 You may recall that early on I filed numerous submissions
- 10 concerning this I'll say "we", on for the Ieng Sary defence.
- 11 We did so because we knew that this is the habit, or the process
- 12 by the prosecutors, especially at the ICTY. And the members over
- 13 here come from the ICTY. What they tend to do in minor cases,
- 14 they try to sneak in as much evidence as they possibly can
- 15 because the Defence, effectively, is not interested in whether
- 16 that evidence comes in or not because it may not necessarily
- 17 touch upon their clients. So they get in all sorts of background
- 18 information, all sorts of other information that goes
- 19 unchallenged. So then, when the next case comes in, the first
- 20 thing they do is they make a submission for the Trial Chamber to
- 21 accept adjudicated facts and also to accept testimony by way of
- 22 prior transcripts.
- 23 [15.10.35]
- 24 So, knowing the practice and knowing the players in the
- 25 Prosecution, we filed substantive substantive submissions

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 concerning this issue. So our position is clear: no transcripts
- 2 unless unless the witness comes in. And the transcript doesn't
- 3 come in unless it's used for impeachment or for rehabilitation
- 4 purposes.
- 5 The next item are site identification reports, and this was
- 6 briefly touched upon by the Nuon Chea team, in particular to
- 7 documents D232/108 that's D232/108 -- and D369/38 D369/38.
- 8 And our submission is that these site identification reports were
- 9 prepared based on the OCIJ witness interviews, the relevant
- 10 witnesses, and the OCIJ investigators who prepared the reports
- 11 can certainly come in, and provide evidence, and be subject to
- 12 cross-examination. This is nothing other than a statement, as far
- 13 as we're concerned, and therefore we're entitled to confront the
- 14 makers of these particular reports.
- 15 [15.12.33]
- 16 But the site identification reports are not merely photographs,
- 17 but contain also testimony which cannot be tested unless the
- 18 witness is here.
- 19 And one example, for instance, is D369/38 which discusses not
- 20 merely the locations of certain work sites, but also who
- 21 supervised it. I don't wish to belabour the point as to the
- 22 individual working for the OCP who was engaged in preparing this.
- 23 Suffice it to say, as was pointed out, the individual would have
- 24 been 10 years old or 11 years old in 1975, and therefore he could
- 25 not have an independent memory of these events. Unless, of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

97

1 course, the individual comes and testifies that, back in 1975 to

- 2 '79, the individual was roaming around Phnom Penh, going from
- 3 ministry to ministry, from location to location, and it was based
- 4 on his personal knowledge and experience and personal
- 5 observations that he was able to provide this site identification
- 6 report. If that is not the case, then, obviously, this individual
- 7 would have relied on other documents and, therefore, that
- 8 individual should come in and give evidence if indeed the Trial
- 9 Chamber wishes to rely on this
- 10 [15.14.26]
- 11 Maps and photographs, that's the next topic. Normally -- normally
- 12 -- a map or a photograph, in order for it to be admitted, has to
- 13 be a fair and accurate representation of what it purports to be
- 14 at the time of the incident. That's the normal circumstances. So,
- 15 if we're talking about a particular building, having a photograph
- 16 of a building which sat on this particular location is not
- 17 necessarily relevant unless, of course, the only purpose of
- 18 submitting the photograph is to show that, at this particular
- 19 location where this building currently is located, a particular
- 20 site -- or particular event occurred. We see lots of photographs
- 21 of new buildings; I suspect -- and perhaps the Prosecution will
- 22 tell us the purpose of them, but if the purpose is to demonstrate
- 23 what the building looked like or what the site looked like at the
- 24 time, then a foundation would have to be established.
- 25 One particular document, D108/39/8 D108/39/8 is titled

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 "Genocide Sites in Cambodia (1975-1979)", authored by Susan E.
- 2 Cook and Matthew Fladeland, of the Cambodian Genocide Program,
- 3 Yale University. Now, here's why we object to a document of this
- 4 nature. When you look at the introduction-- I will read parts of
- 5 it to give Your Honours a flavour as to why these sorts of
- 6 documents are inadmissible unless, of course, the author wishes
- 7 to come in and testify about the substance in the article of this
- 8 report.
- 9 [15.17.18]
- 10 So, in the introduction, very first line: "The Cambodian Genocide
- 11 Program (CGP) is a genocide documentation project based at the
- 12 Yale Center for International and Area Studies at Yale
- 13 University." So here we -- you see that they've already indicated
- 14 who they are, and it's a documentation of genocide.
- 15 Further on, they go on to say:
- 16 "Since 1994, the CGP has been working to document war crimes,
- 17 genocide, and crimes against humanity committed by the Khmer
- 18 Rouge Regime in Cambodia (1975-1979). [The] documentation is
- 19 intended to support the investigation and prosecution of
- 20 individuals who committed genocide, human rights violations, and
- 21 war crimes in Cambodia."
- 22 Now, further down, it says: "An important aspect of this
- 23 investigation has been the mapping of genocide sites throughout
- 24 Cambodia."
- 25 And I should say, Your Honours, as an aside, when I read the word

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 "genocide", I'm thinking of the legal term as opposed to a
- 2 political term or social term that often is used by journalists
- 3 or politicians. I'm thinking of it from the legal context
- 4 because, when this document is being introduced, we are in a
- 5 court of law.
- 6 [15.19.09]
- 7 It goes on to say that "this map collection presents the results
- 8 of field visits to more than 500 genocide sites in 22 of
- 9 Cambodia's 24 provinces", and so on and so forth.
- 10 Now, I don't wish to read any further than that, but I mean,
- 11 here, this document clearly should not come in. If they wish to
- 12 bring it in, they should have the authors-- Susan E. Cook, at
- 13 least according to the internet -- I googled her -- she's an
- 14 Anthropologist not a lawyer. The other gentleman, Matthew
- 15 Fladeland, he's a cartographer. Yet they're using these terms.
- 16 Now, if they wish to come in to show that they went -- they
- 17 visited these sites and this was what was found at these sites,
- 18 fine, but they've identified the sites as "genocide sites".
- 19 Of course, of course, another question is: Why is this document
- 20 relevant? And I leave it to Your Honours to decide whether it is
- 21 relevant or not.
- 22 [15.20.32]
- 23 Another document worth noting is D366/7.1.415. It's a booklet of
- 24 photographs which also contains interpretive comments. So it's
- 25 not just photographs, but there are also comments, comments, as

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 far as we are concerned and what we submit, are statements,
- 2 unsworn statements that will be coming in. And we would not have
- 3 the opportunity to cross-examine those individuals who made those
- 4 comments. So, if Your Honours were to rely on any photographs, we
- 5 certainly would object to Your Honours accepting at face value
- 6 anything that was represented as a as an interpretive comment
- 7 to a photograph, unless, of course, there was independent indicia
- 8 of corroboration, the same objection that I've made on previous
- 9 occasions.
- 10 And I know, Your Honours, that I'm testing your patience with
- 11 some of this, but I want to make sure that our position is clear.
- 12 And to the extent that I'm repeating myself from the previous
- 13 occasion, I apologize, but such is the nature of these
- 14 proceedings. I do believe however that I will finish today, so
- 15 there is a silver lining on the horizon.
- 16 [15.22.21]
- 17 Audio and and video, that's the next topic. Our position is
- 18 that audio and video recordings must not be admitted, unless they
- 19 are demonstrated to be authentic, relevant, and reliable. I
- 20 think, with audio, it's rather important to ensure that they are
- 21 authentic. If they contain witness interviews, they must not be
- 22 admitted, unless we have the opportunity to confront those who
- 23 are being interviewed or those who are commenting on the videos.
- 24 And we say this because we think it's terribly important that, if
- 25 you show a video and someone is giving is providing information

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 which can be interpreted as evidence, which goes to the acts and
- 2 conducts of the Accused, it would be a violation of our client's
- 3 right to confront that witness if he or she were not here in to
- 4 give evidence.
- 5 One example, for instance, is D232/110/1.149R (sic). I'll repeat
- 6 again: D232/110/1.1.49R (sic). It's not available in English; it
- 7 should be rejected unless it's provided in all three languages.
- 8 [15.24.17]
- 9 This is a recording, as we understand it, of a witness, TCW-536.
- 10 I don't wish to mention the -- the individual. This is a classic
- 11 example of what I've indicated in -- before. If, for instance,
- 12 someone is on the list of witnesses, then, rather than have
- 13 evidence such as this come in prior to the witness, the better
- 14 practice would be for the witness to come in, give his or her
- 15 evidence, and then, if it's necessary to show the recording or
- 16 the video, then that's fine; if the witness does not come in,
- 17 then the Prosecution or the party who's trying to have the
- 18 evidence admitted can certainly make another submission for the
- 19 admission. That's -- that's our position, Your Honour.
- 20 Next category: international communications. And, again, I may be
- 21 repeating myself, but we have discussed these sort of documents
- 22 in the past in great detail and we have noted that these
- 23 documents should not be admitted, although, if they are admitted,
- 24 little weight, if any, should be given to them, unless they are
- 25 independently corroborated by other sorts of evidence.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 One example, for instance, is D2-15.1. This is an interview
- 2 entitled "Ke Pauk's Autobiography". Our position is that this is
- 3 mislabelled and it shouldn't come in because it's not part of an
- 4 international communication to start with.
- 5 [15.26.51]
- 6 Another one is D366/7.1.191; it's labelled "International
- 7 Communication", but it actually is a royal decree pardoning Mr.
- 8 Ieng Sary.
- 9 There are 158 documents of international communications in this
- 10 sort of category; I certainly don't have time to go through every
- 11 single one of them. Again, I would submit, Your Honour, when
- 12 we're dealing with these large amounts of documents, it is not
- 13 sufficient enough for the Prosecution to provide a table and then
- 14 say: Let the defence object to any one of them, otherwise all of
- 15 them should come in. The Prosecution, since they are the moving
- 16 party to get these documents in, should go through every single
- 17 one and demonstrate why every single one of them is relevant and
- 18 reliable, and meets all other criteria, and therefore should be
- 19 admitted.
- 20 [15.28.01]
- 21 International media reports. Again, we've discussed this in the
- 22 past. It is our submission that these sorts of media reports
- 23 should not be admitted. In this sort of rubric of documents, you
- 24 have the FBIS reports, you've got articles by newspapers such as
- 25 "The New York Times" and the "Chicago Tribune". To what extent

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 these reports or articles from the media are accurate, no one
- 2 knows. We submit that the better practice would be not to have
- 3 them admitted; if admitted, they need to be corroborated.
- 4 With the FBIS reports, we understand it was the CIA that was
- 5 documenting this, and -- and there may be, for instance,
- 6 documents of a similar nature coming from the French Embassy in
- 7 Thailand or some other government because they may have been
- 8 listening at the same time. And to that extent, where you have
- 9 reports that are more or less saying the same thing, obviously
- 10 you have independent indicia and you can give those reports some
- 11 weight. But having an article by a journalist, for instance,
- 12 where the journalist doesn't come in to give evidence and it is
- 13 unknown how that -- what was actually seen and what was actually
- 14 reported, how accurate it might have been by the journalist, and
- 15 with no opportunity to cross-examine the journalist, we submit,
- 16 unless there's corroborating evidence, it shouldn't come in.
- 17 [15.29.54]
- 18 The next category deals with academic articles and analytical
- 19 reports and books. I think our position is rather clear, that
- 20 books and analytical reports should not be admitted unless the
- 21 authors come in to give evidence. It is as simple as that. Many
- 22 have written books about the period of '75 to '79, before that,
- 23 after that; some are historians, some are journalists, some are
- 24 actually eye-witnessed events, perhaps before '75 or after '79,
- 25 some conducted interviews with -- with various individuals, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 the product of those individuals -- the product of those
- 2 interviews are the books themselves.
- 3 We submit that these -- this sort of material should not be
- 4 coming in unless the witness -- unless the -- the author comes in
- 5 and is subject to cross-examination. Anything that was prepared
- 6 as an analytical report by the OCP should automatically be
- 7 excluded unless the author comes in, especially if the author is
- 8 only about 100 metres away from us, and comes here every day, and
- 9 is on the payroll. So, I think, to have someone who provided --
- 10 who prepared a report for the OCP, who may have even testified in
- 11 001 -- for an example, Craig Etcheson -- they should have to come
- 12 in and give evidence concerning those analytical reports.
- 13 [15.32.05]
- 14 And I'll go back on this -- on this matter for those who have --
- 15 for those documents that may have come in in 001 or for those
- 16 analysts from the OCP who may have testified in 001. It matters
- 17 not because, as I've indicated, we were not privy to that case,
- 18 we were not party to that trial. And what the lawyers for Duch
- 19 may or may not have done for their client, what may have been
- 20 appropriate for Duch is not necessarily appropriate for Mr. Ieng
- 21 Sary.
- 22 The next category, Your Honours, are rogatory reports. And as
- 23 I've indicated in my -- my previous remarks, some rogatory
- 24 reports actually contain witness statements and statements by
- 25 OCIJ investigators. So it's not just a report such as, Today we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 went to such and such a province and we did x, y, and z -- see
- 2 attached interviews, but actually the reports themselves contain
- 3 witness summaries, or you have critical observations or
- 4 statements made by OCIJ investigators. And we would submit that
- 5 these reports should not be admitted. If they are to be admitted,
- 6 they can only be admitted or should only be admitted if those who
- 7 prepared the reports come in and give evidence.
- 8 [15.33.55]
- 9 For example, D91/29. D91/29 contains not only the identity and
- 10 location of potential witnesses, but also brief interviews with
- 11 four witnesses. These are statements. So, if the rogatory report
- 12 comes in, Your Honours, those statements come in. The witnesses
- don't come here to be -- to testify, yet the Prosecution later
- 14 will be using those statements prepared by the OCIJ of a witness
- 15 who never came and testified as a basis of supporting something
- 16 in their closing argument or their final brief. And it makes it
- 17 virtually impossible for the Defence to go through every single
- 18 one of this -- these pieces of evidence to try to demonstrate how
- 19 prejudicial this sort of a -- of a blanket admission as the
- 20 Prosecution would want you to adopt would have on the Defence.
- 21 [15.35.07]
- 22 Another example is D91/27, which describes the interviews of MFA
- 23 staff relating to the role of Mr. Ieng Sary. Now, here, this is
- 24 clearly an interview; it's a statement, and it goes or touches
- 25 upon the acts and conduct of Mr. Ieng Sary. So, if we were to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 allow this document to come in without those -- those individuals
- 2 who were interviewed to be -- to be cross-examined, it would be a
- 3 violation of Mr. Ieng Sary's right of confrontation.
- 4 Frankly, I have to confess, I don't see the purpose of these
- 5 rogatory reports coming in as evidence. If there's something in
- 6 them that was said by a particular witness that is available,
- 7 then it's for the moving party to make an application with the
- 8 Court and to suggest that a particular witness come in. If the
- 9 witness is coming in, then there's no need to have the summary
- 10 because the best evidence is the witness' testimony. But what --
- 11 how much weight -- or what sort of value can a rogatory report
- 12 have unless, of course, what you're trying to do is, for lack of
- 13 a better term, sneak in evidence through this sort of a document,
- 14 and to say, Well, it was -- it was prepared by the OCIJ and,
- 15 therefore, since they are an institution of this -- of this
- 16 establishment, it should be coming in? So that's our position on
- 17 rogatory reports.
- 18 [15.37.11]
- 19 The next topic, Your Honours, I'm on to the civil party
- 20 documents. So I finished with the Prosecution documents, and I
- 21 believe I may even finish 10 minutes ahead of schedule, so we may
- 22 have an early night off.
- 23 Now, I understand the civil parties are having some translation
- 24 problems, and we'll -- I support what was said by the Nuon Chea
- 25 team concerning that, but if you look at some of the documents

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 that they -- that they're presenting, Your Honours -- and I'm
- 2 just going to go through a couple of them because I have some
- 3 concerns here -- one document appears to be a paper prepared by a
- 4 student at Yale University; it's D250/3.37, "Genocide and
- 5 Irridentism Under Democratic Kampuchea (1975-1979)", by Kanika
- 6 Mak. And then, in a footnote, it shows that he "is currently a
- 7 second-year Masters candidate in International Relations at
- 8 Yale". Of course, who else is at Yale? Mr. Kiernan.
- 9 And when you read this and you read Mr. Kiernan's -- one of his
- 10 latest books, "Blood and Soil", which deals with genocide in
- 11 general, it appears that the theme from the opening paragraph of
- 12 this paper is one of Mr. Kiernan's theses' concerning genocide in
- 13 various societies.
- 14 [15.39.25]
- 15 But if you look at the beginning of this particular document, it
- 16 starts off with:
- 17 "In 'The Path to Genocide', Christopher Browning presents an
- 18 overview of the debate between intentionalist and functionalist
- 19 interpretations of the Holocaust." It talks about Hitler, and
- 20 then it goes on and tries to make a comparison between Hitler's
- 21 Nazi Germany and Democratic Kampuchea.
- 22 And, frankly, I'm wondering -- or we are wondering: Where does
- 23 this fit in to Case 002/1, let alone how can this possibly be
- 24 evidence? A paper prepared by a student, although albeit from a
- 25 very fine university, under, no doubt, some good guidance, but

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 nonetheless, why is this sort of document being tendered to be
- 2 admitted for Case 002/1? And if such a document is to come in,
- 3 effectively, this individual is being treated as a -- as an
- 4 expert, as a historian. The Defence should be entitled to
- 5 cross-examine this individual. And therefore we object to this
- 6 sort of document.
- 7 [15.40.48]
- 8 But another good example is D250/6 I mean, /3.36 -- D250/3.36,
- 9 "The Khmer Krom and the Khmer Rouge Trials". How is that relevant
- 10 to our case? Now, who -- who prepared this? Well, this is a legal
- 11 advisor to DC-Cam, someone who has been promoting DC-Cam and
- 12 promoting -- and advocating and, in fact, noting -- giving legal
- 13 opinions out there. So he is biased, we submit. But be that as it
- 14 may, if we go at the first paragraph, which is sort of the
- 15 abstract of the article, towards the end it says:
- 16 "In this article, I briefly review the predicament of the lowland
- 17 Khmers under Khmer Rouge rule and ask a legal question relevant
- 18 to the proceedings at the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of
- 19 Cambodia (ECCC): should Khmer Rouge atrocities against Khmer Krom
- 20 be treated any differently than abuses against other segments of
- 21 the population?"
- 22 [15.42.29]
- 23 Now, let me be very clear on where the Ieng Sary defence stands
- 24 on this issue. It is our position that the trial -- the trials
- 25 before the ECCC should be as expansive as possible, as inclusive

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 as necessary. It is for the Prosecution, the OCIJ, and the Trial
- 2 Chamber to decide that. The Prosecution has the power and
- 3 independence to proceed. The Investigating Judges do their thing,
- 4 and you, Your Honours, have the discretion. So it is not our --
- 5 we are not trying to exclude any group of individuals, nor do we
- 6 wish to be perceived in that way. We do not want to victimize any
- 7 victims, and we certainly do not want to exclude any civil
- 8 parties. But as far as our understanding is concerned, what is
- 9 contained in this particular article is not relevant and does not
- 10 relate to the Case 002/1. Now, perhaps it may be relevant at some
- 11 other trial, down the road, but as I -- as far as I understand,
- 12 as far as I understand from reading the paragraphs of the Closing
- 13 Order to which we are here to litigate, this article and the
- 14 contents in this article are not relevant.
- 15 [15.44.15]
- 16 Another document, Your Honour, is D366/7.1.76. And this is titled
- 17 "The Samlaut Rebellion and Its Aftermath, 1967-70: The Origins of
- 18 Cambodia's Liberation Movement -- Part I", by Ben Kiernan. Now,
- 19 again, as I've indicated earlier, we would object to these sorts
- 20 of working papers coming in, unless they're relevant, but more
- 21 importantly, unless the authors were to come in and to be
- 22 available to be cross-examined. I leave it up to Your Honours to
- 23 decide whether this is actually relevant to the proceedings that
- 24 we have before us, but certainly we take exception to that.
- 25 And in a similar vein, we have another document by the same

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 author, "The Survival of Cambodia's Ethnic Minorities",
- 2 D250/3.212 (sic). And, again, it's by the Cultural Survival --
- 3 that's who published it -- and at the very end we find out that
- 4 it's Mr. Kiernan who actually published it in the fall of 1990.
- 5 Again, it is our respectful submission that this not relevant,
- 6 but the author should come in and be subject to
- 7 cross-examination. And the same thing goes with document 250/3.29
- 8 -- 250/3.29. This is an article, "Cambodia's Ethnic Vietnamese:
- 9 Minority Rights and Domestic Politics"; it's by Ramses Amer, a
- 10 political scientist.
- 11 [15.46.43]
- 12 So, Your Honours, I think, when you look at what the civil
- 13 parties are -- the 10 documents that they're trying to have
- 14 admitted, and plus the others we have yet to see, it is our
- 15 respectful submission that the documents need to be expressly
- 16 relevant to the paragraphs that you have singled out and selected
- 17 from the Closing Order for Case 002/1. And what I've shown you
- 18 thus far, in our humble submissions, are not relevant.
- 19 There is -- Concerning the documents that were submitted by the
- 20 Khieu Samphan team, they submitted 78 documents, and one
- 21 particular document that would definitely object to is the
- 22 interview of Ieng Thirith by Elizabeth Becker; and that's
- 23 D108/5.1, D28. For the obvious reasons, Your Honour, it is our
- 24 submission that, since Ieng Thirith is no longer part of this
- 25 case, that we have to be vigilant not to be going into areas

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

- 1 where we may not be able to confront certain witnesses concerning
- 2 what is being presented against Ms. Ieng Thirith -- I mean, Ms.
- 3 -- yes, Ms. Ieng Thirith. So that's our position.
- 4 [15.48.50]
- 5 We leave it up to your discretion to decide what if for the
- 6 other documents presented by Khieu Samphan, to what extent they
- 7 are admissible, although, as we've indicated in the past, books
- 8 -- and they've listed Kiernan, Chandler, and -- any books, or
- 9 even Khieu Samphan's thesis, we submit that, by doing so, the
- 10 parties should be entitled to cross-examine, to confront the
- 11 authors. So that's our position, and we want to be consistent
- 12 with our position.
- 13 And as promised, Your Honour, I believe I'm finished today, and
- 14 10 minutes early. I have nothing further. I hope it wasn't too
- 15 tedious. Thank you.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Defence Counsel.
- 18 The time is now appropriate for today's adjournment.
- 19 Before we adjourn, the Trial Chamber would like to inform Khieu
- 20 Samphan's defence team: as scheduled, your time is allocated for
- 21 tomorrow's afternoon. However, due to the early conclusions by
- 22 the two defence teams in their oral objections to the parties
- 23 (sic) submitted by the Co-Prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers for
- 24 civil parties, tomorrow morning, you will have the opportunity to
- 25 present your oral objections.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 34 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 12/03/2012

112

1 [15.50.42]

- 2 On another matter, the Trial Chamber would also like to inform
- 3 Nuon Chea's defence that a written submission of the objections
- 4 that you intend to submit before the Trial Chamber tomorrow
- 5 cannot be entertained, as Nuon Chea's defence has sufficient time
- 6 to make your oral objections this afternoon, but you did not use
- 7 the time allocated to you sufficiently.
- 8 Also, for Nuon Chea's defence, in regards to your request for
- 9 instructions by the Trial Chamber on the discussions on the
- 10 assessment of evidence, the Trial Chamber would like to inform
- 11 you that such instructions will not be issued. Parties can raise
- 12 their assessment on the evidence at the conclusion of the
- 13 proceeding, based on Internal Rule 94 of the ECCC Internal Rules.
- 14 We shall adjourn today's hearing, and we will resume tomorrow
- 15 morning, starting from 9 a.m.
- 16 Security officers, you are instructed to bring the three Accused
- 17 back to the detention facility and bring them back here tomorrow
- 18 morning, before 9 a.m.
- 19 The Court is now adjourned.
- 20 THE GREFFIER:
- 21 All rise.
- 22 (Judges exit the courtroom)
- 23 (Court adjourns at 1552H)

24